

## II. Method of Collection

### Universe

The Address Canvassing Test occurs in two sites within the continental United States. Each site is comprised of 4,000 blocks with up to 125,000 addresses in each site. All living quarters in the test sites are included in the In-Office Address Canvassing workload, as well as the In-Field Address Canvassing workload. For the In-Field Address Canvassing data collection, listers will knock on every door to ask residents about their living quarters. However, the Census Bureau expects that they would make contact with residents (*i.e.*, someone is at home) at most 50 percent of the time.

### In-Field Address Canvassing

In-Field Address Canvassing will hire new field listers, who are primarily inexperienced with census listing activities. Listers will receive work assignments grouped by geography and in close proximity to the lister's residence (whenever possible). Field staff will use the Census Bureau's Listing and Mapping Application (LiMA) software on government furnished smartphone devices.

### Current Design Strategy

In order to assess and accomplish the stated objectives described above, both In-Office Address Canvassing clerical staff and In-Field Address Canvassing listers will work every block in the two test sites. This allows for the comparison of results from both In-Office Address Canvassing and In-Field Address Canvassing to measure the effectiveness of In-Office Address Canvassing procedures and processes.

## III. Data

*OMB Control Number:* 0607-XXXX.

*Form Number(s):* NA.

*Type of Review:* Regular Submission.

*Affected Public:* Households/

Individuals.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 62,500 Households.

*Estimated Time per Response:* 5 min/ Household.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 5,208.

*Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public:* The only cost to respondents is that of their time to respond.

*Respondent's Obligation:* Mandatory.

*Legal Authority:* Title 13 United States Code, Sections 141 and 193.

## IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance

of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: March 17, 2016.

**Glenna Mickelson,**

*Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2016-06466 Filed 3-22-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-07-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-848]

#### **Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat From the People's Republic of China: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review in Part; 2014-2015**

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is rescinding its administrative review in part on freshwater crawfish tail meat from the People's Republic of China for the period of review (POR) September 1, 2014, through August 31, 2015.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* March 23, 2016.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Hermes Pinilla, AD/CVD Operations Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3477.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

On September 1, 2015, we published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on freshwater crawfish tail meat from the People's Republic of China for the POR September 1, 2014, through August 31,

2015.<sup>1</sup> On November 9, 2015, in response to timely requests from the petitioners,<sup>2</sup> China Kingdom (Beijing) Import & Export Co., Ltd, Deyan Aquatic Products and Food Co., Ltd (Deyan), and Xuzhou Jinjiang Foodstuff Co., Ltd, and in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on freshwater crawfish tail meat from the People's Republic of China with respect to nine companies.<sup>3</sup> On February 2, 2016, the petitioners withdrew their request for an administrative review for six out of nine companies, Deyan, Hubei Yuesheng Aquatic Products Co., Ltd., Nanjing Gensda International Co., Ltd., Weishan Hongda Aquatic Food Co., Ltd., Xiping Opeck Food Co., Ltd., and Yancheng Hi-King Agriculture Developing Co., Ltd.<sup>4</sup> On February 11, 2016, Deyan withdrew its request for an administrative review.<sup>5</sup>

On January 27, 2016, the Department exercised its discretion to toll its administrative deadlines due to the closure of the Federal Government. Thus, the deadline for withdrawing a request for an administrative review was extended by four business days. The revised deadline for withdrawing an administrative review was February 12, 2016.<sup>6</sup> Therefore, Deyan's withdrawal request for an administrative review was timely.

##### **Rescission of Administrative Review in Part**

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department will rescind an administrative review, "in whole or in part, if a party that requested a review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review." Because the petitioners and Deyan withdrew their review requests in a

<sup>1</sup> See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review*, 80 FR 52741 (September 1, 2015).

<sup>2</sup> Crawfish Processors Alliance (collectively, the petitioners).

<sup>3</sup> See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 80 FR 69193 (November 9, 2015).

<sup>4</sup> See letter from the petitioners to the Department, "Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat from the People's Republic of China: Withdrawal of Certain Requests for 2014-15 Administrative Review" dated February 2, 2016.

<sup>5</sup> See letter from Deyan to the Department titled, "Re: Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat from the People's Republic of China Withdrawal of Request for Review" dated February 11, 2016.

<sup>6</sup> See Memorandum to the Record from Ron Lorentzen, Acting A/S for Enforcement & Compliance, regarding "Tolling of Administrative Deadlines As a Result of the Government Closure During Snowstorm 'Jonas'" dated January 27, 2016.

timely manner, and because no other party requested a review of these companies, we are rescinding the administrative review in part with respect to the aforementioned six companies.

#### Assessment

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. For the aforementioned companies, for which the review is rescinded, antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP within 15 days after publication of this notice.

#### Notifications to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement may result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

#### Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: March 18, 2016.

#### Christian Marsh,

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2016-06574 Filed 3-22-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-533-857]

#### Certain Oil Country Tubular Goods From India: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2015

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* March 23, 2016.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Spencer Toubia, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0123.

#### Background

On September 1, 2015, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty (AD) order on certain oil country tubular goods (OCTG) from India covering the period of review (POR) of February 25, 2014, through August 31, 2015.<sup>1</sup> The Department received timely requests for review of GVN Fuels, Ltd. (GVN), Oil Country Tubular Limited (OCT), and United Seamless Tubular Pvt. Ltd. (USTPL)<sup>2</sup> and it published a notice initiating an administrative review of the AD order on November 9, 2015.<sup>3</sup> USPTL timely withdrew its requests for review on December 11, 2015.<sup>4</sup> Hilcorp Alaska LLC (Hilcorp) timely withdrew its request for review of OCT on January 25, 2016.<sup>5</sup> Energex Tube, a division of JMC Steel Group, TMK IPSCO, Vallourec Star LP, Welded Tube USA Inc, Maverick Tube Corporation, and

<sup>1</sup> See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review*, 80 FR 52741 (September 1, 2015).

<sup>2</sup> See Letter to the Department from Petitioners, "Oil Country Tubular Goods from India: Request for Administrative Review," dated September 29, 2015; Letter to the Department from Hilcorp Alaska LLC, "Oil Country Tubular Goods from India: Request for Administrative Review," dated September 30, 2015; Letter to the Department from USTPL, "Certain Oil Country Tubular Goods From India: Request For Administrative Review," dated September 30, 2015.

<sup>3</sup> See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 80 FR 69193 (November 9, 2015).

<sup>4</sup> See Letter to the Department from USPTL, "Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Certain Oil Country Tubular Goods From India—Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review," dated December 1, 2015.

<sup>5</sup> See Letter to the Department from Hilcorp, "Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review; Case No. A-533-857 Antidumping Order on Oil Country Tubular Goods from India," dated January 25, 2016.

United States Steel Corporation (collectively, Petitioners) and GVN timely withdrew their respective requests on February 12, 2016.<sup>6</sup>

#### Rescission of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Secretary will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the parties that requested the review withdraw the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. USPTL's December 11, 2015 withdrawal request, Hilcorp's January 25, 2016 withdrawal request, Petitioners' February 12, 2016 withdrawal request and GVN's February 12, 2016 withdrawal request were submitted within the 90-day period (as tolled for the snow emergency)<sup>7</sup> and thus are timely. Because these requests were timely and no other party requested a review of GVN, USPTL, or OCT, and because GVN, USPTL, and OCT were the only companies for which a review was requested, we are rescinding this review in whole, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1).

#### Assessment

The Department will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. For GVN, USPTL and OCT, the companies for which this review is rescinded, antidumping duties shall be assessed at a rate equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of this notice.

#### Notification to Importers

This notice serves as the only reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement may result in the presumption that reimbursement of

<sup>6</sup> See Letter to the Department from Petitioners, "Oil Country Tubular Goods from India: Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review," dated February 12, 2016; Letter to the Department from GVN, "Oil Country Tubular Goods from India: Withdrawal of Administrative Review Request," dated February 12, 2016.

<sup>7</sup> See Memorandum from Ronald Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, to the Record, Re: "Tolling of Administrative Deadlines as a Result of the Government Closure during Snowstorm 'Jonas,'" dated January 27, 2016, in which the Department exercised its discretion to toll all administrative deadlines by four business days.