

## EPA-APPROVED INDIANA REGULATIONS

Indiana citation	Subject	Indiana effective date	EPA approval date	Notes
*	*	*	*	*
<b>Article 8: Volatile Organic Compound Rules</b>				
<b>Rule 4: Petroleum Sources</b>				
8-4-1 .....	Applicability .....	3/5/2015	6/9/2016, [Insert <b>Federal Register</b> citation].	
*	*	*	*	*
8-4-6 .....	Gasoline dispensing facilities .....	3/5/2015	6/9/2016, [Insert <b>Federal Register</b> citation].	
*	*	*	*	*

\* \* \* \* \*

[FR Doc. 2016-13605 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R05-OAR-2015-0136; FRL-9947-48-Region 5]

### Air Plan Approval; Minnesota; Sulfur Dioxide

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is approving a revision to the Minnesota sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) State Implementation Plan (SIP) for ELT Minneapolis, LLC's (ELT) River Road Industrial Center located in Fridley, Anoka County, Minnesota. The revision, submitted by the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency on February 24, 2016, updates information to reflect both administrative and equipment changes at the facility. The name of the facility has changed to BAE Technology Center (BAE). The revision will result in a significant decrease in SO<sub>2</sub> emissions and will support the continued attainment and maintenance of the SO<sub>2</sub> national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS) in the Twin Cities area.

**DATES:** This rule is effective on August 8, 2016, unless EPA receives adverse written comments by July 11, 2016. If EPA receives adverse comments, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal of the rule in the **Federal Register** and inform the public that the rule will not take effect.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R05-OAR-2016-0136 at [http://](http://www.regulations.gov)

[www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) or via email to [blakley.pamela@epa.gov](mailto:blakley.pamela@epa.gov). For comments submitted at [Regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov), follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from [Regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov). For either manner of submission, EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.* on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section. For the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <http://www2.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Charles Hatten, Environmental Engineer, Control Strategies Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312)886-6031, [hatten.charles@epa.gov](mailto:hatten.charles@epa.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document whenever "we," "us," or "our" is used, we mean EPA. This supplementary information section is arranged as follows:

##### I. Background Information

- II. How is the SIP being revised?
- III. What is EPA's analysis of the state's submission?
- IV. What action is EPA taking?
- V. Incorporation by Reference
- VI. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

#### I. Background Information

In the SIP, the ELT River Road Industrial Center is subject to specific restrictions as part of Minnesota's SIP for SO<sub>2</sub> in the Twin Cities Seven County SO<sub>2</sub> area (Twin Cities area).<sup>1</sup> The SIP for ELT's River Road Industrial Center was most recently approved by EPA on August 3, 2010, (75 FR 148).

Currently, four fossil fuel-fired boilers (#1, #2, #3, and #4) and four emergency generators (#5, #6, #7, and #8) are the primary emission units at the facility. Boilers #1, #2, and #3 use natural gas as their primary fuel with distillate oil as a backup fuel. Boiler #4 uses natural gas for fuel. All the emergency generators use low sulfur diesel fuel. In addition, the facility is subject to fuel usage limitations to restrict the total facility SO<sub>2</sub> emissions.

#### II. How is the SIP being revised?

On February 24, 2016, the MPCA submitted a revision to Minnesota's SO<sub>2</sub> SIP for the ELT River Road Industrial Center. The revision, most specifically, reflects changes as a result of new ownership.

In 2015, as part of a purchase agreement, corporate ownership transferred from ELT to the Gramercy Property Trust Fridley Owner LLC (GPT Fridley). GPT Fridley changed the name of the facility from River Road Industrial Center to BAE.

Under new ownership, BAE will be used for office and warehouse space. The emergency generators are used for stand-by power, for both life-safety, and

<sup>1</sup> The area was officially designated attainment of the SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS on July 31, 1995 (60 FR 28339).

communications in the event of electrical power is lost.

The revised SIP identifies the boilers and emergency generators as both emission units (EU) and numbered equipment (EQUI). For example, boiler #4 (EU 004) is now identified as boiler #4 (EU 004/EQUI 1).

Because part of the BAE facility had been demolished, boilers #1, #2, and #3, and emergency generators #7 and #8 were decommissioned and removed from the facility. Boiler #4, and emergency generators #5 and #6 were relocated within the facility.

As part of the recent changes to the facility, boiler #4, which burns natural gas, has been modified to burn fuel oil as a backup fuel. Boiler #4 has a design capacity rated at 10.46 million British thermal units per hour (MMBtu/hr). BAE has imposed limits on boiler #4 to restrict its fuels to natural gas and distillate fuel oil, with a sulfur content limit on the fuel oil of less than or equal to 0.05 percent by weight.

Boilers #1, #2, and #3, which had design capacities rated at 69.8, 69.8, and 35.1 MMBtu/hr, respectively, have been replaced with a newer, more efficient boiler. The new boiler #5 has a design capacity rated at 19.674 MMBtu/hr. Boiler #5 is restricted to combusting natural gas and distillate fuel oil with a sulfur content limit on the fuel oil of less than or equal to 0.05 percent by weight as a backup fuel.

### III. What is EPA's analysis of the state's submission?

The SO<sub>2</sub> emission units operating at the BAE facility are boilers #4 and #5 and two emergency generators (#5 and #6). Boilers #1, #2, and #3, and emergency generators #7 and #8 have been removed from the facility.

Boiler #4's potential SO<sub>2</sub> emissions increase by 2.33 tons per year.<sup>2</sup> Boiler #5's potential SO<sub>2</sub> emissions using distillate fuel as a backup fuel are 4.37 tons per year.

Overall, the emissions change from replacing the three older boilers (#1, #2, and #3) with a new, more efficient boiler #5, coupled with modifications to boiler #4 to burn fuel oil as a backup fuel, result in a significant decrease in SO<sub>2</sub> emissions at the BAE facility. This action reduces the facility's total SO<sub>2</sub> emissions from 39.76 tons per year to 7.25 tons per year. The net emissions change is a reduction of 32.51 tons of SO<sub>2</sub> per year for the BAE facility.

SO<sub>2</sub> monitors near the BAE facility are currently measuring values less than 10

parts per billion (ppb), well below the 1-hour SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS of 75 ppb. EPA expects the air quality in the Twin Cities area to remain protected with the revisions being approved.

The revised SO<sub>2</sub> SIP for the BAE facility provides for reductions in allowable emissions, and therefore, strengthens the SO<sub>2</sub> SIP for the Twin Cities area. Thus, EPA believes the BAE facility revision request is approvable.

### IV. What action is EPA taking?

EPA is approving the request by Minnesota to revise the SO<sub>2</sub> SIP as it applies to the BAE Technology Center. Specifically, EPA is approving into the SIP those portions of the BAE Technology Center facility Joint Title I/ Title V document, permit No. 00300245-003, cited as "[Title I Condition: 40 CFR 50.4(SO<sub>2</sub> SIP), Title I Condition: 40 CFR pt. 52, subp. Y]." This replaces the current SO<sub>2</sub> SIP for ELT Minneapolis, LLC.

This revision will result in an overall reduction of SO<sub>2</sub> emissions at the facility, which supports the continued attainment and maintenance of the SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS in the Twin Cities area.

We are publishing this action without prior proposal because we view this as a noncontroversial amendment and anticipate no adverse comments. However, in the proposed rules section of this **Federal Register** publication, we are publishing a separate document that will serve as the proposal to approve the state plan if relevant adverse written comments are filed. This rule will be effective August 8, 2016 without further notice unless we receive relevant adverse written comments by July 11, 2016. If we receive such comments, we will withdraw this action before the effective date by publishing a subsequent document that will withdraw the final action. All public comments received will then be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on the proposed action. EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. If we do not receive any comments, this action will be effective August 8, 2016.

### V. Incorporation by Reference

In this rule, EPA is finalizing regulatory text that includes incorporation by reference. In accordance with requirements of 1 CFR 51.5, EPA is finalizing the incorporation by reference of the Minnesota regulations described in the amendments to 40 CFR part 52 set forth below. The EPA has made, and will continue to make, these documents

generally available through [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) and/or at the appropriate EPA office (see the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this preamble for more information).

### VI. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the Clean Air Act (CAA), the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the CAA and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this action:

- Is not a "significant regulatory action" subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4);
- Does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- Is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA; and
- Does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, the SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where EPA or an

<sup>2</sup> Section 3 of Minnesota's technical support document provides a full analysis of the emission calculations and the results of the emission changes.

Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this action and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by August 8, 2016. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this action for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. Parties with objections to this direct final rule are encouraged to file a comment in response to the parallel notice of proposed rulemaking for this action published in the proposed rules section of today’s **Federal Register**, rather than file an immediate petition for judicial review of this direct final rule, so that EPA can withdraw this direct final rule and address the comment in the proposed rulemaking. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur dioxide.

Dated: May 31, 2016.

**Robert A. Kaplan,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5.*

40 CFR part 52 is amended as follows:

#### PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

■ 2. In § 52.1220, the table in paragraph (d) is amended by removing the entry for “ELT Minneapolis, LLC” and adding in alphabetical order an entry for “BAE Technology Center” to read as follows:

#### § 52.1220 Identification of plan.

*	*	*	*	*
(d)	*	*	*	*

#### EPA-APPROVED MINNESOTA SOURCE-SPECIFIC PERMITS

Name of source	Permit No.	State effective date	EPA approval date	Comments
* * *	* * *	* * *	* * *	* * *
BAE Technology Center ..	00300245–003	01/20/16	6/9/16, [Insert <b>Federal Register</b> citation].	Only conditions cited as “[Title I Condition: 40 CFR 50.4(SO <sub>2</sub> SIP), Title I Condition: 40 CFR 51, Title I Condition: 40 CFR pt. 52, subp. Y]”.
* * *	* * *	* * *	* * *	* * *

\* \* \* \* \*

[FR Doc. 2016–13604 Filed 6–8–16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

#### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

#### 50 CFR Part 622

[Docket No. 0907271173–0629–03]

RIN 0648–XE666

#### Fisheries of the Caribbean, Gulf of Mexico, and South Atlantic; 2015 Commercial Accountability Measure and Closure for South Atlantic Snowy Grouper

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Temporary rule; closure.

**SUMMARY:** NMFS implements accountability measures (AMs) for commercial snowy grouper in the exclusive economic zone (EEZ) of the South Atlantic. NMFS projects commercial landings for snowy grouper will reach the commercial annual catch limit (ACL) by June 14, 2016. Therefore, NMFS closes the commercial sector for snowy grouper in the South Atlantic EEZ on June 14, 2016, and it will remain closed until the start of the next fishing season on January 1, 2017. This closure is necessary to protect the snowy grouper resource.

**DATES:** This rule is effective 12:01 a.m., local time, June 14, 2016, until 12:01 a.m., local time, January 1, 2017.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mary Vara, NMFS Southeast Regional Office, telephone: 727–824–5305, email: [mary.vara@noaa.gov](mailto:mary.vara@noaa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The snapper-grouper fishery of the South Atlantic includes snowy grouper and is

managed under the Fishery Management Plan for the Snapper-Grouper Fishery of the South Atlantic Region (FMP). The FMP was prepared by the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council and is implemented by NMFS under the authority of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (Magnuson-Stevens Act) by regulations at 50 CFR part 622.

The commercial ACL (commercial quota) for snowy grouper in the South Atlantic is 125,760 lb (57,044 kg), gutted weight, 148,397 lb (67,312 kg), round weight, for the current fishing year, January 1 through December 31, 2016, as specified in 50 CFR 622.190(a)(1)(ii).

Under 50 CFR 622.193(b)(1), NMFS is required to close the commercial sector for snowy grouper when the commercial quota is reached, or is projected to be reached, by filing a notification to that effect with the Office of the **Federal Register**. NMFS projects that commercial landings of South Atlantic