health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, the SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where the EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small **Business Regulatory Enforcement** Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. The EPA will submit a report containing this action and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the Federal **Register.** A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the Federal Register. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by May 2, 2016. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this action for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements (see section 307(b)(2)).

### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Carbon monoxide, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: January 25, 2016.

### Jared Blumenfeld,

Regional Administrator, Region IX.

Part 52, Chapter I, Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

# PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

# Subpart D—Arizona

■ 2. Section 52.120 is amended by adding paragraph (c)(173) to read as follows:

#### § 52.120 Identification of plan.

(C) \* \* \* \*

(173) The following plan was submitted on April 2, 2013 by the Governor's designee.

- (i) [RESERVED].
- (ii) Additional materials.
- (A) Arizona Department of Environmental Quality.
- (1) MAG 2013 Carbon Monoxide Maintenance Plan for the Maricopa County Area, adopted by the Maricopa Association of Governments on March 27, 2013.

[FR Doc. 2016–04614 Filed 3–2–16; 8:45 am]

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 180

[EPA-HQ-OPP-2015-0475; FRL-9942-10]

# Fluensulfone; Pesticide Tolerance for Emergency Exemption

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This regulation establishes a time-limited tolerance for residues of fluensulfone, measured as 3,4,4trifluoro-but-3-ene-1-sulfonic acid, resulting from use of fluensulfone in or on carrots in accordance with the terms of an emergency exemption issued under section 18 of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA). This action is in response to the issuance of a crisis emergency exemption under FIFRA section 18 authorizing use of the pesticide on carrots. This regulation establishes a maximum permissible level for residues of fluensulfone in or on carrots. The time-limited tolerance expires on December 31, 2017.

**DATES:** This regulation is effective March 3, 2016. Objections and requests for hearings must be received on or before May 2, 2016, and must be filed

in accordance with the instructions provided in 40 CFR part 178 (see also Unit I.C. of the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION).

**ADDRESSES:** The docket for this action, identified by docket identification (ID) number EPA-HQ-OPP-2015-0475, is available at http://www.regulations.gov or at the Office of Pesticide Programs Regulatory Public Docket (OPP Docket) in the Environmental Protection Agency Docket Center (EPA/DC), West William Jefferson Clinton Bldg., Rm. 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460-0001. The Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room is (202) 566-1744, and the telephone number for the OPP Docket is (703) 305–5805. Please review the visitor instructions and additional information about the docket available at http://www.epa.gov/dockets.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Susan T. Lewis, Registration Division (7505P), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460–0001; main telephone number: (703) 305–7090; email address: RDFRNotices@epa.gov.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# I. General Information

A. Does this action apply to me?

You may be potentially affected by this action if you are an agricultural producer, food manufacturer, or pesticide manufacturer. The following list of North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) codes is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide to help readers determine whether this document applies to them. Potentially affected entities may include:

- Crop production (NAICS code 111).
- Animal production (NAICS code 112).
- Food manufacturing (NAICS code 311).
- Pesticide manufacturing (NAICS code 32532).

B. How can I get electronic access to other related information?

You may access a frequently updated electronic version of 40 CFR part 180 through the Government Printing Office's e-CFR site at http://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?&c=ecfr&tpl=/ecfrbrowse/Title40/40tab 02.tpl.

C. How can I file an objection or hearing request?

Under section 408(g) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA), 21 U.S.C. 346a, any person may file an objection to any aspect of this regulation and may also request a hearing on those objections. You must file your objection or request a hearing on this regulation in accordance with the instructions provided in 40 CFR part 178. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, you must identify docket ID number EPA-HQ-OPP-2015-0475 in the subject line on the first page of your submission. All objections and requests for a hearing must be in writing, and must be received by the Hearing Clerk on or before May 2, 2016. Addresses for mail and hand delivery of objections and hearing requests are provided in 40 CFR 178.25(b).

In addition to filing an objection or hearing request with the Hearing Clerk as described in 40 CFR part 178, please submit a copy of the filing (excluding any Confidential Business Information (CBI)) for inclusion in the public docket. Information not marked confidential pursuant to 40 CFR part 2 may be disclosed publicly by EPA without prior notice. Submit the non-CBI copy of your objection or hearing request, identified by docket ID number EPA-HQ-OPP-2015-0475 by one of the following methods:

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute.
- *Mail*: OPP Docket, Environmental Protection Agency Docket Center (EPA/DC), (28221T), 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460–0001.
- Hand Delivery: To make special arrangements for hand delivery or delivery of boxed information, please follow the instructions at http://www.epa.gov/dockets/contacts.html.

  Additional instructions on commenting or visiting the docket, along with more information about dockets generally, is available at http://www.epa.gov/dockets.

# II. Background and Statutory Findings

EPA, on its own initiative, in accordance with FFDCA sections 408(e) and 408(l)(6), 21 U.S.C. 346a(e) and 346a(1)(6), is establishing a time-limited tolerance for residues of fluensulfone, to be enforced by measuring only the metabolite 3,4,4-trifluoro-but-3-ene-1-sulfonic acid, in or on carrots at 2.0 parts per million (ppm). There are no

Canadian or Codex MRLs for residues of fluensulfone in or on carrot at this time. International harmonization is not an issue for this emergency exemption. This time-limited tolerance expires on December 31, 2017.

Section 408(l)(6) of FFDCA requires EPA to establish a time-limited tolerance or exemption from the requirement for a tolerance for pesticide chemical residues in food that will result from the use of a pesticide under an emergency exemption granted by EPA under FIFRA section 18. Such tolerances can be established without providing notice or period for public comment. EPA does not intend for its actions on FIFRA section 18 related time-limited tolerances to set binding precedents for the application of FFDCA section 408 and the safety standard to other tolerances and exemptions. Section 408(e) of FFDCA allows EPA to establish a tolerance or an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance on its own initiative, *i.e.*, without having received any petition from an outside

Section 408(b)(2)(A)(i) of FFDCA allows EPA to establish a time-limited tolerance (the legal limit for a pesticide chemical residue in or on a food) only if EPA determines that the tolerance is "safe." Section 408(b)(2)(A)(ii) of FFDCA defines "safe" to mean that "there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result from aggregate exposure to the pesticide chemical residue, including all anticipated dietary exposures and all other exposures for which there is reliable information." This includes exposure through drinking water and in residential settings, but does not include occupational exposure. Section 408(b)(2)(C) of FFDCA requires EPA to give special consideration to exposure of infants and children to the pesticide chemical residue in establishing a tolerance and to "ensure that there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result to infants and children from aggregate exposure to the pesticide chemical residue . . . .'

Section 18 of FIFRA authorizes EPA to exempt any Federal or State agency from any provision of FIFRA, if EPA determines that "emergency conditions exist which require such exemption." EPA has established regulations governing such emergency exemptions in 40 CFR part 166.

# III. Emergency Exemption for Fluensulfone on Carrots and FFDCA Tolerances

The Michigan Department of Agriculture and Rural Development asserted that an emergency condition existed in accordance with the criteria for approval of an emergency exemption, and utilized a crisis exemption under FIFRA section 18 to allow the use of fluensulfone on carrots to control plant-parasitic nematodes in carrot fields in Michigan. The Michigan Department of Agriculture and Rural Development invoked the crisis exemption provision on April 14, 2015. After having reviewed the submission, EPA concurred on the emergency action in order to meet the needs of Michigan carrot growers who faced significant economic loss. The crisis exemption program expired on June 15, 2015.

As part of its evaluation of the Michigan crisis exemption, EPA assessed the potential risks presented by residues of fluensulfone in or on carrots. In doing so, EPA considered the safety standard in FFDCA section 408(b)(2), and EPA decided that the necessary time-limited tolerance under FFDCA section 408(1)(6) would be consistent with the safety standard and with FIFRA section 18. Consistent with the need to move quickly on the emergency exemption in order to address an urgent non-routine situation and to ensure that the resulting food is safe and lawful, EPA is issuing this time-limited tolerance without notice and opportunity for public comment as provided in FFDCA section 408(l)(6). Although this time-limited tolerance expires on December 31, 2017, under FFDCA section 408(l)(5), residues of the pesticide not in excess of the amounts specified in the tolerance remaining in or on carrots after that date will not be unlawful, provided the pesticide was applied in a manner that was lawful under FIFRA, and the residues do not exceed a level that was authorized by this time-limited tolerance at the time of that application. EPA will take action to revoke this time-limited tolerance earlier if any experience with, scientific data on, or other relevant information on this pesticide indicate that the residues are not safe.

Because this time-limited tolerance is being approved under emergency conditions, EPA has not made any decisions about whether fluensulfone meets FIFRA's registration requirements for use on carrots or whether permanent tolerances for this use would be appropriate. Under these circumstances, EPA does not believe that this timelimited tolerance decision serves as a basis for registration of fluensulfone by a State for special local needs under FIFRA section 24(c). Nor does this timelimited tolerance by itself serve as the authority for persons in any State other than Michigan to use this pesticide on the applicable crops under FIFRA

section 18 absent the issuance of an emergency exemption applicable within that State. For additional information regarding the crisis exemption for fluensulfone, contact the Agency's Registration Division at the address provided under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

# IV. Aggregate Risk Assessment and Determination of Safety

Section 408(b)(2)(A)(i) of FFDCA allows EPA to establish a tolerance (the legal limit for a pesticide chemical residue in or on a food) only if EPA determines that the tolerance is "safe." Section 408(b)(2)(A)(ii) of FFDCA defines "safe" to mean that "there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result from aggregate exposure to the pesticide chemical residue, including all anticipated dietary exposures and all other exposures for which there is reliable information." This includes exposure through drinking water and in residential settings, but does not include occupational exposure. Section 408(b)(2)(C) of FFDCA requires EPA to give special consideration to exposure of infants and children to the pesticide chemical residue in establishing a tolerance and to "ensure that there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result to infants and children from aggregate exposure to the pesticide chemical residue . . . .

Consistent with the factors specified in FFDCA section 408(b)(2)(D), EPA has reviewed the available scientific data and other relevant information in support of this action. EPA has sufficient data to assess the hazards of and to make a determination on aggregate exposure expected as a result of the crisis exemption and this timelimited tolerance for residues of fluensulfone on carrots at 2.0 parts per million (ppm), measured as 3,4,4trifluoro-but-3-ene-1-sulfonic acid. EPA's assessment of exposures and risks associated with establishing a timelimited tolerance follows.

The Agency assessed the use of the fluensulfone use on carrots based on a 0.50 ppm residue level of the parent compound, which is the residue of concern for purposes of risk assessment on carrots (i.e., 100% crop treated) and determined that there would be no resulting change in the estimates from the previous risk assessment for the chemical. Since the publication of the September 24, 2014 final rule, the toxicity profile of fluensulfone has not changed, and the risk assessments that supported the establishment of those tolerances published in the Federal Register remain valid. The dietary risk assessments for fluensulfone are based

on residues of the parent compound only. Therefore, EPA relies upon those supporting risk assessments and the findings made in the September 24, 2014 Federal Register document, as well as an updated dietary exposure and risk assessment on carrots. EPA concludes that there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result to the general population, or to infants and children from aggregate exposure to fluensulfone residues.

A summary of the toxicological endpoints for fluensulfone used for human risk assessment were previously described in a final rule published in the Federal Register of September 24, 2014 (79 FR 56964) (FRL-9914-35). Please refer to this Federal Register document and its supporting documents, available at http:// www.regulations.gov in docket ID number EPA-HQ-OPP-2012-0593 for a detailed discussion of the aggregate risk assessments and determination of safety for the proposed time-limited tolerance for residues of fluensulfone on carrots at 2.0 parts per million (ppm) when measured as 3,4,4-trifluoro-but-3-ene-1sulfonic acid.

## V. Analytical Enforcement Methodology

An analytic method suitable for enforcement purposes has been approved by the Agency. That same method was used in the field trials for carrot and was shown to be appropriate for that crop. The method has an LOQ, defined as the lower limit of method validation, of 0.01 ppm of 3,4,4trifluoro-but-3-ene-1-sulfonic acid. For carrot, the method has a calculated LOQ of 0.005 ppm of 3,4,4-trifluoro-but-3ene-1-sulfonic acid. Adequate enforcement methodology, a reversephase high performance liquid chromatography with dual mass spectrometry/mass spectrometry (HPLC-MS/MS), is available to enforce the tolerance expression.

The method may be requested from: Chief, Analytical Chemistry Branch, Environmental Science Center, 701 Mapes Rd., Ft. Meade, MD 20755–5350; telephone number: (410) 305–2905; email address: residuemethods@epa.gov.

# International Residue Limits

In making its tolerance decisions, EPA seeks to harmonize U.S. tolerances with international standards whenever possible, consistent with U.S. food safety standards and agricultural practices. EPA considers the international maximum residue limits (MRLs) established by the Codex Alimentarius Commission (Codex), as required by FFDCA section 408(b)(4).

The Codex Alimentarius is a joint United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization/World Health Organization food standards program, and it is recognized as an international food safety standards-setting organization in trade agreements to which the United States is a party. EPA may establish a tolerance that is different from a Codex MRL; however, FFDCA section 408(b)(4) requires that EPA explain the reasons for departing from the Codex level.

The Codex has not established a MRL for fluensulfone or 3,4,4-trifluoro-but-3-ene-1-sulfonic acid, in or on carrot.

# VI. Conclusion

Therefore, a time-limited tolerance is established for residues of fluensulfone, measured as 3,4,4-trifluoro-but-3-ene-1-sulfonic acid, in or on carrots at 2.0 ppm. This tolerance expires on December 31, 2017.

# VII. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

This action establishes tolerances under FFDCA sections 408(e) and 408(l)(6). The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has exempted these types of actions from review under Executive Order 12866, entitled "Regulatory Planning and Review" (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993). Because this action has been exempted from review under Executive Order 12866, this action is not subject to Executive Order 13211, entitled "Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use" (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001) or Executive Order 13045, entitled "Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks" (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997). This action does not contain any information collections subject to OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA), 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq., nor does it require any special considerations under Executive Order 12898, entitled "Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations" (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

Since tolerances and exemptions that are established in accordance with FFDCA sections 408(e) and 408(l)(6), such as the tolerances in this final rule, do not require the issuance of a proposed rule, the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.) do not apply.

This action directly regulates growers, food processors, food handlers, and food retailers, not States or tribes, nor does this action alter the relationships or distribution of power and responsibilities established by Congress in the preemption provisions of FFDCA section 408(n)(4). As such, the Agency has determined that this action will not have a substantial direct effect on States or tribal governments, on the relationship between the national government and the States or tribal governments, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government or between the Federal Government and Indian tribes. Thus, the Agency has determined that Executive Order 13132, entitled "Federalism" (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999) and Executive Order 13175, entitled "Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments" (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000) do not apply to this action. In addition, this action does not impose any enforceable duty or contain any unfunded mandate as described under Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (UMRA) (2 U.S.C. 1501 et seq.).

This action does not involve any technical standards that would require Agency consideration of voluntary consensus standards pursuant to section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) (15 U.S.C. 272 note).

# VIII. Congressional Review Act

Pursuant to the Congressional Review Act (5 U.S.C. 801 et seq.), EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

# List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 180

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Agricultural commodities, Pesticides and pests, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: February 25, 2016.

#### Susan Lewis,

Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

Therefore, 40 CFR chapter I is amended as follows:

# PART 180—[AMENDED]

■ 1. The authority citation for part 180 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 321(q), 346a and 371.

■ 2. In § 180.680, revise paragraph (b) to read as follows:

# § 180.680 Fluensulfone; tolerances for residues.

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) Section 18 emergency exemptions. Time-limited tolerances specified in the following table are established for residues of the nematicide fluensulfone, including its metabolites and degradates, in or on the commodities in the table below, resulting from use of the pesticide pursuant to FIFRA section 18 emergency exemptions. Compliance with the tolerance levels specified below is to be determined by measuring only 3,4,4-trifluoro-but-3-ene-1-sulfonic acid. The tolerances expire on the date specified in the table.

Commodity	Parts per million	Expiration date
Carrot	2.0	12/31/17

[FR Doc. 2016–04757 Filed 3–2–16; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

# Office of the Secretary

#### 43 CFR Part 2

[13XD4523WS DS10200000 DWSN00000.000000 WBS DP10202]

### RIN 1093-AA19

# Freedom of Information Act Regulations

**AGENCY:** Office of the Secretary, Interior. **ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This rule revises the regulations that the Department of the Interior (Department) follows in processing records under the Freedom of Information Act. The revisions clarify and update procedures for requesting information from the Department and procedures that the Department follows in responding to requests from the public.

**DATES:** This rule is effective on April 4, 2016.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Cindy Cafaro, Office of the Executive Secretariat and Regulatory Affairs, 202– 208–5342.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# I. Why We're Publishing This Rule and What it Does

# A. Introduction

In late 2012, the Department published a final rule updating and replacing the Department's previous Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) regulations. Since that time, in order to maintain the independence of the Office of Inspector General (OIG), the Department and the OIG have agreed to authorize the OIG to process their own FOIA appeals. Additionally, the Department has recently migrated its Web site to a new framework, leading to updated links. Finally, the Department has received feedback from its FOIA practitioners and requesters and identified areas where it is possible to further update, clarify, and streamline the language of some procedural provisions. Therefore, the Department is making the following changes:

• Section 2.1(e) is amended to identify the regulations applicable to Privacy Act requests.

• Section 2.5(d) is amended to provide more guidance on what happens when a request does not reasonably describe the records sought.

- Portions of § 2.6 are amended to make explicit that a fee waiver request is a valid way of responding to a request for additional fee information and that requesters may inform bureaus why they believe they are eligible for discretionary fee waivers, and to emphasize when fee issues must be resolved before processing will begin.
- A sentence is added to § 2.8(a) to require a bureau that cannot readily reproduce the requested record in the form or format requested to explain why it cannot.
- Section 2.9(b) is amended to remove a superfluous introductory phrase.
- Section 2.10 is amended to highlight the requirements a requester seeking expedited processing must meet and the consequences of not meeting those requirements.
- Section 2.11 is amended to reduce the suggested contact information provided by requesters.
- Section 2.12(c) is amended to emphasize that reasonable efforts must be made to search for requested records and to clarify when searching for requested records in electronic form or format will not occur.
- A sentence is added to § 2.15(e) to require bureaus to provide more information to requesters when placing them in a different processing track than requested.
- Section 2.16(a) is amended to clarify and streamline discussion of when the time period for responding to a request begins and ends.
- The introductory language of § 2.19(a) is amended to clarify when bureaus may extend the basic time limit.
- Portions of § 2.20 are amended to make explicit that expedited processing requests are only appropriate before the