pending a final and conclusive court decision.

#### **Amended Final Determination**

Because there is now a final court decision with respect to the Ethan Allen Scope Ruling, the Department is amending its final scope ruling. The Department finds that the scope of the WBF Order does not cover the products addressed in the Ethan Allen Scope Ruling. The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") that the cash deposit rate will be zero percent for the four chests imported by Ethan Allen. In the event that the CIT's ruling is not appealed, or if appealed, upheld by the CAFC, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate entries of Ethan Allen's four chests at issue without regard to antidumping and/or countervailing duties, and to lift suspension of liquidation of such entries.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 516A(c)(1) of the Act.

Dated: March 9, 2016.

#### Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2016-05942 Filed 3-15-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

## International Trade Administration

[A-580-867]

Large Power Transformers From the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2013–2014

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On September 4, 2015, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on large power transformers from the Republic of Korea. The review covers five producers/exporters of the subject merchandise, Hyosung Corporation (Hyosung), Hyundai Heavy Industries Co., Ltd. (Hyundai), ILJIN, ILJIN Electric Co., Ltd. (ILJIN Electric), and LSIS Co., Ltd. (LSIS). ILJIN, ILJIN Electric, and LSIS, were not selected for individual examination. The period of review

(POR) is August 1, 2013, through July 31, 2014. As a result of our analysis of the comments and information received, these final results differ from the *Preliminary Results*. For the final weighted-average dumping margins, *see* the "Final Results of Review" section below.

DATES: Effective Date: March 16, 2016. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brian Davis (Hyosung) or Edythe Artman (Hyundai), AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–7924 or (202) 482–3931, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### **Background**

On September 4, 2015, the Department published the *Preliminary* Results. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii), we invited parties to comment on our Preliminary Results.2 On October 16, 2015, Hyundai timely submitted a case brief and on October 19, 2015, Hyosung and ABB Inc. (Petitioner) timely submitted case briefs.<sup>3</sup> Rebuttal briefs were also timely filed by Hyosung, Hyundai, and Petitioner, on October 27, 2015.4 On December 22, 2015, the Department issued a memorandum extending the time period for issuing the final results of this administrative review from January 4, 2016 to February 24, 2016.5 On February 29, 2016, the Department further extended the final results to March 8, 2015.6

## Scope of the Order

The scope of this order covers large liquid dielectric power transformers (LPTs) having a top power handling capacity greater than or equal to 60,000 kilovolt amperes (60 megavolt amperes), whether assembled or unassembled, complete or incomplete. The merchandise subject to the order is currently classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States at subheadings 8504.23.0040, 8504.23.0080 and 8504.90.9540.7

#### **Analysis of Comments Received**

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this administrative review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.8 A list of the issues that parties raised and to which we responded is attached to this notice as an Appendix. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on-file electronically via ACCESS. ACCESS is available to registered users at http:// access.trade.gov and in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at http://enforcement.ita.doc.gov/frn/ index.html. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

## **Changes Since the Preliminary Results**

Based on a review of the record and comments received from interested parties regarding our *Preliminary Results*, we recalculated Hyosung's and

Extension of Deadline for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2013–2014" (February 29, 2016); see also Memorandum to the Record from Ron Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement & Compliance, regarding "Tolling of Administrative Deadlines As a Result of the Government Closure During Snowstorm Jonas," dated January 27, 2016. As explained in this memorandum, the Department has exercised its discretion to toll all administrative deadlines due to the recent closure of the Federal Government. All deadlines in this segment of the proceeding have been extended by four business days. The revised deadline for the final determination is now March 8, 2016.

<sup>7</sup> For a full description of the scope of the order, see the Memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, titled "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Large Power Transformers from the Republic of Korea; 2013–2014" (Issues and Decision Memorandum), which is issued concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice.

8 Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Large Power Transformers From the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2013– 2014, 80 FR 53496 (September 4, 2015) (Preliminary Results).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Department issued the briefing schedule in a Memorandum to the File, dated September 9, 2015. This briefing schedule was later extended at the request of interested parties to October 16, 2015 for briefs and October 26, 2015 for rebuttal briefs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Case Brief from Petitioner regarding Hyundai, (Petitioner Brief Hyundai), Brief from Petitioner regarding Hyosung (Petitioner Brief Hyosung), and Hyosung Brief, all dated October 19, 2015, and Hyundai Brief, dated October 16, 2015.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Hyosung Rebuttal Brief, Hyundai Rebuttal Brief and Petitioner Rebuttal Brief: All dated October 26, 2015. Petitioner requested an extension for the briefing schedule to 30 days after Hyundai's submission of a post-verification supplemental questionnaire and an extension for filing rebuttal briefs, which the Department partially granted for all parties in a letter dated September 29, 2015 and extended in a letter dated October 13, 2015. See Letter to Petitioner dated September 29, 2015 and Letter to Petitioner dated October 13, 2015.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Memorandum to Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for AD/CVD Operations, "Large Power Transformers from the Republic of Korea: Extension of Deadline for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2013— 2014" (December 22, 2015).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See Memorandum to Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for AD/CVD Operations, "Large Power Transformers from the Republic of Korea:

Hyundai's weighted-average dumping margins for these final results.

For Hyosung, we revised our margin program by adjusting our treatment of Hyosung's installation revenue, indirect selling expense ratio, U.S. commission expenses, and U.S. warranty expenses. For Hyundai, we revised the margin program with respect to our treatment of bank charges and packing expenses incurred in the home market, installation and supervision expenses incurred in both markets, domestic inventory carrying costs and U.S. credit expenses, and U.S. commission expenses. 10

As a result of the aforementioned recalculations of Hyosung's and Hyundai's weighted-average dumping margins, the weighted-average dumping margin for the three non-selected companies also changed.

#### Final Results of the Review

As a result of this review, the Department determines the following weighted-average dumping margins <sup>11</sup> for the period August 1, 2013, through July 31, 2014, are as follows:

Manufacturer/exporter	Weighted- average margin (percent)
Hyosung Corporation Hyundai Heavy Industries Co.,	9.40
Ltd	4.07
ILJIN Electric Co., Ltd	6.74
ILJIN	6.74
LSIS Co., Ltd	6.74

#### **Duty Assessment**

The Department shall determine and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess antidumping duties

on all appropriate entries. 12 For any individually examined respondents whose weighted-average dumping margin is above de minimis, we calculated importer-specific ad valorem duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer's examined sales to the total entered value of those same sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). Upon issuance of the final results of this administrative review, if any importer-specific assessment rates calculated in the final results are above de minimis (i.e., at or above 0.5 percent), the Department will issue instructions directly to CBP to assess antidumping duties on appropriate entries.

To determine whether the duty assessment rates covering the period were de minimis, in accordance with the requirement set forth in 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), for each respondent we calculated importer (or customer)specific ad valorem rates by aggregating the amount of dumping calculated for all U.S. sales to that importer or customer and dividing this amount by the total entered value of the sales to that importer (or customer). Where an importer (or customer)-specific ad valorem rate is greater than de minimis, and the respondent has reported reliable entered values, we apply the assessment rate to the entered value of the importer's/customer's entries during the review period.

We intend to issue assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

## **Cash Deposit Requirements**

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of this notice for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication of these final results, as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for respondents noted above will be the rate established in the final results of this administrative review; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this administrative review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the manufacturer of the subject merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 22.00 percent, the all-others rate established in the antidumping investigation. <sup>13</sup> These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

# Notification to Importers Regarding the Reimbursement of Duties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during the POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

#### **Administrative Protective Order**

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h).

Dated: March 8, 2016.

#### Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

## **Appendix**

## List of Topics Discussed in the Final Issues and Decision Memorandum

I. Summary II. List of Iss

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See Memorandum from Brian Davis to the File, regarding "Analysis of Data Submitted by Hyosung Corporation in the Final Results of the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Large Power Transformers from the Republic of Korea; 2013–2014" (Hyosung Final Analysis Memorandum), dated March 23, 2014, at section "Changes from the Preliminary Results," for further information.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> See Memorandum from Edythe Artman to the File, regarding "Analysis of Data Submitted by Hyundai Heavy Industries Co., Ltd. in the Final Results of the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Large Power Transformers from the Republic of Korea; 2013– 2014" (Hyundai Final Analysis Memorandum), dated March 23, 2014, at section "Changes from the Preliminary Results," for further information.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> As we did not have publicly-ranged U.S. sales volumes for Hyosung for the period August 1, 2013, through July 31, 2014, to calculate a weighted-average percentage margin for the non-selected companies (*i.e.*, ILJIN, ILJIN Electric, and LSIS) in this review, the rate applied to the non-selected companies is a simple-average percentage margin calculated based on the margins calculated for Hyosung and Hyundai.

<sup>12</sup> In these final results, the Department applied the assessment rate calculation method adopted in Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings: Final Modification, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012).

II. List of Issues III. Background

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See Large Power Transformers From the Republic of Korea: Antidumping Duty Order, 77 FR 53177 (August 31, 2012).

IV. Scope of the Order

V. Discussion of Interested Party Comments

#### A. General Issues

Comment 1: The Use of Constructed Value to Calculate Normal Value

Comment 2: Whether the Department Should Apply the Transaction-to-Transaction Method, and Whether the Department Should Alter Its Application of Differential Pricing in this Administrative Review

#### B. Hyosung—Specific Issues

Comment 3: The Department's Capping of Certain Expense Revenues

Comment 4: The Department's Adjustment to Home Market Warranty Expenses and Indirect Selling Expenses

Comment 5: The Department's Treatment of Ocean Freight Revenue

Comment 6: The Department's Treatment of U.S. Commission Expenses

Comment 7: Clerical Error Related to U.S. Direct Selling Expenses

#### C. Hyundai Heavy Industries Co., Ltd.— Specific Issues

Comment 8: Hyundai's Reporting of Constructed Value

Comment 9: The Department's Treatment of U.S. Commission Offset

Comment 10: Hyundai's Failure to Report Reimbursed Expenses

Comment 11: Hyundai Reporting of U.S. and Home Market Dates of Sale

Comment 12: Hyundai's Reported Installation and Supervision Expenses

Comment 13: Hyundai's Calculations of Indirect Selling Expenses for the Home and U.S. Markets

Comment 14: Hyundai's Failure to Provide Audited 2013 Financial Statements for Hyundai Corporation (Korea)

Comment 15: Application of Adverse Facts Available to Hyundai

Comment 16: Hyundai's Reporting of U.S. Credit Expenses

Comment 17: Hyundai's Reporting of Bank Charges Incurred on its U.S. Sales

Comment 18: Hyundai's Reporting of U.S. Brokerage Expenses

Comment 19: Hyundai's Reporting of U.S. Inland Freight Expenses for U.S. Sales that Included Spare Parts

Comment 20: Hyundai's Reporting of its U.S. Supervision Costs

Comment 21: Verification of Amounts Reported by Hyundai for Warranty Expenses and Domestic Indirect Selling Expenses Incurred in the United States

Comment 22: Hyundai's Failure to Report Inventory Carrying Costs Incurred in the United States

Comment 23: Issues with Specific U.S. Sales Comment 24: Hyundai's Reporting of Insurance and Packing Expenses for Home-Market Sales

Comment 25: Hyundai's Reporting of Home-Market Inland Trucking Expenses

Comment 26: Hyundai's Reporting Home Market Insurance Expenses

Comment 27: Hyundai's Reporting of Other Direct Selling Expenses

Comment 28: Hyundai's Reporting of Actual Packing Expenses

Comment 29: Hyundai's Reporting of

Warranty Guarantee Expenses Comment 30: Correction to Hyundai's Liquidation Instructions

VI. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2016–05940 Filed 3–15–16; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

## **International Trade Administration**

[A-570-956 and C-570-957]

Seamless Carbon Alloy Steel Standard Line and Pressure Pipes From the People's Republic of China: Continuation of Antidumping Duty Order and Countervailing Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** As a result of the determinations by the Department of Commerce (the Department) and the International Trade Commission (ITC) that revocation of the antidumping duty (AD) order and countervailing duty (CVD) order on seamless carbon alloy steel standard line and pressure pipes (seamless pipe) from the People's Republic of China (PRC) would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping and countervailable subsidies and material injury to an industry in the United States, the Department is publishing a notice of continuation of the antidumping duty order and the countervailing duty order.

DATES: Effective Date: March 16, 2016.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Aleksandras Nakutis, Office IV, or, Peter Zukowski, Office I, AD/CVD Operations, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3147 or (202) 482–0189.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## **Background**

On November 10, 2010, the Department published the AD and CVD orders on imports of seamless pipes from the PRC. There have been no administrative reviews since issuance of the *Orders*. There have been no related

findings or rulings (e.g., changed circumstances review, scope ruling, duty absorption review) since issuance of the *Orders*.

On October 1, 2015, the Department published a notice of initiation of the first sunset review of the AD and CVD Orders on seamless pipe from the PRC, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).2 As a result of its reviews, the Department determined that revocation of the AD order would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping and that revocation of the CVD order would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of countervailable subsidies. The Department, therefore, notified the ITC of the magnitude of the margin and the net countervailable subsidy rates likely to prevail should the antidumping order and the countervailing duty order be revoked. $^3$  On March 7, 2016, the ITC published notice of its determination, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, that revocation of the AD and CVD orders on seamless pipe from the PRC would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.4

#### Scope of the Orders

The scope of these orders consists of certain seamless carbon and alloy steel (other than stainless steel) pipes and redraw hollows, less than or equal to 16 inches (406.4 mm) in outside diameter, regardless of wall-thickness, manufacturing process (e.g., hotfinished or cold-drawn), end finish (e.g., plain end, beveled end, upset end, threaded, or threaded and coupled), or surface finish (e.g., bare, lacquered or coated). Redraw hollows are any unfinished carbon or alloy steel (other than stainless steel) pipe or "hollow profiles" suitable for cold finishing operations, such as cold drawing, to meet the American Society for Testing

<sup>1</sup> See Certain Seamless Carbon and Alloy Steel Standard, Line, and Pressure Pipe From the People's Republic of China: Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order, 75 FR 69052 (November 10, 2010); see also Certain Seamless Carbon and Alloy Steel Standard, Line, and Pressure Pipe From the People's Republic of China: Amended Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination and Countervailing Duty Order, 75 FR 69050 (November 10, 2010) (Orders).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Initiation of Five-Year "Sunset" Reviews, 80 FR 59133 (October 1, 2015).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Seamless Carbon and Alloy Steel Standard, Line, and Pressure Pipe From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order, 81 FR 7305 (February 11, 2016) and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum; see also Seamless Carbon and Alloy Steel Standard, Line, and Pressure Pipe From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Expedited First Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order, 81 FR 5985 (February 4, 2016) and the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Certain Seamless Carbon and Alloy Steel Standard, Line, and Pressure Pipe from China: Determination, 81 FR 11837 (March 7, 2016); see also Certain Seamless Carbon and Alloy Steel Standard, Line, and Pressure Pipe from China: Investigation Numbers 701–TA–469 and 731–TA– 1168 (Review), USITC Publication 4595, (February 2018)