In the same publication on page 41203 in footnote number 14, the Lockheed airplane model number referenced is "328." The correct number should be "382."

Correction

In FR Doc. 2016–14454, beginning on page 41200 in the **Federal Register** of June 24, 2016, make the following corrections:

Correction

1. On page 41200, in the second column, correct the 4th header paragraph to read as follows:

"[Ďocket No.: FAA–2014–0500; Amdt. Nos. 25–143, 121–375, and 129–52]."

2. On page 41203, in the second column, correct the text of footnote number 14 to read as follows:

"The previously approved Lockheed 382 and Embraer flame arrestors would not have met the 2 minute and 30 second requirement."

Issued under authority provided by 49 U.S.C. 106(f) and 44701(a) in Washington, DC, on July 19, 2016.

Lirio Liu,

Director, Office of Rulemaking. [FR Doc. 2016–17590 Filed 7–25–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Parts 91, 121, 125, 129, and 135 [Docket No. FAA-2011-1082]

Provision of Navigation Services for the Next Generation Air Transportation System (NextGen) Transition to Performance-Based Navigation (PBN)

(Plan for Establishing a VOR Minimum Operational Network)

AGENCY: Federal Aviation

Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Final policy statement.

SUMMARY: This action sets forth the Very High Frequency (VHF) Omnidirectional Range (VOR) Minimum Operational Network (MON) policy as proposed in the Proposed Provision of Navigation Services for the Next Generation Air Transportation System (NextGen) Transition to Performance-Based Navigation (PBN) notice of proposed policy published on December 15, 2011 (76 FR 77939). This document provides the discontinuance selection criteria and candidate list of VOR Navigational Aids (NAVAIDs) targeted for discontinuance as part of the VOR MON Implementation Program and United States (U.S.) National Airspace System

(NAS) Efficient Streamline Services Initiative. Additionally, this policy addresses the regulatory processes the FAA plans to follow to discontinue VORs

DATES: Effective July 26, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Leonixa Salcedo, VOR MON Program Manager, AJM–324, Navigation Programs, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20591; email: vormon@faa.gov; telephone: (844) 4VORMON (844–486–7666).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 15, 2011 the FAA published in the Federal Register a notice of proposed policy and request for comments (76 FR 77939) on the FAA's proposed strategy for gradually reducing the current VOR network to a Minimum Operational Network (MON) as the NAS transitions to performancebased navigation (PBN) as part of the Next Generation Air Transportation System (NextGen). The FAA announced that, as part of a NAS Efficient Streamlined Services Initiative, the number of conventional NAVAIDs would be reduced while more efficient Area Navigation (RNAV) routes and procedures are implemented throughout the NAS. The FAA noted its intention to convene a working group to assist in developing a candidate list of VORs for discontinuance using relevant operational, safety, cost, and economic criteria. Interested parties were invited to participate in the review of this policy and planning effort by submitting written comments on the proposal.

The FAA reviewed all 330 comments received and on August 21, 2012, published in the Federal Register the disposition of the comments on the notice of proposed policy (77 FR 50420). In considering and disposing of the comments, the FAA noted that it would develop an initial VOR MON Plan which would be made publicly available. The FAA renewed its intention to convene a working group that would assist in developing objective criteria which would be applied consistently nationally and regionally to help identify those VOR facilities that would remain operational.

Criteria for Assessing VOR Discontinuance

After the FAA published the disposition of comments, stakeholders, industry, and military services provided further inputs to the FAA for consideration in developing the criteria used to select VORs that would need to

be retained as a part of the MON. The FAA also sought recommendations from aviation industry stakeholders through the RTCA Tactical Operations Committee (TOC). With this collective input, the FAA developed the criteria to determine which VORs would be candidates for retention. VORs not meeting these criteria were considered as discontinuance candidates.

The following criteria were used by the FAA to determine which VORs would be retained as a part of the MON:

- Retain VORs to perform Instrument Landing System (ILS), Localizer (LOC), or VOR approaches supporting MON airports at suitable destinations within 100 NM of any location within the CONUS. Selected approaches would not require Automatic Direction Finder (ADF), Distance Measuring Equipment (DME), Radar, or GPS.
- Retain VORs to support international oceanic arrival routes.
- Retain VORs to provide coverage at and above 5,000 ft AGL.
- Retain most VORs in the Western U.S. Mountainous Area (WUSMA), specifically those anchoring Victor airways through high elevation terrain.
- Retain VORs required for military use.
- VORs outside of the CONUS were not considered for discontinuance under the VOR MON Implementation Program.

The following considerations were used to supplement the VOR MON criteria above:

- Only FAA owned/operated VORs were considered for discontinuance.
- Co-located DME and Tactical Air Navigation (TACAN) systems will generally be retained when the VOR service is terminated.
- Co-located communication services relocated or reconfigured to continue transmitting their services.

Working Group

Using the established criteria, the FAA convened an internal working group to develop a candidate list of VORs using the VOR MON criteria relevant to operational, safety, cost, and economic considerations. The group developed the VOR MON service by first selecting MON airports that met the criteria listed above. Airports with ILS approaches that met the criteria were selected in preference to VOR approaches. If two airports in close proximity had suitable approaches, then the airport whose ILS or VOR approach required the FAA to retain the fewest number of VORs (i.e., to identify initial,

final, stepdown, and missed approach points) were generally selected. VORs necessary to fulfil other criteria were then selected to be retained. Additional VORs were retained to provide coverage at 5000 ft AGL. The effect of terrain blockage and unavailable radials from some VORs was considered, as was MON airport infrastructure, radar coverage, and training. The overall goal of the effort was to provide the VOR MON service according to the criteria while retaining the fewest number of VORs.

The working group also considered flight procedure development requirements and capabilities, nonrulemaking and rulemaking processing requirements and timelines, and geographic impacts to the NAS as it refined the candidate list of VORs for retention. Since some VORs are colocated with communications services, such as the Hazardous Inflight Weather Advisory Service (HIWAS) and Remote Communication Outlet (RCO), the working group also identified which services would be reconfigured or relocated in order to continue to provide those services. For VORs not listed as part of the MON, the FAA's working groups developed a candidate list of VORs for discontinuance arranged in two Phases (2016-2020 and 2021-2025) by Service Area based on Instrument Flight Procedure (IFP) mitigation complexity.

Discontinuance Process

The FAA will follow its established policies and processes for VOR discontinuance according to FAA Order JO 7400.2, *Procedures for Handling Airspace Matters*. Prior to making any VOR discontinuance determinations,

the geographically responsible Air Traffic Organization (ATO) service area office will publish a circular notice of the proposed discontinuance action to solicit comments from aviation interested persons and organizations. A brief description of the discontinuance effect on airspace and instrument procedures will be included in the circularization. Once a determination to discontinue a VOR has been made, the responsible service area office will initiate established part 71 and part 97 rulemaking processing procedures. The FAA will publish Notices of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) in the Federal Register to solicit public comments on all proposed part 71 airspace and ATS route amendments resulting from VOR discontinuance determinations prior to taking any amendment actions within the NAS. After consideration of all public comments received in response to published NPRMs, the FAA will determine whether to issue final rules announcing part 71 airspace and Air Traffic Service (ATS) route and part 97 standard instrument procedure amendment determinations in the Federal Register.

The FAA remains committed to the plan to retain an optimized network of VOR NAVAIDs. The MON will enable pilots to revert from Performance Based Navigation (PBN) to conventional navigation for approach, terminal and en route operations in the event of a GPS outage and supports the NAS transition from VOR-based routes to a more efficient PBN structure consistent with NextGen goals and the NAS Efficient Streamlined Services Initiative.

The FAA continues to plan the NAS transition from defining ATS routes and

instrument procedures using VORs and other conventional NAVAIDs 1 to a point-to-point system based on RNAV and Required Navigation Performance (RNP). RNAV will generally be available throughout the NAS and RNP will be provided where beneficial. The FAA's network of DME NAVAIDs will provide a PBN-capable backup to GPS; however, for aircraft without scanning DME receivers (DD) or DD with Inertial Reference Unit aiding (DDI) equipment, the FAA will provide a conventional navigation backup service based on the proposed VOR MON. The VOR MON is designed to enable aircraft, having lost Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) service, to revert to conventional navigation procedures. The VOR MON is further designed to allow aircraft to proceed to a MON airport where an ILS or VOR approach procedure can be flown without the necessity of GPS, DME, ADF, or Surveillance. Of course, any airport with a suitable instrument approach may be used for landing, but the VOR MON assures that at least one airport will be within 100 NM.

Discontinuance Candidate List

Provided below is a candidate list of VORs being considered for discontinuance in Phase 1 (2016–2020) and Phase 2 (2021–2025) as the FAA works toward the establishment of a VOR MON. It is tentative and may be adjusted based on economic or other factors. As of June 21, 2016 the 45 facilities denoted with an asterisk (*) have completed the circularization public notice process and the FAA has made its determination for discontinuance:

VOR MON PROGRAM—PHASE 1 CANDIDATE DISCONTINUANCE LIST (FY2016-FY2020)

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
ID	VOR Name	City	ST
Wes	tern Service Area (WSA) Phase 1 Discontinu	ance Total: 10	
BSR	. BIG SUR	BIG SUR	CA
CCR	. CONCORD	CONCORD	CA
CIC	. CHICO	CHICO	CA
CZQ	. CLOVIS	FRESNO	CA
ECA *	NAANTEOA	STOCKTON	CA
łYP	EL NIDO	MERCED	CA
IA	LIDEDATOR	MOUNTAIN HOME	ID
/XW *		MAXWELL	CA
PVU	DD 01/0	PROVO	UT
ROM	DDIEGT	PRIEST	CA
Cer	tral Service Area (CSA) Phase 1 Discontinua	ance Total: 31	•
ANY	ANTHONY	ANTHONY	KS
AOH *	ALLEN COUNTY	LIMA	ОН
ASP	*** 0 * 5 * 5		MI

¹ Includes Tactical Air Navigation (TACAN), VOR/TACAN (VORTAC), VOR/DME, and Non-Directional Beacon (NDB) operated by the FAA.

ID	VOR Name	City	S
3DE	BAUDETTE	BAUDETTE	MN
BRD *	_		
TL*			
UA *			
UU			
WS			
GI			-
SX		1 -	
AK			
DD	PORT CITY	MUSCATINE	IA
UC	DUNCAN	DUNCAN	OK
W	KENOSHA		
_P	FLIPPIN	FLIPPIN	AR
BG			
TH			
RK			
		-	
JB *			
JW			
X			
K	KANKAKEE	KANKAKEE	
N	LANSING		
Т	TIMMERMAN	MILWAUKEE	WI
VV			
TO			
SI			
S *			WI
ΓΕ*			
YO*	SAYRE	SAYRE	OK
	Eastern Service Area (ESA) Phase 1 Disc	continuance Total: 33	
3B *	NABB	NABB	l IN
00	ALTOONA	ALTOONA	PA
ИL	BERLIN	BERLIN	NH
MC			
CT			
YY			
AN			
KK*			
YR*	DYERSBURG		
DS *	ORANGEBURG	ORANGEBURG	SC
_Z *	WELLSVILLE	WELLSVILLE	NY
NA *	KEWANEE	KEWANEE	MS
(N *		FRANKLIN	VA
FL*			
RV *			
AB			
_L			
JL			
/N		I	-
ZL*	HAZLETON	HAZLETON	PA
H	ITHACA		
(S*			
/L *		I	
MJ	=		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		I	
[T*		I	
.B*		I	
NE			
۱N *			ME
KT *	PATUXENT	PATUXENT RIVER	MD
NL*			
UT			
LK			
		I	
DG *	TALLADEGA	TALLADEGA	AL
VOR MON	I Program—Phase 2 Candidate Discon	NTINUANCE LIST (FY2021_FY2025)	
		·	
ID	VOR Name	City	8

ID	VOR Name	City	ST
DEN	DENVER	DENVER BELLINGHAM EVERETT SANTA ROSA	CO WA WA CA

Central Service Area (CSA) Phase 2 Discontinuance Total: 131				
ATY	WATERTOWN	WATERTOWN	SD	
AUW	WAUSAU	WAUSAU	WI	
AZO	KALAMAZOO	KALAMAZOO	МІ	
BDF	BRADFORD	BRADFORD	IL	
BGD	BORGER	BORGER	TX	
BMI	BLOOMINGTON	BLOOMINGTON	IL	
BPT	BEAUMONT	BEAUMONT PORT ARTHUR	TX	
BRO	BROWNSVILLE	BROWNSVILLE	ΤX	
BVT	BOILER	LAFAYETTE	iN	
CLL	COLLEGE STATION	COLLEGE STATION	TX	
-			KS	
CNU	CHANUTECEDAR CREEK	CHANUTECEDAR CREEK		
CQY			TX	
CTW	NEWCOMERSTOWN	NEWCOMERSTOWN	OH	
CXR	CHARDON	CHARDON	OH	
DAS	DAISETTA	DAISETTA	TX	
DNV	DANVILLE	DANVILLE	IL	
DVL	DEVILS LAKE	DEVILS LAKE	ND	
DWN	DARWIN	DARWIN	MN	
DXO	DETROIT	DETROIT	MI	
EIC	BELCHER	SHREVEPORT	LA	
ELA	EAGLE LAKE	EAGLE LAKE	TX	
ELO	ELY	ELY	MN	
ELX	KEELER	KEELER	MI	
EON	PEOTONE	PEOTONE	IL	
EOS	NEOSHO	NEOSHO	MO	
FAH	FALLS	SHEBOYGAN	WI	
FBC	FLAG CITY	FINDLAY	ОН	
FCM	FLYING CLOUD	MINNEAPOLIS	MN	
FOD	FORT DODGE	FORT DODGE	IA	
FRM	FAIRMONT	FAIRMONT	MN	
GIJ	GIPPER	NILES	MI	
GLD	GOODLAND	GOODLAND	KS	
GLR	GAYLORD	GAYLORD	MI	
GNL	GROESBECK	MEXIA	TX	
GNP	GLENPOOL	GLENPOOL	ok	
GPZ	GRAND RAPIDS	GRAND RAPIDS	MN	
GQE			AR	
	GILMORE	GILMORE		
GSH	GOSHEN	GOSHEN	IN	
HIC	WHITE CLOUD	WHITE CLOUD	MI	
HML	HUMBOLDT	HUMBOLDT	MN	
HON	HURON	HURON	SD	
HSI	HASTINGS	HASTINGS	NE	
HYR	HAYWARD	HAYWARD	WI	
HYS	HAYS	HAYS	KS	
ICT	WICHITA	WICHITA	KS	
IDU	INDUSTRY	INDUSTRY	TX	
IFI	KINGFISHER	KINGFISHER	OK	
IMT	IRON MOUNTAIN	IRON MOUNTAIN KINGSFORD	MI	
IRK	KIRKSVILLE	KIRKSVILLE	MO	
ISD	WINNER	WINNER	SD	
ISQ	SCHOOLCRAFT COUNTY	MANISTIQUE	MI	
JEN	GLEN ROSE	GLEN ROSE	TX	
JFN	JEFFERSON	JEFFERSON	ОН	
JVL	JANESVILLE	JANESVILLE	WI	
JWJ	ELMWOOD	MARSHALLTOWN	IA	
JXN	JACKSON	JACKSON	MI	
LBL	LIBERAL	LIBERAL	KS	
LFD	LITCHFIELD	LITCHFIELD	MI	
		I -		
LFT	LAFAYETTE	LAFAYETTE	LA	
LLO	LLANO	LONE BOOK	TX	
LNR	LONE ROCK	LONE ROCK	WI	
LOA	LEONA	LEONA	TX	
LSE	LA CROSSE	LA CROSSE	WI	
MAP	MAPLES	MAPLES	MO	
MAW	MALDEN	MALDEN	MO	

ID	VOR Name	City	
IBL	MANISTEE	MANISTEE	N
CM	MACON	MACON	
FD	MANSFIELD	MANSFIELD	
FE	MC ALLEN	MC ALLEN	1
IE	MUNCIE		
KG	MUSKEGON	MUSKEGON	N
KT			
LC			
NM			
	_		
ON			
OP			
SP		MINNEAPOLIS	
TW	MANITOWOC	MANITOWOC	١ ١
NA	MARION	MARION	1
ZV	MOLINE	MOLINE	1
ZZ			
3H			
DI			
(K		KOKOMO	
.K			
NL		O'NEILL	
OM MC			
RD*			1
SW		OSWEGO	h
ΓG			N
ΓM			
XI			
(D			
L			
N			
им	PULLMAN	PULLMAN	N
NT	PONTIAC	PONTIAC	1
3X	PARIS	PARIS	7
NE			
3A			
3S			
FD			
D			
OD	ROSEWOOD	ROSEWOOD	
OX	ROSEAU	ROSEAU	N
QR	RESERVE		
T			
'N			
M			
3H			
.R	SULPHUR SPRINGS	SULPHER SPRINGS	7
「L	CARDINAL	ST LOUIS	N
JX	SIOUX CITY	SIOUX CITY	1
/M			
VB			
<u> X</u>			
O			
U			
L	TEMPLE	TEMPLE	
Н	TERRE HAUTE	TERRE HAUTE	1
′T		I	
V	_		
N			
W			
RH			
Α	VANDALIA	VANDALIA	1
N	MOUNT VERNON	MOUNT VERNON	1
VV			
(N			
NG			
_			
ZV	ZANESVILLE	ZANESVILLE	
	Eastern Service Area (ESA) Phase 2 Disc	continuance Total: 98	1
GC			F
лС	AI MA	AI MA	

ALMA

ARMEL

AMG

ALMA

ARMELVA

GA

ID	VOR Name	City	ST
AZQ	HAZARD	HAZARD	KY
BDR		.	1
BFD			
BKW			
BUF		.	
BWG*			1
BWZ			
CCV		CAPE CHARLES	
CIP			
CKB*			
CLT			
CMK			
COL			
CRI			
-			
CSG			-
CSN			
CTY			
CVG			
CVI			
DCA			
DCU*			
DNY			
ECB *		. =	
EEN	KEENE	KEENE	NH
ELW			SC
ERI	ERIE	ERIE	PA
ESL	KESSEL		
ETG	KEATING	KEATING	РА
EUF*			
EWO			
FJC			
FLM			1
FLO			
FQM			
GCV*			
GGT			
GHM*		-	
GNV *			
GQO			
GRD			
HEZ			
HLI			
HMV			
HNK			
HNN *			
HRS*			
HTO			
HUO	HUGUENOT	HUGUENOT	NY
IHD*	INDIAN HEAD	SEVEN SPRINGS	PA
LBV	LA BELLE	LA BELLE	FL
LDN	LINDEN	LINDEN	VA
LEB	LEBANON	LEBANON	NH
LGA			
LVZ			
LWB			
LWM			
LYH			
MCN			
MEM	_		
MHT			
MIP	_		
MSL			
MSS			
MXE		-	
MYS*			
ODF	FOOTHILLS		
ODR	WOODRUM	WOODRUM	VA
OOD			
ORW*			
PDK			-
	1 LAVIIILL	/\:I=\:I\!I/\	UA
PHK		PAHOKEE	FL

ID	VOR Name	City	ST
PSM	PEASE	PORTSMOUTH	NH
PTW	POTTSTOWN	POTTSTOWN	PA
PUT	PUTNAM	PUTNAM	CT
PWL	PAWLING	POUGHKEEPSIE	NY
REC	REVLOC	REVLOC	PA
RKA	ROCKDALE	ROCKDALE	NY
ROA*	ROANOKE	ROANOKE	VA
SBY	SALISBURY	SALISBURY	MD
SFK	STONYFORK	STONYFORK	PA
SLT	SLATE RUN	SLATE RUN	PA
STW	STILLWATER	STILLWATER	NJ
SUG	SUGARLOAF MOUNTAIN	ASHEVILLE	NC
SWL	SNOW HILL	SNOW HILL	MD
TAY*	TAYLOR	TAYLOR	FL
TDT	TIDIOUTE	TIDIOUTE	PA
TEB	TETERBORO	TETERBORO	NJ
TGE*	TUSKEGEE	TUSKEGEE	AL
THS	ST THOMAS	ST THOMAS	PA
TRV	TREASURE	VERO BEACH	FL
UCA	UTICA	UTICA	NY
ULW	ELMIRA	ELMIRA	NY
VAN	VANCE	VANCE	SC
YRK	YORK	YORK	KY

Issued in Washington, DC, on July 19, 2016.

Leonixa Salcedo,

VOR MON Program Manager, AJM-324. [FR Doc. 2016–17579 Filed 7–25–16; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

20 CFR Part 655

RIN 1290-AA31

Department of Labor Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act Catch-Up Adjustments; Correction

AGENCY: Employment and Training Administration, Department of Labor. **ACTION:** Interim final rule; correction.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Labor (DOL) is correcting an interim final rule published in the Federal Register on July 1, 2016 (81 FR 43430). The interim final rule adjusts the amounts of civil penalties assessed or enforced in its regulations pursuant to the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act of 1990 as amended by the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act Improvements Act of 2015. That document inadvertently provided an incorrect authority citation when revising the general authority section for 20 CFR part 655. This document corrects the interim final rule by revising the appropriate authority section.

DATES: Effective Date: August 1, 2016. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Pamela Peters, Program Analyst, U.S. Department of Labor, Room S–2312, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20210; telephone: (202) 693–5959 (this is not a toll-free number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: DOL published a document in the **Federal Register** on July 1, 2016 (81 FR 43430), which made inadvertent revisions to the authority citation for part 655.

In FR Doc. 2016–15378, published on July 1, 2016, (81 FR 43430), make the following correction:

PART 655—TEMPORARY EMPLOYMENT OF FOREIGN WORKERS IN THE UNITED STATES [Corrected]

■ 1. On page 43448, in the second and third columns, in part 655—Temporary Employment of Foreign Workers in the United States, the general authority citation is corrected to read as follows:

Authority: Section 655.0 issued under 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(E)(iii), 1101(a)(15)(H)(i) and (ii), 8 U.S.C. 1103(a)(6), 1182(m), (n) and (t), 1184(c), (g), and (j), 1188, and 1288(c) and (d); sec. 3(c)(1), Pub. L. 101-238, 103 Stat. 2099, 2102 (8 U.S.C. 1182 note); sec. 221(a), Pub. L. 101-649, 104 Stat. 4978, 5027 (8 U.S.C. 1184 note); sec. 303(a)(8), Pub. L. 102-232, 105 Stat. 1733, 1748 (8 U.S.C. 1101 note); sec. 323(c), Pub. L. 103-206, 107 Stat. 2428; sec. 412(e), Pub. L. 105-277, 112 Stat. 2681 (8 U.S.C. 1182 note); sec. 2(d), Pub. L. 106-95, 113 Stat. 1312, 1316 (8 U.S.C. 1182 note); 29 U.S.C. 49k; Pub. L. 107-296, 116 Stat. 2135, as amended; Pub. L. 109-423, 120 Stat. 2900; 8 CFR 214.2(h)(4)(i); and 8 CFR 214.2(h)(6)(iii).

Subpart A issued under 8 CFR 214.2(h). Subpart B issued under 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(H)(ii)(a), 1184(c), and 1188; and 8 CFR 214.2(h).

Subparts F and G issued under 8 U.S.C. 1288(c) and (d); sec. 323(c), Pub. L. 103–206, 107 Stat. 2428; and 28 U.S.C. 2461 note, Pub. L. 114–74 at section 701.

Subparts H and I issued under 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) and (b)(1), 1182(n) and (t), and 1184(g) and (j); sec. 303(a)(8), Pub. L. 102–232, 105 Stat. 1733, 1748 (8 U.S.C. 1101 note); sec. 412(e), Pub. L. 105–277, 112 Stat. 2681; 8 CFR 214.2(h); and 28 U.S.C. 2461 note, Pub. L. 114–74 at section 701.

Subparts L and M issued under 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(c) and 1182(m); sec. 2(d), Pub. L. 106–95, 113 Stat. 1312, 1316 (8 U.S.C. 1182 note); Pub. L. 109–423, 120 Stat. 2900; and 8 CFR 214.2(h).

Signed at Washington, DC this 20th day of July, 2016.

Thomas E. Perez,

Secretary, U.S. Department of Labor.
[FR Doc. 2016–17552 Filed 7–25–16; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4510–HL–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

21 CFR Parts 510, 520, 522, 524, and 558

[Docket No. FDA-2014-N-0002]

New Animal Drugs; Change of Sponsor

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Final rule.