#### (g) Replacement

Within 36 months after the effective date of this AD, do the actions required by paragraph (g)(1) or (g)(2) of this AD.

- (1) Do a replacement of the fuel pump housing electrical connector with a new fuel pump housing electrical connector, including doing a general visual inspection of the protective cap for a spring and applicable replacement of the protective cap, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Service Bulletin DC10–28–264, dated May 15, 2015; or Boeing Service Bulletin MD11–28–145, dated May 15, 2015, as applicable; and Crane Aerospace & Electronics, Hydro-Aire, Inc. Service Bulletin 60–843/845–28–2, dated October 1, 2014.
- (2) Do a replacement of the fuel boost pump housing with a new fuel boost pump housing, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Service Bulletin DC10–28–264, dated May 15, 2015; or Boeing Service Bulletin MD11–28–146, dated May 15, 2015, as applicable.

#### (h) Repetitive Inspections

Within 24 months after accomplishing the replacement required by paragraph (g) of this AD, do an inspection for proper operation of the fuel pump and all applicable corrective actions, in accordance with Appendix A, "24 Month Repetitive Inspection," of Boeing Service Bulletin DC10–28–264, dated May 15, 2015; or Boeing Service Bulletin MD11–28–146, dated May 15, 2015; as applicable. Do all applicable corrective actions before further flight. Repeat the inspection thereafter at intervals not to exceed 24 months.

#### (i) Maintenance or Inspection Program Revision

Within 30 days after accomplishing the replacement required by paragraph (g) of this AD, or within 30 days after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later, revise the maintenance or inspection program, as applicable, to incorporate the Critical Design Configuration Control Limitations (CDCCLs), Airworthiness Limitation Instructions (ALIs), and short-term extensions specified in Appendices B, C, and D of Boeing Trijet Special Compliance Item (SCI) Report MDC-02K1003, Revision O, dated April 15, 2015. The initial compliance time for accomplishing the actions specified in the ALIs is at the later of the times specified in paragraphs (i)(1) and (i)(2) of this AD. Revising the maintenance or inspection program required by this paragraph terminates the requirements in paragraphs (g) and (h) of AD 2008-06-21 R1, Amendment 39-16100 (74 FR 61504, November 25, 2009).

- (1) At the applicable time specified in Appendix C of Boeing Trijet SCI Report MDC-02K1003, Revision O, dated April 15, 2015, except as provided by Appendix D of Boeing Trijet SCI Report MDC-02K1003, Revision O, dated April 15, 2015.
- (2) Within 30 days after accomplishing the actions required by paragraph (g) of this AD, or within 30 days after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later.

## (j) No Alternative Actions, Intervals, or CDCCLs

After the maintenance or inspection program has been revised as required by paragraph (i) of this AD, no alternative actions (e.g., inspections), intervals, or CDCLs may be used unless the actions, intervals, or CDCCLs are approved as an alternative method of compliance (AMOC) in accordance with the procedures specified in paragraph (l) of this AD.

#### (k) Terminating Action for Certain Paragraphs of Other ADs

Accomplishing the actions required by paragraph (g) of this AD terminates the requirements specified in paragraphs (k)(1), (k)(2), (k)(3), and (k)(4) of this AD for that airplane only.

(1) The actions required by paragraph (a) of AD 2000–22–21, Amendment 39–11969 (65 FR 69658, dated November 20, 2000).

- (2) The actions required by paragraphs (a) and (b) of AD 2002–13–10, Amendment 39–12798 (67 FR 45053, dated July 8, 2002).
- (3) The actions required by paragraphs (a) and (b) of AD 2003–07–14, Amendment 39–13110 (68 FR 17544, dated April 10, 2003).
- (4) The actions required by paragraph (j) of AD 2011–11–05, Amendment 39–16704 (76 FR 31462, dated June 1, 2011).

## (l) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(1) The Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, send your request to your principal inspector or local Flight Standards District Office, as appropriate. If sending information directly to the manager of the ACO, send it to the attention of the person identified in paragraph (m)(1) of this AD. Information may be emailed to: 9-ANM-LAACO-AMOC-Requests@faa.gov.

(2) Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the local flight standards district office/certificate holding district office.

(3) An AMOC that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used for any repair, modification, or alteration required by this AD if it is approved by the Boeing Commercial Airplanes Organization Designation Authorization (ODA) that has been authorized by the Manager, Los Angeles ACO to make those findings. To be approved, the repair method, modification deviation, or alteration deviation must meet the certification basis of the airplane, and the approval must specifically refer to this AD.

(4) For service information that contains steps that are labeled as Required for Compliance (RC), the provisions of paragraphs (l)(4)(i) and (l)(4)(ii) of this AD apply

(i) The steps labeled as RC, including substeps under an RC step and any figures identified in an RC step, must be done to comply with the AD. An AMOC is required for any deviations to RC steps, including substeps and identified figures.

(ii) Steps not labeled as RC may be deviated from using accepted methods in

accordance with the operator's maintenance or inspection program without obtaining approval of an AMOC, provided the RC steps, including substeps and identified figures, can still be done as specified, and the airplane can be put back in an airworthy condition.

#### (m) Related Information

(1) For more information about this AD, contact Philip Kush, Aerospace Engineer, Propulsion Branch, ANM–140L, FAA, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, CA 90712–4137; phone: 562–627–5263; fax: 562–627–5210; email: Philip.kush@faa.gov.

(2) For The Boeing Company service information identified in this AD, contact Boeing Commercial Airplanes, Attention: Data & Services Management, 3855 Lakewood Boulevard, MC D800–0019, Long Beach, CA 90846–0001; phone: 206–544–5000, extension 2; fax: 206–766–5683; Internet https://www.myboeingfleet.com.

- (3) For Crane Aerospace & Electronics, Hydro-Aire, Inc. service information identified in this AD, contact Crane Aerospace & Electronics, Hydro-Aire, Inc.: 3000 Winona Avenue, Burbank, CA 91510–7722; phone: 818–526–2500; fax: 818–526–5658; email: CommSpares@craneaerospace.com.
- (4) You may view this referenced service information at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 425–227–1221.

Issued in Renton, WA, on April 27, 2016. **Dionne Palermo.** 

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 2016–10735 Filed 5–9–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2016-6426; Directorate Identifier 2016-NM-023-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

# Airworthiness Directives; The Boeing Company Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

(NPRM).

SUMMARY: We prop

**SUMMARY:** We propose to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) for all The Boeing Company Model 737–300, -400, and -500 series airplanes. This proposed AD was prompted by reports of intergranular cracks on the front spar chord lugs of the outboard horizontal stabilizer. This proposed AD would require repetitive inspections of the front spar chord lugs and lug bores of the horizontal stabilizer, and repair if

necessary. We are proposing this AD to detect and correct cracking of the front spar chord lugs of the horizontal stabilizer. Such cracking could cause stabilizer instability, adversely affect controllability of the airplane, and adversely affect the structural integrity of the airplane.

**DATES:** We must receive comments on this proposed AD by June 24, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** You may send comments, using the procedures found in 14 CFR 11.43 and 11.45, by any of the following methods:

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
  - Fax: 202-493-2251.
- *Mail:* U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M— 30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590.
- Hand Delivery: Deliver to Mail address above between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

For service information identified in this NPRM, contact Boeing Commercial Airplanes, Attention: Data & Services Management, P.O. Box 3707, MC 2H-65, Seattle, WA 98124–2207; telephone 206-544-5000, extension 1; fax 206-766–5680; Internet https:// www.myboeingfleet.com. You may view this referenced service information at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 425–227–1221. It is also available on the internet at http:// www.regulations.gov by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA-2016-6426.

#### Examining the AD Docket

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at http://
www.regulations.gov by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA-2016-6426; or in person at the Docket
Management Facility between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this proposed AD, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The street address for the Docket Office (phone: 800-647-5527) is in the
ADDRESSES section. Comments will be

available in the AD docket shortly after receipt.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Gaetano Settineri, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM-120S, FAA, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA 98057-3356; phone: 425-917-6577; fax: 425-917-6590; email: gaetano.settineri@faa.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Comments Invited**

We invite you to send any written relevant data, views, or arguments about this proposal. Send your comments to an address listed under the ADDRESSES section. Include "Docket No. FAA—2016—6426; Directorate Identifier 2016—NM—023—AD" at the beginning of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of this proposed AD. We will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend this proposed AD because of those comments.

We will post all comments we receive, without change, to http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact we receive about this proposed AD.

### Discussion

We have received reports of intergranular cracks on the front spar chord lugs of the outboard horizontal stabilizer. The cracks have been found along the axis of the front spar chord and in the lug faces, lug bores, and lug spot-face surfaces. The stabilizer front spar chords are an extrusion made from 7075-T6511 aluminum. This material is susceptible to stress corrosion in a corrosive environment where residual machining stresses are present and where the material finish and sealant have degraded. A single joint failure will significantly reduce the remaining fatigue life in the rear spar. A dual failure of the upper and lower front spar joints of the horizontal stabilizer could cause stabilizer instability, adversely affect controllability of the airplane, and adversely affect the structural integrity of the airplane.

#### Related Service Information Under 1 CFR Part 51

We reviewed Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–55A1092, dated August 7, 2015. The service information describes procedures for doing inspections for corrosion and cracking of the front spar chord lugs of the horizontal stabilizer, and inspections for corrosion of the lug bores. This service information is reasonably available because the interested parties have access to it through their normal course of business or by the means identified in the ADDRESSES section.

#### **FAA's Determination**

We are proposing this AD because we evaluated all the relevant information and determined the unsafe condition described previously is likely to exist or develop in other products of the same type design.

### **Proposed AD Requirements**

This proposed AD would require accomplishing the actions specified in the service information described previously, except as discussed under "Differences Between this Proposed AD and the Service Information. For information on the procedures and compliance times, see this service information at <a href="http://www.regulations.gov">http://www.regulations.gov</a> by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA—2016—6426.

## Differences Between This Proposed AD and the Service Information

Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–55A1092, dated August 7, 2015, specifies to contact the manufacturer for instructions on how to repair certain conditions, but this AD would require repairing those conditions in one of the following ways:

- In accordance with a method that we approve; or
- Using data that meet the certification basis of the airplane, and that have been approved by the Boeing Commercial Airplanes Organization Designation Authorization (ODA) whom we have authorized to make those findings.

## **Costs of Compliance**

We estimate that this proposed AD affects 346 airplanes of U.S. registry. We estimate the following costs to comply with this proposed AD:

#### **ESTIMATED COSTS**

Action	Labor cost	Parts cost	Cost per product	Cost on U.S. operators
Inspections	14 work-hours $\times$ \$85 per hour = \$1,190 per inspection cycle.	\$0	\$1,190 per inspection cycle.	\$411,740 per inspection cycle

We have received no definitive data that would enable us to provide cost estimates for the on-condition actions specified in this proposed AD.

#### **Authority for This Rulemaking**

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA's authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII: Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the Agency's authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701: "General requirements." Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

#### Regulatory Findings

We determined that this proposed AD would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This proposed AD would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify this proposed regulation:

- (1) Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866,
- (2) Is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979).
- (3) Will not affect intrastate aviation in Alaska, and
- (4) Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

## List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

#### The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA proposes to amend 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

## PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

### § 39.13 [Amended]

■ 2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new airworthiness directive (AD):

The Boeing Company: Docket No. FAA– 2016–6426; Directorate Identifier 2016– NM–023–AD.

#### (a) Comments Due Date

We must receive comments by June 14, 2016.

#### (b) Affected ADs

None.

## (c) Applicability

This AD applies to all The Boeing Company Model 737–300, –400, and –500 series airplanes, certificated in any category.

#### (d) Subject

Air Transport Association (ATA) of America Code 55, Stabilizers.

#### (e) Unsafe Condition

This AD was prompted by reports of intergranular cracks on the front spar chord lugs of the outboard horizontal stabilizer. We are issuing this AD to detect and correct cracking of the front spar chord lugs of the horizontal stabilizer. Such cracking could cause stabilizer instability, adversely affect controllability of the airplane, and adversely affect the structural integrity of the airplane.

#### (f) Compliance

Comply with this AD within the compliance times specified, unless already done.

## (g) Repetitive Inspections and Repairs $\,$

Within 27 months after the effective date of this AD: Do the actions required by paragraphs (g)(1) and (g)(2) of this AD, and do all applicable repairs, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–55A1092, dated August 7, 2015, except as required by paragraph (h) of this AD. Do all applicable repairs before further flight. Repeat the inspections specified in paragraphs (g)(1) and (g)(2) of this AD thereafter at the applicable intervals specified in paragraph 1.E.,

"Compliance," of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–55A1092, dated August 7, 2015.

- (1) Do a detailed inspection for corrosion and an ultrasonic inspection for cracking of the front spar chord lugs of the left and right horizontal stabilizers.
- (2) Do a detailed inspection for corrosion of the lug bores of the front spar chord of the left and right horizontal stabilizers.

#### (h) Service Information Exception

Where Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–55A1092, dated August 7, 2015, specifies to contact Boeing for appropriate action, and specifies that action as "RC" (Required for Compliance): Before further flight, repair using a method approved in accordance with the procedures specified in paragraph (j) of this AD.

#### (i) Parts Installation Limitation

As of the effective date of this AD: No person may install a replacement horizontal stabilizer on any airplane, unless the actions required by paragraphs (g)(1) and (g)(2) of this AD, and all applicable repairs are done prior to installation in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–55A1092, dated August 7, 2015, except as required by paragraph (h) of this AD. Repeat the inspections specified in paragraph (g)(1) and (g)(2) of this AD thereafter at the applicable intervals specified in paragraph 1.E., "Compliance," of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–55A1092, dated August 7, 2015.

## (j) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

- (1) The Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, send your request to your principal inspector or local Flight Standards District Office, as appropriate. If sending information directly to the manager of the ACO, send it to the attention of the person identified in paragraph (k)(1) of this AD. Information may be emailed to: 9-ANM-Seattle-ACO-AMOC-Requests@faa.gov.
- (2) Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the local flight standards district office/certificate holding district office.
- (3) An AMOC that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used for any repair, modification, or alteration required by this AD if it is approved by the Boeing Commercial Airplanes Organization Designation Authorization (ODA) that has been authorized by the Manager, Seattle ACO, to make those findings. To be approved, the repair method, modification deviation, or alteration deviation must meet

the certification basis of the airplane, and the approval must specifically refer to this AD.

(4) Except as required by paragraph (h) of this AD: For service information that contains steps that are labeled as RC, the provisions of paragraphs (j)(4)(i) and (j)(4)(ii) of this AD apply.

(i) The steps labeled as RC, including substeps under an RC step and any figures identified in an RC step, must be done to comply with the AD. An AMOC is required for any deviations to RC steps, including substeps and identified figures.

(ii) Steps not labeled as RC may be deviated from using accepted methods in accordance with the operator's maintenance or inspection program without obtaining approval of an AMOC, provided the RC steps, including substeps and identified figures, can still be done as specified, and the airplane can be put back in an airworthy condition.

#### (k) Related Information

(1) For more information about this AD, contact Gaetano Settineri, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM–120S, FAA, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA 98057–3356; phone: 425–917–6577; fax: 425–917–6590; email: gaetano.settineri@faa.gov.

(2) For service information identified in this AD, contact Boeing Commercial Airplanes, Attention: Data & Services Management, P.O. Box 3707, MC 2H–65, Seattle, WA 98124–2207; telephone 206–544–5000, extension 1; fax 206–766–5680; Internet https://www.myboeingfleet.com. You may view this referenced service information at the FAA, the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 425–227–1221.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on April 28, 2016.

#### Dionne Palermo,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2016–10634 Filed 5–9–16; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

## 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2016-5872; Directorate Identifier 2016-NE-11-AD]

#### RIN 2120-AA64

## Airworthiness Directives; General Electric Company Turbofan Engines

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** We propose to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) for all General Electric Company (GE) GEnx-1B64/P2, -1B67/P2, -1B70/P2, -1B70C/

P2, -1B70/75/P2, and -1B74/75/P2 turbofan engines with engine assembly, part number (P/N) 2447M10G01 or P/N 2447M10G02, installed. This proposed AD was prompted by a report of a significant fan rub event. This proposed AD would require rework of the engine fan stator module assembly. We are proposing this AD to prevent failure of the fan blades and the load reduction device, loss of power to one or more engines, loss of thrust control, and loss of the airplane.

**DATES:** We must receive comments on this proposed AD by July 11, 2016. **ADDRESSES:** You may send comments, using the procedures found in 14 CFR 11.43 and 11.45, by any of the following methods:

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
  - *Fax:* 202–493–2251.
- *Mail:* U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M— 30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590.

• Hand Delivery: Deliver to Mail address above between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

For service information identified in this NPRM, contact General Electric Company, GE Aviation, Room 285, 1 Neumann Way, Cincinnati, OH 45215; phone: 513–552–3272; email: aviation.fleetsupport@ge.com. You may view this service information at the FAA, Engine & Propeller Directorate, 1200 District Avenue, Burlington, MA 01803. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 781–238–7125.

#### **Examining the AD Docket**

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at http:// www.regulations.gov by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA-2016-5872; or in person at the Docket Management Facility between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this proposed AD, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The street address for the Docket Office (phone: 800–647–5527) is in the ADDRESSES section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after receipt.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Christopher McGuire, Aerospace Engineer, Engine Certification Office, FAA, Engine & Propeller Directorate, 1200 District Avenue, Burlington, MA 01803; phone: 781–238–7120; fax: 781– 238–7199; email: chris.mcguire@faa.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Comments Invited**

We invite you to send any written relevant data, views, or arguments about this NPRM. Send your comments to an address listed under the ADDRESSES section. Include "Docket No. FAA—2016—5872; Directorate Identifier 2016—NE—11—AD" at the beginning of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of this NPRM. We will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend this NPRM because of those comments.

We will post all comments we receive, without change, to http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact we receive about this NPRM.

#### Discussion

We received a report of a significant fan rub event involving a GE GEnx-1B Performance Improvement Program 2 (PIP2) engine. The fan rub was caused by partial fan ice shedding. Asymmetric ice shedding can cause large fan imbalances leading to heavy tip rubs. The fan case geometry on PIP2 engines makes these engines susceptible to heavy fan tip rubs. This can cause substantial damage to the engine and an in-flight non-restartable power loss. We are using calendar time for compliance in this AD because the failure mode is caused by exposure to specific environmental and operational conditions. This defines the overall fleet risk in terms of calendar time, rather than engine cycles or hours.

This condition, if not corrected, could result in failure of the fan blades and the load reduction device, loss of power to one or more engines, loss of thrust control, and loss of the airplane.

#### Related Service Information Under 1 CFR Part 51

We reviewed GE GEnx-1B Service Bulletin (SB) 72–0314 R00, dated April 1, 2016. The SB describes procedures for increasing the clearance of the fan stator module assembly. This service information is reasonably available because the interested parties have access to it through their normal course of business or by the means identified in the ADDRESSES section.

### **FAA's Determination**

We are proposing this NPRM because we evaluated all the relevant information and determined the unsafe condition described previously is likely