

6,363,345 (“the ’345 patent”); and 6,377,637 (“the ’637 patent”). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by 19 U.S.C. 1337(a)(2). The notice of investigation named Dell and the following 12 respondents: Acer Inc. of New Taipei City, Taiwan; Acer America Corp. of San Jose, California (collectively, “Acer”); ASUSTeK Computer Inc. of Taipei, Taiwan; ASUS Computer International of Fremont, California (collectively, “ASUS”); Hewlett Packard Co. (“HP”) of Palo Alto, California; Lenovo Holding Co., Inc. and Lenovo (United States) Inc. (collectively, “Lenovo”), both of Morrisville, North Carolina; Lenovo Group Ltd. of Beijing, China; Toshiba Corp. of Tokyo, Japan; Toshiba America Information Systems, Inc. (collectively, “Toshiba”) of Irvine, California; Toshiba America, Inc. of New York City, New York; and Realtek Semiconductor Corp. (“Realtek”) of Hsinchu, Taiwan. Also, intervenors Waves Audio Ltd. (“Waves”) of Tel Aviv, Israel and Conexant Systems Inc. (“Conexant”) of Irvine, California were subsequently added to the investigation. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is a party in this investigation. The 12 other respondents and the two intervenors, as detailed below, have been terminated from the investigation based on settlement or stipulation.

On July 13, 2015, the Commission determined not to review an ID finding that Andre has standing to bring the complaint in this investigation and to deny respondents’ motion for oral argument. On May 1, 2015, the Commission determined not to review two IDs (Order Nos. 4, 5) granting motions of Andrea terminating the investigation as to Lenovo Group Ltd. and Toshiba America, Inc., respectively, based on stipulation. On December 8, 2015, the Commission determined not to review an ID (Order No. 23) granting a joint motion of Andrea and Realtek terminating the investigation as to Realtek based on a settlement agreement and a patent license agreement. On December 21, 2015, the Commission determined not to review an ID (Order No. 24) granting a joint motion of Andrea and Acer terminating the investigation as to Acer based on a settlement agreement and a patent license agreement. On January 5, 2016, the Commission determined not to review two IDs (Order Nos. 25, 26) granting a motion of Andrea to terminate the investigation as to all infringement allegations relating to the ’637 patent; the ’898 patent; the ’923 patent; claims 4–11, 18–20, 22, and 39–

46 of the ’345 patent; and claims 5–7, 9–12, 29–31, and 33–37 of the ’607 patent. On February 3, 2016, the Commission determined not to review an ID (Order No. 30) granting a joint motion of Andrea and HP terminating the investigation as to HP based on a settlement agreement and a patent license agreement. On March 4, 2016, the Commission determined not to review an ID (Order No. 33) granting a joint motion of Andrea and ASUS terminating the investigation as to ASUS based on a settlement agreement and a patent license agreement. On March 17, 2016, the Commission determined not to review an ID (Order No. 36) granting a joint motion of Andrea and Lenovo terminating the investigation as to Lenovo based on a settlement agreement and a patent license agreement. On April 5, 2016, the Commission determined not to review an ID (Order No. 37) granting a joint motion of Andrea and Conexant terminating the investigation as to Conexant based on a settlement agreement and a patent license agreement. On April 19, 2016, the Commission determined not to review an ID (Order No. 38) granting a joint motion of Andrea and Waves terminating the investigation as to Waves based on a settlement agreement and a patent license agreement. On the same date, the Commission determined not to review an ID (Order No. 39) granting a joint motion of Andrea and Toshiba terminating the investigation as to Toshiba based on a settlement agreement.

On March 25, 2016, Andrea filed a motion to terminate the last remaining respondent, Dell, from the investigation on the basis of withdrawal of the complaint as to Dell. Andrea affirmed that there are no agreements, written or oral, express or implied, between itself and Dell concerning the subject matter of the investigation. None of the other parties opposed the motion.

On April 6, 2016, the ALJ granted the motion as an ID. The ALJ found no information indicating that termination of the investigation with respect to Dell on the basis of the withdrawal of the complaint is contrary to the public health and welfare, competitive conditions in the U.S. economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, or U.S. consumers. The ALJ also terminated the investigation. Order No. 40 at 2.

No petitions for review of the ID were filed. The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID, and has terminated the investigation.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Dated: May 2, 2016.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2016–10575 Filed 5–4–16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability

On April 29, 2016, the Department of Justice lodged a consent decree with the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida in the lawsuit entitled *United States v. EG&G Florida, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 6:16–cv–0716.

The United States filed this lawsuit under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) for the recovery of costs that the United States incurred responding to releases of hazardous substances at Space Launch Complex 15 at the Cape Canaveral Air Force Station in Brevard County, Florida. The consent decree requires the defendant, EG&G Florida, Inc., to pay \$331,556 to the United States. In return, the United States agrees not to sue the defendant under sections 106 and 107 of CERCLA.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States v. EG&G Florida, Inc.*, D.J. Ref. No. 90–11–3–10477/2. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

<i>To submit comments:</i>	<i>Send them to:</i>
By email .....	<i>pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov.</i>
By mail .....	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

During the public comment period, the consent decree may be examined

and downloaded at this Justice Department Web site: <https://www.justice.gov/enrd/consent-decrees>. We will provide a paper copy of the consent decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$4.75 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury.

**Henry S. Friedman,**

*Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 2016–10503 Filed 5–4–16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410–15–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Parole Commission

#### Sunshine Act Meeting

**TIME AND DATE:** 11:00 a.m., May 11, 2016.

**PLACE:** U.S. Parole Commission, 90 K Street NE., 3rd Floor, Washington, DC.

**STATUS:** Open.

**MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:** Approval of February 23, 2016 minutes.

**CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:** Jacqueline Graham, Staff Assistant to the Chairman, U.S. Parole Commission, 90 K Street NE., 3rd Floor, Washington, DC 20530, (202) 346–7010.

Dated: May 2, 2016.

**J. Patricia W. Smoot,**

*Chairman, U.S. Parole Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2016–10655 Filed 5–3–16; 11:15 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410–31–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Parole Commission

#### Sunshine Act Meeting

**TIME AND DATE:** 12:00 p.m., Tuesday, May 11, 2016.

**PLACE:** U.S. Parole Commission, 90 K Street NE., 3rd Floor, Washington, DC.

**STATUS:** Closed.

**MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:** Determination on two original jurisdiction cases.

**CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:** Jacqueline Graham, Staff Assistant to the Chairman, U.S. Parole Commission, 90 K Street NE., 3rd Floor, Washington, DC 20530, (202) 346–7010.

Dated: May 2, 2016.

**J. Patricia W. Smoot,**

*Chairman, U.S. Parole Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2016–10654 Filed 5–3–16; 11:15 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410–31–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

#### Comment Request for Information Collection for Form ETA–232, Domestic Agricultural In-Season Wage Report and Form ETA–232A, Wage Survey Interview Record, Extension With Revisions

**AGENCY:** Employment and Training Administration (ETA), Department of Labor.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Labor (Department), as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 [44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)]. This program helps ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed.

Currently, ETA is soliciting comments concerning the collection of data for Form ETA–232, *Domestic Agricultural In-Season Wage Report* and Form ETA–232A, *Wage Survey Interview Record*. Both forms exist under OMB Control No. 1205–0017, and both expire September 20, 2016. These forms are used by the State Workforce Agencies to collect wage information from agricultural employers.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the addresses section below on or before July 5, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit written comments to Brian Pasternak, National Director of Temporary Programs, Office of Foreign Labor Certification, Box 12–200, Employment & Training Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20210. Telephone number: 202–693–3010 (this is not a toll-free number). Individuals with hearing or speech impairments may access the telephone number above via TTY by

calling the toll-free Federal Information Relay Service at 1–877–889–5627 (TTY/TDD). Fax: 202–693–2768. Email: [ETA.OFLC.Forms@dol.gov](mailto:ETA.OFLC.Forms@dol.gov) subject line: ETA–232. A copy of the proposed information collection request (ICR) can be obtained by contacting the office listed above.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Background

This information collection is required by the Wagner-Peyser Act, codified at 20 CFR part 653, which covers the requirements for the acceptance and handling of intrastate and interstate job clearance orders seeking workers to perform agricultural or food processing work on a less than year-round basis. Section 653.501(d)(4) states, in pertinent part, that employers must assure that the “wages and working conditions are not less than the prevailing wages and working conditions among similarly employed agricultural workers in the area of intended employment or the applicable Federal or State minimum wage, whichever is higher.”

This collection is also required by regulations for the temporary employment of alien agricultural workers in the United States (20 CFR part 655, subpart B) promulgated under section 218 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA), as amended, which require employers to pay covered workers at least the adverse effect wage rate in effect at the time the work is performed, the prevailing hourly wage or piece rate, the agreed-upon collective bargaining wage, or the legal Federal or State minimum wage rate, whichever is highest, unless special procedures apply to the occupation. See 20 CFR 655.120(a).

The vehicle for establishing the prevailing wage rate is Form ETA–232, *The Domestic Agricultural In-Season Wage Report*. This Report contains the prevailing wage finding based on data collected by the States from employers in a specific crop area using the Form ETA–232A, *Wage Survey Interview Record*. In addition, the State Workforce Agencies (SWAs) collect information from agricultural employers to determine prevailing, normal, accepted or common employment practices for a specific occupational classification as required by 20 CFR 653.501(d)(3).

##### II. Review Focus

The Department is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including