

may substitute another source of market data information for its own.

Those competitive pressures imposed by available alternatives are evident in the Exchange's proposed pricing.

In addition to the competition and price discipline described above, the market for proprietary data products is also highly contestable because market entry is rapid and inexpensive. The history of electronic trading is replete with examples of entrants that swiftly grew into some of the largest electronic trading platforms and proprietary data producers: Archipelago, Bloomberg Tradebook, Island, RediBook, Attain, TrackECN, BATS Trading and Direct Edge. As noted above, BATS launched as an ATS in 2006 and became an exchange in 2008, while Direct Edge began operations in 2007 and obtained exchange status in 2010.

In determining the proposed changes to the fees for the NYSE OpenBook, the Exchange considered the competitiveness of the market for proprietary data and all of the implications of that competition. The Exchange believes that it has considered all relevant factors and has not considered irrelevant factors in order to establish fair, reasonable, and not unreasonably discriminatory fees and an equitable allocation of fees among all users. The existence of numerous alternatives to the Exchange's products, including proprietary data from other sources, ensures that the Exchange cannot set unreasonable fees, or fees that are unreasonably discriminatory, when vendors and subscribers can elect these alternatives or choose not to purchase a specific proprietary data product if the attendant fees are not justified by the returns that any particular vendor or data recipient would achieve through the purchase.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change is effective upon filing pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) ³⁷ of the Act and subparagraph (f)(2) of Rule 19b-4 ³⁸ thereunder, because it establishes a due,

fee, or other charge imposed by the Exchange.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings under Section 19(b)(2)(B) ³⁹ of the Act to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-NYSE-2016-02 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Brent J. Fields, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSE-2016-02. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the

filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSE-2016-02 and should be submitted on or before February 11, 2016.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.⁴⁰

Robert W. Errett,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2016-01052 Filed 1-20-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-76909; File No. SR-CBOE-2015-106]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated; Order Approving a Proposed Rule Change To Permit P.M.-Settled Options on Broad-Based Indexes To Expire on Any Wednesday of the Month by Expanding the End of Week/End of Month Pilot Program

January 14, 2016.

I. Introduction

On November 17, 2015, Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated ("CBOE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") ¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² a proposed rule change to expand the End of Week/End of Month Pilot Program to permit P.M.-settled options on broad-based indexes to expire on any Wednesday of the month and extend the duration of the pilot program. The proposed rule change was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on December 3, 2015.³ The Commission received no comments on the proposal. This order approves the proposed rule change.

II. Description of the Proposal

CBOE proposes to expand and extend the duration of its existing End of Week/End of Month Pilot Program (the

⁴⁰ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 76529 (November 30, 2015), 80 FR 75695 (December 3, 2015) ("Notice").

³⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

³⁸ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

³⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(B).

“Pilot”).⁴ Under the terms of the current Pilot, the Exchange is permitted to list P.M.-settled options on broad-based indexes to expire on (a) any Friday of the month, other than the third Friday-of-the-month (“EOW”), and (b) the last trading day of the month (“EOM”).⁵ Under the proposal, the Exchange will expand the Pilot to permit P.M.-settled options on broad-based indexes to expire on any Wednesday of the month (“WED”), other than Wednesdays that are EOM, and extend the duration of the Pilot to May 3, 2017.⁶

A. Wednesday Expirations

The Exchange’s proposed rule change will allow it to open for trading WEDs on any broad-based index eligible for standard options trading to expire on any Wednesday of the month, other than a Wednesday that is EOM.⁷ WEDs will be treated the same as options on the same underlying index that expire on the third Friday of the expiration month, except that they will be P.M.-settled,⁸ and will be subject to the same rules that currently govern the trading of traditional index options, including sales practice rules, margin requirements, and floor trading procedures.⁹ In addition, WEDs on the same broad-based index will be aggregated for position limits, if any, and any applicable reporting and other requirements.¹⁰ Contract terms for WEDs will be similar to EOWs, as described below.¹¹

The maximum number of expirations that may be listed for WEDs is the same as the maximum number of expirations permitted in CBOE Rule 24.9(a)(2) for standard options on the same broad-based index, and CBOE proposes that other expirations in the same class will not be counted as part of the maximum number of WED expirations for a particular broad-based index class.¹²

Other than expirations that coincide with an EOM expiration, CBOE’s proposed rule will require that WED expirations expire on consecutive Wednesdays.¹³ Further, a new group of WEDs that are first listed in a given class may begin with an initial expiration up to four weeks from the date that CBOE first lists the group of WEDs.¹⁴

With respect to listing, if the last trading day of a month is a Wednesday, the Exchange will list an EOM and not a WED. This hierarchy will only apply if the Exchange lists an EOM in a particular class; if the Exchange does not list an EOM in that class on a last trading day of a month that is a Wednesday, it may list a WED.¹⁵

B. Annual Pilot Program Report

The Exchange currently submits a Pilot report to the Commission at least two months prior to the expiration date of the Pilot (the “Annual Report”). The Exchange represents that it will expand the Annual Report to provide the same data and analysis related to WED expirations as is currently provided for EOW and EOM expirations.¹⁶ Because the Pilot is currently set to expire on May 3, 2016, and the Annual Report is provided at least two months prior the expiration date of the Pilot, the Exchange proposes to extend the Pilot to May 3, 2017¹⁷ to provide a greater volume of data concerning WED expirations in the Annual Report due in 2017.¹⁸ The Exchange represents that it will provide an Annual Report in 2016 that covers EOWs, EOMs, and WEDs.¹⁹

¹³ See *id.*

¹⁴ See *id.* The Exchange also proposes conforming language for EOWs. It provides that other than expirations that are third Friday-of-the-month or that coincide with an EOM expiration, EOW expirations shall be for consecutive Friday expirations. It also provides that EOWs that are first listed in a given class may expire up to four weeks from the actual listing date. See proposed CBOE Rule 24.9(e)(1).

¹⁵ See proposed CBOE Rule 24.9(e)(3). The Exchange proposes to add language to clarify a similar listing hierarchy for EOW expirations: if the last trading day of a month is a Friday, the Exchange will list an EOM and not an EOW, but this hierarchy will only apply if the Exchange actually lists an EOM in a particular class. If the Exchange does not list an EOM in that class on a last trading day of a month that is a Friday, it may list a EOW. See proposed CBOE Rule 24.9(e)(1).

¹⁶ See Notice, *supra* note 3, at 75697.

¹⁷ Any positions established under the Pilot would not be impacted by the expiration of the Pilot. For example, if the Exchange lists an EOW, EOM, or WED expiration that expires after the Pilot expires (and is not extended) then those positions would continue to exist. However, any further trading in those series would be restricted to transactions where at least one side of the trade is a closing transaction. See Notice, *supra* note 3, at 75696.

¹⁸ See Notice, *supra* note 3, at 75697.

¹⁹ See *id.*

III. Discussion and Commission Findings

After careful review, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange and, in particular, with Section 6(b) of the Act.²⁰ In particular, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,²¹ which requires, among other things, that a national securities exchange have rules designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

The Commission has had concerns about the adverse effects and impact of P.M. settlement upon market volatility and the operation of fair and orderly markets on the underlying cash market at or near the close of trading. Only in limited instances has the Commission previously approved P.M. settlement for cash-settled options. In addition to approving the original Pilot,²² in 1993, the Commission approved CBOE’s listing of P.M.-settled, cash-settled options on certain broad-based indexes expiring on the first business day of the month following the end of each calendar quarter.²³ In 2010, the Commission approved CBOE’s listing of P.M.-settled FLEX options on a pilot basis.²⁴ The Commission also approved the listing of P.M.-settled SPX index options on a pilot basis.²⁵

²⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b). In approving this proposed rule change, the Commission has considered the proposed rule’s impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. See 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

²¹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

²² See Pilot Approval Order, *supra* note 4.

²³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 31800 (February 1, 1993), 58 FR 7274 (February 5, 1993) (SR-CBOE-92-13). In 2006, CBOE implemented, on a pilot basis, listing of P.M.-settled index options expiring on the last business day of a calendar quarter. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 54123 (July 11, 2006), 71 FR 40558 (July 17, 2006) (SR-CBOE-2006-65).

²⁴ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 61439 (January 28, 2010), 75 FR 5831 (February 4, 2010) (SR-CBOE-2009-087).

²⁵ The Commission initially approved P.M.-settled SPX index options (“SPXPM”) on a 14-month pilot basis (the “SPXPM Pilot”) on C2 Options Exchange, Incorporated (“C2”). See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 65256

The Commission believes that it is appropriate to approve the WEDs proposal on a pilot basis and extend the existing Pilot in order to allow the Exchange to gain experience with the new WEDs and collect data concerning WEDs. The addition of WEDs would offer additional investment options to investors and may be useful for their investment or hedging objectives. The Commission believes that the proposal strikes a reasonable balance between the Exchange's desire to offer a wider array of investment opportunities and the need to avoid unnecessary proliferation of options series that may burden some liquidity providers and further stress options quotation and transaction infrastructure. Further, CBOE's proposed extended Pilot period should allow for both the Exchange and the Commission to continue to monitor the potential for adverse market effects of P.M. settlement on the market, including the underlying cash equities markets at the expiration of these options.

The Commission notes that CBOE will provide the Commission with the Annual Report analyzing volume and open interest of EOWs, EOMs, and WEDs, which will also contain information and analysis of EOWs, EOMs, and WED trading patterns and index price volatility and share trading activity for series that exceed minimum parameters. This information should be useful to the Commission as it evaluates whether allowing P.M. settlement for EOWs, EOMs, and WEDs has resulted in increased market and price volatility in the underlying component stocks, particularly at expiration. The Pilot information should help the Commission and CBOE assess the impact on the markets and determine whether changes to these programs are necessary or appropriate. Furthermore, the Exchange's ongoing analysis of the Pilot should help it monitor any potential risks from large P.M.-settled positions and take appropriate action if warranted.

IV. Conclusion

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,²⁶ that the

(September 2, 2011), 76 FR 55969 (September 9, 2011) (SR-C2-2011-008). The SPXPM Pilot was subsequently transferred from C2 to CBOE and reset to a new 12-month pilot period. *See* Securities Exchange Act Release No. 68888 (February 8, 2013), 78 FR 10668 (February 14, 2013) (SR-CBOE-2012-120). In 2013, the Commission approved the addition of P.M.-settled mini-SPX index options to the SPXPM Pilot and the pilot's extension. *See* Securities Exchange Act Release No. 70087 (July 31, 2013), 78 FR 47809 (August 6, 2013) (SR-CBOE-2013-055).

²⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

proposed rule change (SR-CBOE-2015-106) be, and it hereby is, approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.²⁷

Robert W. Errett,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2016-01059 Filed 1-20-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-76901; File No. SR-NYSEMKT-2016-03]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE MKT LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Amending the Fees for NYSE MKT OpenBook

January 14, 2016.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) ¹ of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act") ² and Rule 19b-4 thereunder, ³ notice is hereby given that, on January 4, 2016, NYSE MKT LLC (the "Exchange" or "NYSE MKT") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend the fees for NYSE MKT OpenBook to: (1) Establish a multiple data feed fee; (2) discontinue fees relating to managed non-display; (3) modify the application of the non-professional user fee cap; and (4) modify fees relating to non-display use. The proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's Web site at www.nyse.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received

on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend the fees for NYSE MKT OpenBook,⁴ as set forth on the NYSE MKT Equities Proprietary Market Data Fee Schedule ("Fee Schedule"). The Exchange proposes to make the following fee changes effective January 4, 2016:

- Establish a multiple data feed fee;
- Discontinue fees relating to managed non-display;
- Modify the application of the non-professional user fee cap; and
- Modify fees relating to non-display use.

The Exchange also proposes to modify the application of the non-professional fee cap, effective April 1, 2016.

Multiple Data Feed Fee

The Exchange proposes to establish a new monthly fee, the "Multiple Data Feed Fee," that would apply to data recipients that take a data feed for a market data product in more than two locations. Data recipients taking NYSE MKT OpenBook in more than two locations would be charged \$200 per additional location per month. No new reporting would be required.⁵

Managed Non-Display Fees

Non-Display Use of NYSE MKT market data means accessing, processing, or consuming NYSE MKT market data delivered via direct and/or

⁴ *See* Securities Exchange Act Release No. 60123 (June 17, 2009), 74 FR 30192 (June 24, 2009) (SR-NYSEAmex-2009-28) (establishing NYSE MKT OpenBook). *See also* Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 69285 (April 3, 2013), 78 FR 21172 (April 9, 2013) (SR-NYSEMKT-2013-32) (adopting access fees, subscriber fees, and non-display fees) ("2013 Non-Display Filing"), 72020 (Sept. 9, 2014), 79 FR 55040 (Sept. 15, 2014) (SR-NYSEMKT-2014-72) (amending non-display fees) ("2014 Non-Display Filing") and 73986 (Jan. 9, 2015), 80 FR 1444 (Jan. 9, 2015) (SR-NYSEMKT-2014-113) ("2015 NYSE MKT OpenBook Notice").

⁵ Data vendors currently report a unique Vendor Account Number for each location at which they provide a data feed to a data recipient. The Exchange considers each Vendor Account Number a location. For example, if a data recipient has five Vendor Account Numbers, representing five locations, for the receipt of the NYSE MKT OpenBook product, that data recipient will pay the Multiple Data Feed fee with respect to three of the five locations.

²⁷ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 15 U.S.C. 78a.

³ 17 CFR 240.19b-4.