#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Direct questions regarding the service contract inventory to Vance Cathell, Director Office of Acquisitions 202.551.8385 or *CathellV@sec.gov*.

Dated: April 15, 2016.

#### Brent J. Fields,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2016–09202 Filed 4–20–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

## **Sunshine Act Meeting; Cancellation**

FEDERAL REGISTER CITATION OF PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENT:

PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED TIME AND DATE OF THE MEETING: Thursday, April 21, 2016 at 2:00 p.m.

CHANGES IN THE MEETING: The Closed Meeting scheduled for Thursday, April 21, 2016 at 2:00 p.m., has been cancelled.

#### CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

For further information and to ascertain what, if any, matters have been added, deleted or postponed, please contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 551–5400.

Dated: April 18, 2016.

### Brent J. Fields,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2016-09355 Filed 4-19-16; 11:15 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–77631; File No. SR– BatsEDGX–2016–09]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Bats EDGX Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change Related to Fees as They Apply to the Equities Options Platform

April 15, 2016.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act"),¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on April 7, 2016, Bats EDGX Exchange, Inc. (the "Exchange" or "EDGX") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Exchange has designated the proposed rule change as one establishing or changing a member

due, fee, or other charge imposed by the Exchange under Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act <sup>3</sup> and Rule 19b–4(f)(2) thereunder, <sup>4</sup> which renders the proposed rule change effective upon filing with the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

## I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange filed a proposal to amend the fee schedule applicable to Members <sup>5</sup> and non-members of the Exchange pursuant to Exchange Rules 15.1(a) and (c).

The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Exchange's Web site at *www.batstrading.com*, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

### II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

(A) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

#### 1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend its fee schedule for its equity options platform ("EDGX Options") to add a new tier to its existing tiered pricing structure, as further described below.<sup>6</sup>

The Exchange currently offers two pricing tiers under footnotes 1 and 2 of the fee schedule, Customer Volume Tiers and Market Maker Volume Tiers, respectively. Under the tiers, Members that achieve certain volume criteria may qualify for reduced fees or enhanced

rebates for Customer  $^7$  and Market Maker  $^8$  orders. The Exchange proposes to add an additional Customer Volume Tier to footnote 1.

Fee code PC and NC are currently appended to all Customer orders in Penny Pilot Securities 9 and Non-Penny Pilot Securities, 10 respectively and result in a standard rebate of \$0.01 per contract. The Customer Volume Tiers in footnote 1 consist of five separate tiers, each providing an enhanced rebate to a Member's Customer orders that yield fee codes PC or NC upon satisfying monthly volume criteria required by the respective tier. For instance, pursuant to Customer Volume Tier 1, the lowest volume tier, a Member will receive a rebate of \$0.05 per contract where the Member has an ADV 11 in Customer orders equal to or greater than 0.10% of average TCV.12 Pursuant to Customer Volume Tier 5, the highest volume tier, a Member will receive a rebate of \$0.25 per contract where the Member has an ADV in Customer orders equal to or greater than 0.80% of average TCV. To encourage the entry of additional orders to EDGX Options, the Exchange proposes to adopt a new Tier 6 with different qualifying criteria. Specifically, under new Tier 6, the Exchange proposes to provide a rebate of \$0.21 per contract where: (1) The Member has an ADV in Customer orders equal to or greater than 0.25% of average TCV; and (2) the Member has an ADV in Market Maker Orders equal to or greater than 0.25% of average TCV.

The Exchange notes that the rebate of \$0.21 per contract is the same rebate as Tier 4, which is provided where the Member has an ADV in Customer orders equal to or greater than 0.50% of average TGV. By introducing Tier 6, the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

<sup>4 17</sup> CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The term "Member" is defined as "any registered broker or dealer that has been admitted to membership in the Exchange." *See* Exchange Rule 1.5(n).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The Exchange initially filed the proposed change on April 1, 2016 (SR–BatsEDGX–2016–08). On April 7, 2016, the Exchange withdrew SR–BatsEDGX–2016–08 and submitted this filing).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The term "Customer" applies to any transaction identified by a Member for clearing in the Customer range at the Options Clearing Corporation ("OCC"), excluding any transaction for a Broker Dealer or a "Professional" as defined in Exchange Rule 16.1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The term "Market Maker" applies to any transaction identified by a Member for clearing in the Market Maker range at the OCC, where such Member is registered with the Exchange as a Market Maker as defined in Rule 16.1(a)(37).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>The term "Penny Pilot Security" applies to those issues that are quoted pursuant to Exchange Rule 21.5, Interpretation and Policy .01.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>The term "Non-Penny Pilot Security" applies to those issues that are not Penny Pilot Securities quoted pursuant to Exchange Rule 21.5, Interpretation and Policy .01.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> ADV" means average daily volume calculated as the number of contracts added or removed, combined, per day.

<sup>12 &</sup>quot;TCV" means total consolidated volume calculated as the volume reported by all exchanges to the consolidated transaction reporting plan for the month for which the fees apply, excluding volume on any day that the Exchange experiences an Exchange System Disruption and on any day with a scheduled early market close.

Exchange is providing an additional mechanism for a Member to achieve this enhanced rebate. The Exchange also notes that the proposed rebate is intended to encourage the entry of both Customer orders and Market Maker orders by providing a hybrid tier that rewards the entry of both. Although the qualifying criteria includes Market Maker orders, as noted above, the proposed enhanced rebate of \$0.21 per contract would only be awarded to a Member's Customer orders that yield fee codes PC or NC upon satisfying the monthly volume criteria (and not such Member's Market Maker orders). Under the Exchange's existing pricing structure, however, a Member qualifying for the tier would qualify for at least Market Maker Volume Tier 3 with respect to such Member's Market Maker orders (resulting in a reduced fee of \$0.10 per contract), as the criteria for such tier require an ADV in Market Maker orders equal to or greater than 0.20%.

#### 2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder that are applicable to a national securities exchange, and, in particular, with the requirements of Section 6 of the Act. 13 Specifically, the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(4) of the Act,14 in that it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees and other charges among members and other persons using any facility or system which the Exchange operates or controls.

The Exchange believes its proposed fees and rebates are reasonable, fair and equitable, and non-discriminatory. The Exchange operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants may readily send order flow to many competing venues if they deem fees at the Exchange to be excessive. As a new options exchange, the proposed fee structure remains intended to attract order flow to the Exchange by offering market participants a competitive yet simple pricing structure. At the same time, the Exchange believes it is reasonable to incrementally adopt incentives intended to help to contribute to the growth of the Exchange.

Volume-based rebates such as those currently maintained on the Exchange have been widely adopted by options exchanges and are equitable because

they are open to all Members on an equal basis and provide additional benefits or discounts that are reasonably related to the value of an exchange's market quality associated with higher levels of market activity, such as higher levels of liquidity provision and/or growth patterns, and introduction of higher volumes of orders into the price and volume discovery processes. The proposed additional Customer Volume Tier is intended to incentivize Members to send additional Customer orders and Market Maker orders to the Exchange in an effort to qualify for the enhanced rebate made available by the tier.

The Exchange believes that the proposed tier is reasonable, fair and equitable, and non-discriminatory, for the reasons set forth with respect to volume-based pricing generally and because such change will incentivize participants to further contribute to market quality. The proposed tier will provide an additional way for market participants to qualify for enhanced rebates. The Exchange also believes that the proposed tiered pricing structure is consistent with pricing previously offered by the Exchange as well as other options exchanges and does not represent a significant departure from such pricing structures. 15

(B) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange believes the proposed amendments to its Fee Schedule would not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Exchange does not believe that the proposed change represents a significant departure from previous pricing offered by the Exchange or other options exchanges. 16 Rather, the proposal is a competitive proposal that is seeking to further the growth of the Exchange. The Exchange has structured the proposed fees and rebates to attract additional volume in Market Maker and Customer orders, however, the Exchange believes that its pricing for all capacities is competitive with that offered by other options exchanges. Additionally, Members may opt to disfavor the Exchange's pricing if they believe that alternatives offer them better value. Accordingly, the Exchange does not believe that the proposed change will impair the ability of Members or

competing venues to maintain their competitive standing in the financial markets.

(C) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

The Exchange has not solicited, and does not intend to solicit, comments on this proposed rule change. The Exchange has not received any written comments from members or other interested parties.

### III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act <sup>17</sup> and paragraph (f) of Rule 19b–4 thereunder. <sup>18</sup> At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

#### IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

## Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an email to *rule-comments@ sec.gov*. Please include File Number SR– BatsEDGX–2016–09 on the subject line.

#### Paper Comments

 Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-BatsEDGX-2016-09. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/ rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements

with respect to the proposed rule

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f.

<sup>14 15</sup> U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> See, e.g., Bats BZX Options Fee Schedule, Footnote 1, Tier 5, which provides an enhanced rebate to Customer orders on BZX Options based on both Customer volume and Market Maker volume. The BZX Options Fee Schedule is available at: http://www.batsoptions.com/support/fee\_schedule/ bzy/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> *Id*.

<sup>17 15</sup> U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b–4(f).

change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-BatsEDGX-2016-09 and should be submitted on or before May 12, 2016.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.  $^{19}$ 

#### Brent J. Fields,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2016-09203 Filed 4-20-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-77634; File No. SR-ICEEU-2016-004]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; ICE Clear Europe Limited; Notice of Designation of Longer Period for Commission Action on Proposed Rule Change Relating to Additions to Permitted Cover

April 15, 2016.

On February 10, 2016, ICE Clear Europe Limited ("ICE Clear Europe") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,² proposed rule changes to provide additional categories of securities, including treasury bills and floating and inflation-linked government bonds (the "Additional Permitted Cover") to ICE Clear Europe to satisfy certain margin requirements. The proposed rule change was published for comment in the **Federal** 

**Register** on March 2, 2016.<sup>3</sup> To date, the Commission has not received comments on the proposal.

Section 19(b)(2) of the Act 4 provides that within 45 days of the publication of notice of the filing of a proposed rule change, or within such longer period up to 90 days as the Commission may designate if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission shall either approve the proposed rule change, disapprove the proposed rule change, or institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved. The 45th day from the publication of notice of filing of this proposed rule change is April 16, 2016. The Commission is extending the 45day time period for Commission action on the proposed rule change. In order to provide the Commission with sufficient time to consider the proposed rule change, the Commission finds it is appropriate to designate a longer period within which to take action on the proposed rule change.

Accordingly, the Commission, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,<sup>5</sup> designates May 31, 2016, as the date by which the Commission should either approve or disapprove, or institute proceedings to determine whether to disapprove, the proposed rule change (File No. SR–ICEEU–2016–004).

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.  $^6$ 

## Brent J. Fields,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2016-09206 Filed 4-20-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-77633; File No. SR-ICC-2016-005]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; ICE Clear Credit LLC; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change To Update and Formalize the ICC Stress Testing Framework

April 15, 2016.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") 1 and Rule 19b-4 thereunder 2 notice is hereby given that on March 31, 2016, ICE Clear Credit LLC ("ICC") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared primarily by ICC. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

## I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The principal purpose of the proposed rule change is to update and formalize ICC's Stress Testing Framework.

### II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, ICC included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. ICC has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of these statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

ICC proposes to update and formalize its Stress Testing Framework. The Stress Testing Framework sets forth stress testing practices instituted by ICC, which are focused on ensuring the adequacy of systemic risk protections. The framework is designed to: Articulate the types of stress tests executed and the main purpose of each type of test: describe how stress tests are conducted; define the actual test scenarios currently executed; outline the range of remedial actions available (which, depending on the results, may include enhancements to the risk methodology or certain Clearing Participant ("CP") specific action); and explain how stress test results are used in the governance process.

ICC continues to evolve its stress testing practices for many reasons, including an increase in the number and type of instruments eligible for clearing, and evolution of the CDS market and the cleared portfolios themselves. The stress testing framework helps ICC identify potential weaknesses in the risk management methodology currently used. As such, the framework allows

<sup>19 17</sup> CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Securities Exchange Act Release No. 34–77234 (Feb. 25, 2015), 81 FR 10949 (Mar. 2, 2016) (SR–ICEFII–2016–004).

<sup>4 15</sup> U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

<sup>6 17</sup> CFR 200.30-3(a)(31).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b–4.