

Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

**Background.**—On June 6, 2016, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (81 FR 10656, March 1, 2016) of the subject five-year reviews was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting full reviews.<sup>1</sup> Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct expedited reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)).<sup>2</sup>

For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A and B (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

**Staff report.**—A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the reviews will be placed in the nonpublic record on June 30, 2016, and made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for these reviews. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to section 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission's rules.

**Written submissions.**—As provided in section 207.62(d) of the Commission's rules, interested parties that are parties to the reviews and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,<sup>3</sup> and any party other than an interested party to the reviews may file written comments with the Secretary on what determinations the Commission should reach in the reviews. Comments are due on or before July 6, 2016 and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year reviews nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information)

pertinent to the reviews by July 6, 2016. However, should the Department of Commerce extend the time limit for its completion of the final results of its reviews, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce's final results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce's results. All written submissions must conform with the provisions of section 201.8 of the Commission's rules; any submissions that contain BPI must also conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's *Handbook on E-Filing*, available on the Commission's Web site at <http://edis.usitc.gov>, elaborates upon the Commission's rules with respect to electronic filing.

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the reviews must be served on all other parties to the reviews (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

**Determination.**—The Commission has determined these reviews are extraordinarily complicated and therefore has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(B).

**Authority:** These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: June 20, 2016.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2016-14883 Filed 6-22-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[USITC SE-16-022]

### Government in the Sunshine Act Meeting Notice

#### CHANGE OF TIME TO GOVERNMENT IN THE SUNSHINE MEETING

**AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING:** United States International Trade Commission.

**DATE:** June 22, 2016.

**ORIGINAL TIME:** 11:00 a.m.

**NEW TIME:** 9:30 a.m.

**PLACE:** Room 101, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, Telephone: (202) 205-2000.

**STATUS:** Open to the public.

In accordance with 19 CFR 201.35(d)(2)(i), the Commission hereby gives notice that the Commission has determined to change the time of the meeting of June 22, 2016, from 11:00 a.m. to 9:30 a.m.

In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting. Earlier notification of this change was not possible.

By order of the Commission.

Dated: June 20, 2016.

**William R. Bishop,**

*Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2016-14950 Filed 6-21-16; 11:15 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Office of Justice Programs

[OJP (NIJ) Docket No. 1714]

#### Draft Test Procedures for the Gun Safety Technology Challenge

**AGENCY:** National Institute of Justice, Justice.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The National Institute of Justice (NIJ) seeks feedback from the public on the draft failure definition and scoring criteria (FDSC) developed for the Gun Safety Technology Challenge, published here: <http://www.nij.gov/funding/pages/fy16-gun-safety-challenge.aspx>. Evaluation of the test data will employ failure definition (FD) and scoring criteria (SC) to draw conclusions regarding the performance of the submitted firearms or firearms accessories. The document describes the FD and SC that will be used to "score" test events that occur during the testing of handguns, such as pistols and revolvers, in the Challenge.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by 5 p.m. Eastern Time on August 8, 2016.

**How to Respond and What to Include:** The draft FDSC document in both Word and pdf formats can be found here: <http://www.nij.gov/funding/pages/fy16-gun-safety-challenge.aspx>. To submit comments, please send an email to [gunsafetytechnology@usdoj.gov](mailto:gunsafetytechnology@usdoj.gov). Please indicate the page number, section number, and the line number associated with each comment. Comments may also be provided as a markup of the Word document. Please provide contact information with the submission of comments.

<sup>1</sup> A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's Web site.

<sup>2</sup> Chairman Broadbent and Commissioner Johanson found that additional circumstances existed to warrant full reviews, and voted to conduct full reviews of the antidumping duty orders.

<sup>3</sup> The Commission has found the responses submitted by Weldbend Corporation and a joint response to the notice from Tube Forgings of America, Inc., Mills Iron Works, Inc., and Hackney Ladish, Inc., to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (see 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** NIJ was tasked with supporting the President's Plan to Reduce Gun Violence, specifically:

The President is directing the Attorney General to work with technology experts to review existing and emerging gun safety technologies, and to issue a report on the availability and use of those technologies. In addition, the Administration will issue a challenge to the private sector to develop innovative and cost-effective gun safety technology and provide prizes for those technologies that are proven to be reliable and effective.

In support of this Executive action, NIJ has conducted a technology assessment and market survey of existing and emerging gun safety technologies that would be of interest to the law enforcement and criminal justice communities and others with an interest in gun safety and advanced firearm technology. These firearms or firearms accessories can be understood to use integrated components that exclusively permit an authorized user or set of users to operate or fire the gun and automatically deactivate it under a set of specific circumstances, reducing the chances of accidental or purposeful use by an unauthorized user. The integrated gun safety technology may include different authentication technologies, such as radio frequency identification and fingerprint sensors.

A report published in June 2013 by NIJ entitled *A Review of Gun Safety Technologies* (<https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/242500.pdf>) examined existing and emerging gun safety technologies, and their availability and use, to provide a comprehensive perspective on firearms with integrated advanced safety technologies. Following the report, NIJ published a **Federal Register** Notice (<https://federalregister.gov/a/2014-27368>) to receive information regarding which firearms and firearms accessories, that incorporate advanced safety technologies, could be made available by industry for testing and evaluation in the Challenge.

NIJ now seeks an objective demonstration of the reliability of firearms available today with advanced gun safety technology integrated into the firearm. The reliability of firearms with integrated advanced safety technologies has been cited as a concern regarding the potential performance and user acceptance of products that may incorporate such technologies, as discussed in the 2013 NIJ report. It is anticipated that the results of the Challenge will provide a basis to improve the general understanding of whether the addition of a smart gun

technology does or does not significantly reduce the reliability of the firearm system compared to existing firearms. It is believed that this is the first effort to apply a methodology to provide a rigorous and scientific assessment of the technical performance characteristics of these types of firearms.

With this Challenge, manufacturers and developers of (1) firearms that incorporate advanced safety technologies or (2) firearms accessories utilizing advanced safety technologies that are intended to modify firearms were able to submit their products for testing and evaluation. The Challenge is designed to proceed in an escalated manner in three stages, including an informational and safety review, light duty single product testing, and more heavy duty expanded product testing. To assess the reliability of smart gun technology, the U.S. Army Aberdeen Test Center (ATC) plans to perform firearm testing and evaluation. The Challenge was published on October 7, 2015, and closed to submissions on January 5, 2016.

NIJ hopes to better understand the effect of smart gun technology on the reliability of the firearm versus the same or similar firearms without the added safety technology. This Challenge seeks "apples to apples" comparisons to the greatest extent possible. Testing and evaluation is designed to prioritize the collection and use of data that can substantiate conclusions about the relative performance of firearms, so that firearms with and without advanced gun safety technology that are similar with respect to type, form factor, caliber, and other physical characteristics are tested and evaluated using a common methodology and equivalent ammunition. Testing and evaluation is not designed to provide comparison of test results against absolute performance requirements or safety criteria, but rather to provide a meaningful comparison of test results of one firearm against another similar firearm, or a firearm with and without a relevant safety accessory. NIJ recently sought feedback from the public on the draft test procedures developed for the Gun Safety Technology Challenge, published here: <https://federalregister.gov/a/2016-10121>. That document describes test methods to provide a basis to determine whether the addition of a smart gun technology does or does not significantly reduce the reliability of the

firearm system compared to existing firearms.

**Nancy Rodriguez,**

*Director, National Institute of Justice.*

[FR Doc. 2016-14925 Filed 6-22-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-18-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Office of Workers' Compensation Programs

#### Advisory Board on Toxic Substances and Worker Health: Subcommittee on Industrial Hygienists (IH) & Contract Medical Consultants (CMC) and Their Reports

**AGENCY:** Office of Workers' Compensation Programs, Labor.

**ACTION:** Announcement of meeting of the Subcommittee on IH & CMC and Their Reports of the Advisory Board on Toxic Substances and Worker Health (Advisory Board) for the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act (EEOICPA).

**SUMMARY:** The subcommittee will meet via teleconference on July 18, 2016, from 2:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m. Eastern Time.

**For Press Inquiries Contact:** For press inquiries: Ms. Amanda McClure, Office of Public Affairs, U.S. Department of Labor, Room S-1028, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20210; telephone (202) 693-4672; email [mcclure.amanda.c@dol.gov](mailto:mcclure.amanda.c@dol.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Advisory Board is mandated by Section 3687 of EEOICPA. The Secretary of Labor established the Board under this authority and Executive Order 13699 (June 26, 2015). The purpose of the Advisory Board is to advise the Secretary with respect to: (1) The Site Exposure Matrices (SEM) of the Department of Labor; (2) medical guidance for claims examiners for claims with the EEOICPA program, with respect to the weighing of the medical evidence of claimants; (3) evidentiary requirements for claims under Part B of EEOICPA related to lung disease; and (4) the work of industrial hygienists and staff physicians and consulting physicians of the Department of Labor and reports of such hygienists and physicians to ensure quality, objectivity, and consistency. The Advisory Board sunsets on December 19, 2019. This subcommittee is being assembled to gather data and begin working on advice under Area #4, IH & CMC and Their Reports.

The Advisory Board operates in accordance with the Federal Advisory