

A. Overview of Information Collection

Title of Information Collection:
Assessing Compliance with ACC and
Regulatory Insurance Requirements.

OMB Approval Number: Pending
OMB Approval.

Type of Request: New.
Form Number: None.

Description of the need for the
information and proposed use: the
information collected will be used to
assess PHAs compliance with ACC and
regulatory insurance requirements.
PHAs are required to have appropriate
property/casualty insurance coverage
needed to protect Federal interest in
PHA properties and operations.

Respondents (i.e. affected public):
PHAs.

Estimated Number of Respondents:
300.

Estimated Number of Responses: 300.

Frequency of Response: Once (This is
a one-time survey).

Average Hours per Response: The
expected average response time for the
survey is 20 minutes. (Some of the
questions have only binary responses:
Yes ___ No).

Total Estimated Burdens: 100.

B. Solicitation of Public Comment

This notice is soliciting comments
from members of the public and affected
parties concerning the collection of
information described in Section A on
the following:

(1) Whether the proposed collection
of information is necessary for the
proper performance of the functions of
the agency, including whether the
information will have practical utility;

(2) The accuracy of the agency's
estimate of the burden of the proposed
collection of information;

(3) Ways to enhance the quality,
utility, and clarity of the information to
be collected; and

(4) Ways to minimize the burden of
the collection of information on those
who are to respond; including through
the use of appropriate automated
collection techniques or other forms of
information technology, *e.g.*, permitting
electronic submission of responses.

HUD encourages interested parties to
submit comment in response to these
questions.

Authority: Section 3507 of the Paperwork
Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35.

Dated: July 22, 2016.

Merrie Nichols-Dixon,

*Deputy Director, Office of Policy, Programs
and Legislative Initiatives.*

[FR Doc. 2016-18296 Filed 8-1-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-67-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**Bureau of Land Management**

[LLC0956000 L14400000.BJ0000 16X]

**Notice of Filing of Plats of Survey;
Colorado**

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management,
Interior.

ACTION: Notice of filing of plats of
survey; Colorado

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Land
Management (BLM) Colorado State
Office is publishing this notice to
inform the public of the intent to
officially file the survey plats listed
below and afford a proper period of time
to protest this action prior to the plat
filing. During this time, the plats will be
available for review in the BLM
Colorado State Office.

DATES: Unless there are protests of this
action, the filing of the plats described
in this notice will happen on September
1, 2016.

ADDRESSES: BLM Colorado State Office,
Cadastral Survey, 2850 Youngfield
Street, Lakewood, CO 80215-7093.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Randy Bloom, Chief Cadastral Surveyor
for Colorado, (303) 239-3856.

Persons who use a
telecommunications device for the deaf
(TDD) may call the Federal Information
Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339
to contact the above individual during
normal business hours. The FIRS is
available 24 hours a day, seven days a
week, to leave a message or question
with the above individual. You will
receive a reply during normal business
hours.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The plat,
in 2 sheets, and field notes of the
dependent resurvey and survey in
fractional Township 14 South, Range 98
West, Sixth Principal Meridian,
Colorado, were accepted on June 30,
2016.

The plat and field notes of the
dependent resurvey and survey in
Township 15 South, Range 71 West,
Sixth Principal Meridian, Colorado,
were accepted on July 5, 2016.

The plat and field notes of the
dependent resurvey in Township 2
North, Range 86 West, Sixth Principal
Meridian, Colorado, were accepted on
July 14, 2016.

The plat and field notes of the
dependent resurvey and survey in
Township 47 North, Range 1 West, New
Mexico Principal Meridian, Colorado,
were accepted on March 7, 2016.

The plat incorporating the field notes
of the remonumentation of certain

corners in Township 8 North, Range 71
West, Sixth Principal Meridian,
Colorado, was accepted on July 21,
2016.

Dale E. Vinton,

Acting Chief Cadastral Surveyor for Colorado.

[FR Doc. 2016-18276 Filed 8-1-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-JB-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**Bureau of Land Management**

[FY16.LLAZA00000.L17110000.DF0000.
241A]

**Notice of Termination of Uinkaret
Mountains Landscape Restoration
Project Environmental Impact
Statement, Arizona**

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management,
Interior.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The preparation of an
Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)
for the proposed Uinkaret Mountains
Landscape Restoration Project is no
longer required and the process is
hereby terminated. Pursuant to the
National Environmental Policy Act of
1969, as implemented by the Council on
Environmental Quality (CEQ)
regulations, the Bureau of Land
Management (BLM) on October 21,
2014, published a notice of intent (NOI)
to prepare an EIS. The EIS would have
analyzed proposed vegetation
treatments in the Uinkaret Mountains
Landscape Restoration Project area.

DATES: Termination of the EIS process
for the Uinkaret Mountains Landscape
Restoration Project is effective
immediately.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Richard Spotts, Planning and
Environmental Coordinator, (435) 688-
3207; rspotts@blm.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The
BLM's Arizona Strip District Office has
determined it is appropriate to
terminate the Uinkaret Mountains
Landscape Restoration Project EIS and
prepare an Environmental Assessment
(EA) instead. The NOI to prepare an EIS
was published in the **Federal Register**
on October 21, 2014 (79 FR 62954) and
announced the scoping period for the
proposed project. The initial project
proposal listed a variety of potential
vegetative treatments, including
manual, mechanical, chemical, wildfire
management for resource benefit,
prescribed fire, and seeding for the
overall project area of approximately
128,535 acres, located on lands
managed by the Arizona Strip Field

Office and Grand Canyon Parashant National Monument, within the Arizona Strip District.

Preliminary issues from internal and external public scoping include but are not limited to: Excessive fuel loading leading to increased wildfire risk; impacts from past management activities such as grazing and fire suppression; pinyon and juniper encroachment into sagebrush and ponderosa communities; soil erosion; and the need to treat decadent sagebrush stands.

After careful consideration of preliminary issues, public scoping comments, and field-verification of existing resource conditions, BLM modified the proposed action to specific vegetation treatment units within the overall project area, of which 18,675 acres is proposed to receive manual, mechanical, seeding, erosion control, and chemical treatments and 38,713 acres are proposed to receive fire treatments. The proposed action and one other action alternative, which would implement only the fire treatments, were developed. Design features, applicable to all action alternatives, were also modified to include special resource protections to mitigate the environmental impacts, such as avoiding all known cultural resources following intensive surveys, treating areas when soils are not saturated to minimize soil compaction, ensuring mechanical treatment equipment is cleaned prior to use to minimize the spread of noxious weeds, avoiding old growth ponderosa stands, and designing treatments in irregular shapes to reduce visual contrast.

The BLM evaluated the modified the proposed action, no action, and an alternative action, against the CEQ significance criteria (40 CFR 1508.27) and determined that the anticipated effects from the treatment methods are consistent with the preparation of an EA rather than an EIS.

Thus, the BLM hereby terminates preparation of an EIS for the proposed Uinkaret Mountains Landscape Restoration Project. National Environmental Policy Act public involvement procedures will be adhered to in the development on the Uinkaret Mountains Landscape Restoration Project EA.

Authority: 40 CFR 1506.6, 40 CFR 1506.10

Timothy J. Burke,
District Manager.

[FR Doc. 2016-18272 Filed 8-1-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-32-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Alaaeldin A. Babiker, M.D.; Decision and Order

On January 21, 2015, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration, issued an Order to Show Cause to Alaaeldin A. Babiker, M.D. (hereinafter, Registrant), of Yuma, Arizona. The Show Cause Order proposed the revocation of Registrant's DEA Certificate of Registration BB7566461, pursuant to which he is authorized to dispense controlled substances in schedules II through V as a practitioner, as well as the denial of any applications, on two grounds. GX 1, at 1.

First, the Show Cause Order alleged that on October 4, 2014, the Arizona Medical Board issued Registrant an "Order for Decree of Censure, Probation, and Practice Restriction and Consent to the Same" which "restricted [him] from prescribing any controlled substances." *Id.* The Show Cause Order thus alleged that because Registrant does not have authority to dispense controlled substances in Arizona, the State in which he is registered with DEA, his registration is subject to revocation. *Id.* (citing 21 U.S.C. 802(21), 823(f), 824(a)(3)).

Second, based on various findings of fact and legal conclusions contained in the Board's Order, the Show Cause Order alleged that Registrant had committed acts which render his registration "inconsistent with the public interest" in that he "did not comply with applicable state law related to controlled substances." *Id.* at 2 (citing 21 U.S.C. 823(f)(4)). More specifically, the Show Cause Order alleged that: (1) "[F]rom 2008 through 2012, [Registrant] issued controlled substance prescriptions to [his] wife"; and that (2) on December 8, 2012, he was "diagnosed with opioid dependence, Xanax abuse and Adderall abuse." *Id.* Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 32-1401(27)(h) & (g)).

The Show Cause Order then made multiple allegations regarding Registrant's prescribing of narcotics to patient B.S. These included that: (1) During the period he prescribed oxycodone to B.S., he "added morphine to the patient's medications" and also increased B.S.'s oxycodone prescriptions without explaining why he did so in B.S.'s chart; (2) he "did not treat [B.S.'s] chronic pain with additional evaluations or other therapeutic interventions"; and (3) that he "deviated from the standard of care

by failing to address" lab results which suggested that B.S. was using marijuana as well as by failing to adequately document B.S.'s marijuana usage. *Id.* (citing Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 32-1401(27)(e) & (q)).

Finally, the Show Cause Order notified Registrant of his right to request a hearing on the allegations or to submit a written statement of position while waiving his right to a hearing, the procedure for electing either option, and the consequence of failing to elect either option. GX 1, at 2-3 (citing 21 CFR 1301.43; *id.* § 1301.46).

On January 29, 2015, a Special Agent went to an address in Yuma, Arizona which was identified as Registrant's address by a lawyer who had represented him before the Arizona Medical Board. According to the Special Agent, he arrived at the residence at 4:30 p.m. at which time he "encountered no persons at the residence" and there were "[n]o vehicles or indications of any persons at the residence during the time" he was present. GX 7, at 1. The Special Agent reported that he left a copy of the Show Cause Order "in the door jamb of the front door in plain sight." *Id.* However, at this juncture, the Government undertook no other steps to effect service.

Several months later, the Government submitted a Request for Final Agency Action contending that 30 days had passed since Registrant was served with the Show Cause Order and that neither he, nor anyone representing him, had requested a hearing or sent any correspondence to DEA. Request for Final Agency Action, at 7-8. On review by my Office, service was deemed to be inadequate and the Government was directed to re-serve Registrant with the Show Cause Order.

On October 2, 2015, a Diversion Investigator mailed the Show Cause Order to Registrant at his residence address (as identified by his lawyer) by first class mail. GX 9, at 2 (Supplemental Declaration of DI). Thereafter, "[o]n or about January 20, 2016," the DI mailed the Show Cause Order to Registrant by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested addressed to him at the same address as well as at two other reported addresses. *Id.* However, each of these mailings was returned unclaimed. *Id.* Subsequently, on April 6, 2016, the DI re-mailed the Show Cause Order to Registrant by regular First Class Mail to each of the three addresses. *Id.* According to the affidavit of a Legal Assistant with the Office of Chief Counsel, as of July 13, 2016, the Office of Administrative Law Judges had not received either a hearing