

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR****Bureau of Ocean Energy Management**

[Docket No. BOEM-2016-0008]

**Gulf of Mexico, Outer Continental Shelf (OCS), Western Planning Area (WPA) Oil and Gas Lease Sale 248; MMAA104000****AGENCY:** Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (BOEM), Interior.**ACTION:** Notice of availability of a record of decision

**SUMMARY:** BOEM is announcing the availability of a Record of Decision for proposed oil and gas WPA Lease Sale 248. This Record of Decision identifies the Bureau's selected alternative for proposed WPA Lease Sale 248, which is analyzed in the *Gulf of Mexico OCS Oil and Gas Lease Sale: 2016; Western Planning Area Lease Sale 248 Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement* (WPA 248 Supplemental EIS). BOEM has selected the proposed action, which is identified as BOEM's preferred alternative (Alternative A) in the WPA 248 Supplemental EIS. The Record of Decision and associated information are available on the agency's Web site at <http://www.boem.gov/nepaprocess/>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For more information on the Record of Decision, you may contact Mr. Gary D. Goeke, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Gulf of Mexico OCS Region, 1201 Elmwood Park Boulevard (GM 623E), New Orleans, Louisiana 70123-2394. You may also contact Mr. Goeke by telephone at 504-736-3233.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In the WPA 248 Supplemental EIS, BOEM evaluated three alternatives, which are summarized below with regard to proposed WPA Lease Sale 248:

*Alternative A—The Proposed Action:* This is BOEM's preferred alternative. This alternative would offer for lease all unleased blocks within the proposed WPA lease sale area for oil and gas operations with the following exception: whole and partial blocks within the boundary of the Flower Garden Banks National Marine Sanctuary (*i.e.*, the boundary as of the publication of the WPA 248 Supplemental EIS).

All unleased whole and partial blocks in the WPA that BOEM will offer for leasing in proposed WPA Lease Sale 248 are listed in the document "List of Blocks Available for Leasing," which is included in the Final Notice of Sale for WPA Lease Sale 248 being published contemporaneously. The proposed WPA lease sale area encompasses nearly all of

the WPA's 28.58 million acres. As of June 2016, approximately 23.7 million acres of the proposed WPA lease sale area are unleased. The estimated amount of resources projected to be developed as a result of the proposed WPA lease sale is 0.116–0.200 billion barrels of oil (BBO) and 0.538–0.938 trillion cubic feet (Tcf) of gas.

*Alternative B—Exclude the Unleased Blocks Subject to the Topographic Features Stipulation:* This alternative would offer for lease all unleased blocks within the proposed WPA lease sale area, as described for the proposed action (Alternative A), but it would exclude from leasing any unleased blocks subject to the Topographic Features Stipulation. The estimated amount of resources projected to be developed under this alternative is 0.116–0.200 BBO and 0.538–0.938 Tcf of gas. The number of blocks that would not be offered under Alternative B represents only a small percentage of the total number of blocks to be offered under Alternative A; therefore, it is expected that the levels of activity for Alternative B would be essentially the same as those projected for the WPA proposed action.

*Alternative C—No Action:* This alternative is the cancellation of proposed WPA Lease Sale 248 and is identified as the environmentally preferred alternative.

*Lease Stipulations—*The WPA 248 Supplemental EIS describes all lease stipulations, which are included in the Final Notice of Sale Package. The five lease stipulations for proposed WPA Lease Sale 248 are the Topographic Features Stipulation, the Military Areas Stipulation, the Protected Species Stipulation, the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea Royalty Payment Stipulation, and the Stipulation on the Agreement between the United States of America and the United Mexican States Concerning Transboundary Hydrocarbon Reservoirs in the Gulf of Mexico. Several of these lease stipulations have been developed to help mitigate potential impacts from oil and gas activities. All practicable means to avoid or minimize environmental harm from the selected alternative at the lease sale stage are being adopted through application of these stipulations. The stipulations will be added as lease terms where applicable and will therefore be enforceable as part of the lease. Appendix A of the WPA 248 Supplemental EIS, which is incorporated by reference into the WPA 248 Supplemental EIS, provides a list and description of standard post-lease mitigating measures that may be

required by BOEM or the Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement as a result of post-lease plan and permit review processes for the Gulf of Mexico OCS Region.

After careful consideration, BOEM has selected the proposed action, which is identified as BOEM's preferred alternative (Alternative A) in the WPA 248 Supplemental EIS. BOEM's selection of the preferred alternative meets the purpose and need for the proposed action, as identified in the WPA 248 Supplemental EIS, and reflects an orderly resource development with protection of the human, marine, and coastal environments while also ensuring that the public receives an equitable return for these resources and that free-market competition is maintained.

**Authority:** This Notice of Availability of a Record of Decision is published pursuant to the regulations (40 CFR part 1503) implementing the provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended (42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*).

Dated: July 18, 2016.

**Abigail Ross Hopper,**  
Director, Bureau of Ocean Energy  
Management.

[FR Doc. 2016-17566 Filed 7-22-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MR-P

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE****Antitrust Division****Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Advanced Media Workflow Association, Inc.**

Notice is hereby given that, on June 22, 2016, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Advanced Media Workflow Association, Inc. has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Arkena, Paris, FRANCE; DirectOut GmbH, Mittweida, GERMANY; Macnica, Inc., Solana Beach, CA; MNC Software, Inc., San Diego, CA; MOG Technologies, SA, Maia, PORTUGAL; Real-Time Innovations, Sunnyvale, CA; Ross Video, Ottawa, Ontario, CANADA; Sebastien Crème (individual member), Paris, FRANCE; and Carl Fleischhauer (individual member), Port Republic,

MD, have been added as parties to this venture.

Also, MOG Solutions SA, Maia, PORTUGAL; and National TeleConsultants, Glendale, CA, have withdrawn as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and Advanced Media Workflow Association, Inc. intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On March 28, 2000, Advanced Media Workflow Association, Inc. filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on June 29, 2000 (65 FR 40127).

The last notification was filed with the Department on March 23, 2016. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on April 18, 2016 (81 FR 22633).

**Patricia A. Brink,**

*Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 2016-17434 Filed 7-22-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Integrated Photonics Institute for Manufacturing Innovation Operating Under the Name of the American Institute for Manufacturing Integrated Photonics

Notice is hereby given that, on June 16, 2016, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), the Integrated Photonics Institute for Manufacturing Innovation operating under the name of the American Institute for Manufacturing Integrated Photonics (“AIM Photonics”), has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties to the venture and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances.

Pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties to the venture are: The Research Foundation

for the State University of New York, acting on behalf of the State University of New York Polytechnic Institute, Albany, NY; The Trustees of Columbia University in the City of New York, New York, NY; The Regents of the University of California, on behalf of its Santa Barbara campus, Santa Barbara, CA; Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA; Arizona Board of Regents on behalf of the University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ; The Rector and Visitors of the University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA; and SunEdison Semiconductor Limited, St. Peters, MO.

The general area of AIM Photonics’ planned activity is research, development and demonstration in the manufacture of integrated photonics. AIM Photonics seeks to (1) advance integrated photonic circuit manufacturing technology development while simultaneously providing access to state-of-the-art fabrication, packaging, and testing capabilities for commercial enterprises, academia and the government; (2) create an adaptive integrated photonic circuit workforce capable of meeting industry needs and thus further increasing domestic competitiveness; and (3) meet participating commercial, defense and civilian agency needs in this burgeoning technology area. AIM Photonics became the sixth Institute for Manufacturing Innovation. Its objective is to increase manufacturing in the United States.

**Patricia A. Brink,**

*Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 2016-17435 Filed 7-22-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### United States v. VA Partners I, LLC, ValueAct Capital Master Fund, LP, and ValueAct Co-Invest International, LP; Proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)–(h), that a proposed Final Judgment, Stipulation, and Competitive Impact Statement have been filed with the United States District Court for the Northern District of California in *United States of America v. VA Partners I, LLC, et al.*, Civil Action No. 16-cv-01672. On April 4, 2016, the United States filed a Complaint against VA Partners I, LLC, ValueAct Capital Master Fund, L.P. and ValueAct Co-Invest International, L.P. (collectively “ValueAct” or

“Defendants”) alleging that ValueAct’s acquisitions of voting securities of Halliburton Company and Baker Hughes Incorporated violated Section 7A of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 18a, commonly known as the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvement Act of 1976 (the “HSR Act”). The proposed Final Judgment requires the Defendants to pay a civil penalty of \$11,000,000 and further prohibits Defendants from engaging in conduct of the sort alleged in the Complaint, in violation of the HSR Act.

Copies of the Complaint, proposed Final Judgment, and Competitive Impact Statement are available for inspection on the Antitrust Division’s Web site at <http://www.justice.gov/atr> and at the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. Copies of these materials may be obtained from the Antitrust Division upon request and payment of the copying fee set by Department of Justice regulations.

Public comment is invited within 60 days of the date of this notice. Such comments, including the name of the submitter, and responses thereto, will be posted on the Antitrust Division’s Web site, filed with the Court, and, under certain circumstances, published in the **Federal Register**. Comments should be directed to Kathleen S. O’Neill, Chief, Transportation, Energy & Agriculture Section, Antitrust Division, Department of Justice, 450 Fifth Street NW., Suite 8000, Washington, DC 20530 (telephone: 202-307-2931).

/s/

**Patricia A. Brink,**

*Director of Civil Enforcement.*

Kathleen S. O’Neill (PA Bar No. 82785)  
Joseph Chandra Mazumdar (WI Bar No. 1030967)

Brian E. Hanna (VA Bar No. 80439)

Robert A. Lepore (AZ Bar No. 028137)

Tai Milder (CABN 267070)

United States Department of Justice,

Antitrust Division

450 Fifth Street, NW., Suite 8000

Washington, DC 20530

Telephone: (202) 307-2931

Fax: (202) 307-2874

Email: [kathleen.oneill@usdoj.gov](mailto:kathleen.oneill@usdoj.gov)

Brian J. Stretch (CABN 163973)

United States Attorney

[Additional counsel listed on signature page]

Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America

#### United States District Court for the Northern District of California San Francisco Division

*United States of America, Plaintiff, v. VA Partners I, LLC, Valueact Capital Master*