

Instruction. An environmental analysis checklist supporting this determination and a Categorical Exclusion Determination will be available in the docket where indicated under **ADDRESSES**. We seek any comments or information that may lead to the discovery of a significant environmental impact from this rule.

G. Protest Activities

The Coast Guard respects the First Amendment rights of protesters. Protesters are asked to contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section to coordinate protest activities so that your message can be received without jeopardizing the safety or security of people, places or vessels.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

- 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191; 33 CFR 1.05–1, 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

- 2. Add § 165.T01–0226 to read as follows:

§ 165.T01–0226 Safety Zone; Salvage of TUG SPECIALIST, Hudson River, Tarrytown, NY.

(a) *Location*. The following area is a safety zone: All navigable waters within 100 yards of DECK BARGE WITTE 1406 while it is in the Hudson River.

(b) *Definitions*. As used in this section, *designated representative* means a Coast Guard Patrol Commander, including a Coast Guard coxswain, petty officer, or other officer operating a Coast Guard vessel and a Federal, State, and local officer designated by or assisting the Captain of the Port New York (COTP) in the enforcement of the security zone.

(c) *Regulations*. (1) Under the general security zone regulations in subpart D of this part, you may not enter the safety zone described in paragraph (a) of this section unless authorized by the COTP or the COTP's designated representative.

(2) To seek permission to enter, contact the COTP or the COTP's representative via VHF channel 16 or by phone at (718) 354–4353 (Sector New

York Command Center). Those in the safety zone must comply with all lawful orders or directions given to them by the COTP or the COTP's designated representative.

(d) *Enforcement period*. This section will be enforced from March 17, 2016 through May 17, 2016, unless terminated sooner by the COTP.

Dated: March 17, 2016.

M.H. Day,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port New York.

[FR Doc. 2016–07657 Filed 4–1–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110–04–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

45 CFR Part 75

RIN 0991–ZA46

Federal Awarding Agency Regulatory Implementation of Office of Management and Budget's Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards; Correction and Technical Amendments

AGENCY: Department of Health and Human Services.

ACTION: Interim final rule; correction and technical amendments.

SUMMARY: The Department of Health and Human Services published a document containing technical amendments in the **Federal Register** on January 20, 2016, revising the Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles and Audit Requirements for HHS Awards. That document inadvertently failed to update the following: A provision in Appendix II; an improper citation; a recent OMB change; and a grammatical error. This document makes correcting amendments to correct these sections.

DATES: Effective on April 4, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Audrey E. Clarke, Ph.D., Division of Grants, Office of Grants and Acquisition Policy and Accountability, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Financial Resources, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, email: Audrey.Clarke@hhs.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: HHS is correcting its regulations in line with FR Doc. 2014–28697, published on December 19, 2014 (79 FR 75871), entitled “Federal Awarding Agency Regulatory Implementation of Office of Management and Budget's Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards”, the “Guidance for

Reporting and Use of Information Concerning Recipient Integrity and Performance” to 2 CFR part 200, published on July 22, 2015 (80 FR 43301), and “Universal Identifier and System of Award Management; Corrections”, published on September 10, 2015 (80 FR 54407), made by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). HHS adopts the correcting amendments made by OMB. HHS is also making amendments to address citation or grammatical inconsistencies, to amend incomplete statements in the regulation, and to update existing HHS regulations to incorporate 45 CFR part 75. The correcting amendments will go into effect at the time of publication.

This is the second set of corrections.

The first set of corrections was published in the **Federal Register** on January 20, 2016 (81 FR 3004). This document augments the corrections which were published in the **Federal Register** on January 20, 2016 (81 FR 3004). This document includes a corresponding change made by OMB to 2 CFR 200 on November 9, 2015 (80 FR 69111) that was omitted from the first set of corrections. This document also removes instruction 197c on page 3018 in the **Federal Register** on January 20, 2016 (81 FR 3004), which as published attempted to amend the wrong appendix in 45 CFR part 75. Because that instruction cited the wrong appendix, that amendment could not be incorporated into the CFR.

Correction

In the **Federal Register** issue of January 20, 2016 (81 FR 3004), make the following correction:

On page 3018, in the third column, remove amendatory instruction 197c.

List of Subjects in 45 CFR Part 75

Accounting, Auditing, Administrative practice and procedure, Colleges and universities, Cost principles, Grant programs, Grant programs-health, Grants administration, Hospitals, Indians, Nonprofit organizations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, and State and local governments.

Accordingly, HHS makes the following technical amendments to 45 CFR part 75:

PART 75—UNIFORM ADMINISTRATIVE REQUIREMENTS, COST PRINCIPLES, AND AUDIT REQUIREMENTS FOR HHS AWARDS

- 1. The authority citation for part 75 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 301.

§ 75.2 [Amended]

■ 2. In § 75.2:

■ a. In the definition of “Federal Audit Clearinghouse (FAC)”, remove “(FAC)” in the second and third sentences and add “FAC” in its place; and

■ b. In the introductory text of the definition of “Federal financial assistance”, add the word “means” before the colon.

§ 75.205 [Amended]

■ 3. Amend § 75.205 paragraph (a)(2) by removing “publicly available information in” and adding, in its place “non-public segment of”.

Appendix II to Part 75—[Amended]

■ 4. Amend Appendix II to Part 75 Section C by adding “Equal Employment Opportunity (30 FR 12319, 12935, 3 CFR 1964–1965 Comp., p. 339)” after “Executive Order 11246,”; and adding “amending Executive Order 11246 Relating to Equal Employment Opportunity,” after “Executive Order 11375”:

Dated: March 24, 2016.

Ellen Murray,

*Assistant Secretary for Financial Resources,
Department of Health and Human Services.*

[FR Doc. 2016–07401 Filed 4–1–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4150–24–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration****50 CFR Part 648**

[Docket No.: 150304214–6231–02]

RIN 0648–BE94

Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; Atlantic Herring Fishery; Framework Adjustment 4

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: NMFS implements approved measures in Framework 4 to the Atlantic Herring Fishery Management Plan. The New England Fishery Management Council developed Framework 4 to further enhance catch monitoring and address discarding in the herring fishery. The approved measures include: A requirement that vessels report slippage (*i.e.*, catch discarded prior to sampling by an observer) via the vessel monitoring system; slippage consequences

measures (*i.e.*, requirement to move 15 nautical miles (27.78 km) or return to port following a slippage event); and clarifications to existing slippage measures and definitions. NMFS disapproved two measures in Framework 4. These measures would have required: Fish holds to be certified and observers to collect volumetric catch estimates of total catch; and fish holds to be empty of fish before leaving port, unless a waiver is issued by an authorized law enforcement officer. NMFS disapproved these measures because it determined that they are inconsistent with the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, the Administrative Procedure Act, and the Paperwork Reduction Act. Therefore, those two measures are not implemented in this action. Lastly, NMFS implements minor corrections to regulations to clarify their intent and ensure they are consistent with the Atlantic Herring Fishery Management Plan.

DATES: Effective May 4, 2016.

ADDRESSES: The New England Fishery Management Council (Council) developed an environmental assessment (EA) for this action that describes the proposed action and other considered alternatives and provides a thorough analysis of the impacts of the proposed measures and alternatives. Copies of the framework, the EA, and the Regulatory Impact Review (RIR)/Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (IRFA), are available upon request from Thomas A. Nies, Executive Director, New England Fishery Management Council, 50 Water Street, Newburyport, MA 01950. The EA/RIR/IRFA is accessible via the Internet at

www.greateratlantic.fisheries.noaa.gov.

Written comments regarding the burden-hour estimates or other aspects of the collection-of-information requirements contained in this final rule may be submitted to NMFS, Greater Atlantic Regional Fisheries Office and by email to OIRA_Submission@omb.eop.gov, or fax to (202) 395–7285.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carrie Nordeen, Fishery Policy Analyst, phone 978–281–9272, fax 978–281–9135.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

The Council adopted Framework Adjustment 4 to the Atlantic Herring Fishery Management Plan at its April 22, 2014, meeting. The Council submitted Framework 4 to NMFS for review on July 18, 2014, and resubmitted it to NMFS on February 27, 2015, and April 30, 2015. The proposed

rule for Framework 4 published in the **Federal Register** on August 27, 2015 (80 FR 52005), with a 30-day public comment period that ended September 28, 2015. NMFS received four comment letters on the proposed rule.

NMFS implements approved measures in Framework 4 to the Atlantic Herring Fishery Management Plan (Herring FMP) and minor corrections to existing regulations in this final rule. The Council developed Framework 4 to build on catch monitoring improvements implemented in Amendment 5 to the Herring FMP (79 FR 8786, February 13, 2014) by further enhancing catch monitoring and addressing discarding in the herring fishery. The approved measures in Framework 4 clarify the slippage definition, require limited access herring vessels to report slippage events on the daily vessel monitoring system (VMS) catch report, and establish slippage consequences. Slippage consequence measures require vessels with All Areas (Category A) or Areas 2/3 (Category B) Limited Access Herring Permits to move 15 nautical miles (27.78 km) following an allowable slippage event (*i.e.*, slippage due to safety, mechanical failure, or excess catch of spiny dogfish) and to terminate a fishing trip and return to port following a non-allowable slippage event (*i.e.*, slippage for any other reason). NMFS also makes minor corrections to new and existing regulations. These revisions, identified and described below, are necessary to clarify current regulations or the intent of the Herring FMP, and do not change the intent of any regulations.

NMFS disapproved two measures recommended by the Council in Framework 4. Those measures would have required: Herring vessel fish holds to be certified and observers to collect volumetric catch estimates on herring trips as a cross-check of vessel and dealer data; and herring vessel fish holds to be empty of fish before leaving port, unless a waiver is issued by an authorized law enforcement officer. During the development of Framework 4, NMFS expressed its concern with the lack of support for these two measures in Framework 4. Specifically, NMFS commented that these measures are not likely to improve catch monitoring, but they would result in compliance and enforcement costs. Despite NMFS urging, the Council did not include sufficient support for these two measures in Framework 4. Framework 4 does not provide evidence of specific problems with catch monitoring or discarding that need to be addressed, nor does it demonstrate how these