8410–01–279–7736—Skirt, Gabardine, Lined, Marine Corps, Women's, Blue, 10S
8410–01–279–7737—Skirt, Gabardine, Lined, Marine Corps, Women's, Blue, 10R
8410–01–279–7738—Skirt, Gabardine, Lined, Marine Corps, Women's, Blue, 10L
8410–01–279–7739—Skirt, Gabardine, Lined, Marine Corps, Women's, Blue, 12S
8410–01–279–7740—Skirt, Gabardine, Lined, Marine Corps, Women's, Blue, 12R
8410–01–279–7741—Skirt, Gabardine, Lined, Marine Corps, Women's, Blue, 12L
8410–01–279–7742—Skirt, Gabardine, Lined, Marine Corps, Women's, Blue, 14S
8410–01–279–7743—Skirt, Gabardine, Lined, Marine Corps, Women's, Blue, 14R
8410–01–279–7744—Skirt, Gabardine, Lined, Marine Corps, Women's, Blue, 14L
8410–01–279–7745—Skirt, Gabardine, Lined, Marine Corps, Women's, Blue, 16S
8410–01–279–7746—Skirt, Gabardine, Lined, Marine Corps, Women's, Blue, 16R
8410–01–279–7747—Skirt, Gabardine, Lined, Marine Corps, Women's, Blue, 16L
8410–01–279–7748—Skirt, Gabardine, Lined, Marine Corps, Women's, Blue, 18S
8410–01–279–7749—Skirt, Gabardine, Lined, Marine Corps, Women's, Blue, 18R
8410–01–279–7750—Skirt, Gabardine, Lined, Marine Corps, Women's, Blue, 18L
8410–01–279–7751—Skirt, Gabardine, Lined, Marine Corps, Women's, Blue, 20S
8410–01–279–7752—Skirt, Gabardine, Lined, Marine Corps, Women's, Blue, 20R
8410–01–279–7753—Skirt, Gabardine, Lined, Marine Corps, Women's, Blue, 20L

Contracting Activity: Defense Logistics Agency Troop Support

NSN(s)—Product Name(s):
7520–01–385–7362—Pencil, Mechanical, Side Action, Green Barrel, 0.7 mm
7520–01–354–2305—Pencil, Mechanical, Push Action, Red Barrel and Lead, Extra Bold Point (1.1 mm)

Mandatory Source(s) of Supply: San Antonio Lighthouse for the Blind, San Antonio, TX

Contracting Activity: General Services Administration, New York, NY

NSN(s)—Product Name(s):
7510–01–443–2121—Toner, Cartridges, New
7510–00–NIB–0633—Skilcraft Toner Cartridge
7510–00–NIB–0642—Skilcraft Toner Cartridge

Mandatory Source(s) of Supply: Alabama Industries for the Blind, Talladega, AL

Contracting Activity: General Services Administration, New York, NY

NSN(s)—Product Name(s):
7045–01–599–5322—Glare Shield for iPhone
7045–01–599–5271—Glare Shield for Blackberry Bold
7045–01–599–5273—Glare Shield for Blackberry Storm2
7045–01–599–5290—Glare Shield for Blackberry Curve2
7045–01–599–5275—Universal PDA Glare Shield
7045–01–599–5287—Privacy Shield for iPhone
7045–01–599–5276—Privacy Shield for Blackberry Bold
7045–01–599–5278—Privacy Shield for Blackberry Storm2
7045–01–599–5285—Privacy Shield for Blackberry Curve2
7045–01–599–5282—Privacy Shield for PDA, Universal

Mandatory Source(s) of Supply: Wiscraft, Inc., Milwaukee, WI

Contracting Activity: General Services Administration, New York, NY

NSN(s)—Product Name(s):
7110–00–194–1611—Rotary Drafting Stool—Faux Leather
7110–00–281–4469—Rotary Drafting Stool—Upholstered

Contracting Activity: General Services Administration, Philadelphia, PA

NSN(s)—Product Name(s):
7210–00–NIB–0160—Pillow, Medical, White, 26″ x 20″
7210–00–NIB–0161—Pillow, Medical, Blue, 26″ x 20″
7210–00–NIB–0162—Pillow, Bed, Flame Resistant, Pink, 26″ x 20″

Mandatory Source(s) of Supply: Blind Industries & Services of Maryland, Baltimore, MD

Contracting Activity: Department of Veterans Affairs

NSN(s)—Product Name(s):
5970–01–245–7042—Tape, Electrical Insulation, Black, 1″ W x 108 ft

Mandatory Source(s) of Supply: Cincinnati Association for the Blind, Cincinnati, OH
Blind Industries & Services of Maryland, Baltimore, MD

NSN(s)—Product Name(s):
5970–01–560–5355—Tape, Insulation, Electrical, High Voltage, Black, 2″ x 108′

Mandatory Source(s) of Supply: Blind Industries & Services of Maryland, Baltimore, MD

Contracting Activity: Defense Logistics Agency Aviation

Barry S. Lineback,

Director, Business Operations.

[FR Doc. 2016–19842 Filed 8–18–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6353–01–P

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CPSC–2010–0041]

Collection of Information; Proposed Extension of Approval; Comment Request—Publicly Available Consumer Product Safety Information Database

AGENCY: Consumer Product Safety Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: As required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA) (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC or Commission) requests comments on a proposed extension of approval of a collection of information for the Publicly Available Consumer Product Safety Information Database. The Commission will consider all comments received in response to this notice before requesting an extension of approval of this collection of information from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

DATES: Submit written or electronic comments on the collection of information by October 18, 2016.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by Docket No. CPSC–2010–0041, by any of the following methods:

You may submit comments, identified by Docket No. CPSC–2010–0041, by any of the following methods:

Electronic Submissions: Submit electronic comments to the Federal eRulemaking Portal at: *http://www.regulations.gov.* Follow the instructions for submitting comments. The Commission does not accept comments submitted by electronic mail (email), except through *www.regulations.gov.* The Commission encourages you to submit electronic comments by using the Federal eRulemaking Portal, as described above.

Written Submissions: Submit written submissions by mail/hand delivery/courier to: Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Room 820, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; telephone (301) 504–7923.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name and docket number for this notice. All comments received may be posted without change, including any personal identifiers, contact information, or other personal information provided, to: *http://www.regulations.gov.* Do not submit confidential business information, trade secret information, or other sensitive or protected information that you do not want to be available to

the public. If furnished at all, such information should be submitted in writing.

Docket: For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to: *http://www.regulations.gov,* and insert the docket number CPSC–2010–0041, into the ''Search'' box, and follow the prompts.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For further information contact: Robert H. Squibb, Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; (301) 504–7815, or by email to: *rsquibb@cpsc.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Background

Section 212 of the Consumer Product Safety Improvement Act of 2008 (CPSIA) added section 6A to the Consumer Product Safety Act (CPSA), which requires the Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC or Commission) to establish and maintain a publicly available, searchable database on the safety of consumer products and other products or substances regulated by the Commission (Database). Among other things, section 6A of the CPSA requires the Commission to collect reports of harm from the public for potential publication in the publicly available Database, and to collect and publish comments about reports of harm from manufacturers.

The Commission announced that a proposed collection of information in conjunction with the Database, called the Publicly Available Consumer Product Safety Information Database, had been submitted to OMB for review and clearance under 44 U.S.C. 3501–3520 in a proposed rule published on May 24, 2010 (75 FR 29156). The Commission issued a final rule on the Database on December 9, 2010 (75 FR 76832). The final rule interprets various statutory requirements in section 6A of the CPSA pertaining to the information to be included in the Database and also establishes provisions regarding submitting reports of harm; providing notice of reports of harm to manufacturers; publishing reports of harm and manufacturer comments in the Database; and dealing with confidential and materially inaccurate information.

OMB approved the collection of information for the Database under control number 3041–0146. OMB's most recent extension of approval on December 2, 2013 will expire on December 31, 2016. Accordingly, the Commission now proposes to request an extension of approval of this collection of information.

B. Information Collected Through the Database

The primary purpose of this information collection is to populate the publicly searchable Database of consumer product safety information mandated by section 6A of the CPSA. The Database information collection has four components: Reports of harm, manufacturer comments, branding information, and the Small Batch Manufacturer Registry (SBMR).

Reports of Harm: Reports of harm communicate information regarding an injury, illness, or death, or any risk (as determined by CPSC) of injury, illness, or death, relating to the use of a consumer product. Reports can be submitted to the CPSC by consumers; local, state, or federal government agencies; health care professionals; child service providers; public safety entities; and others. Reports may be submitted in one of three ways: Via the CPSC Web site (*www.SaferProducts.gov*), by telephone via a CPSC call center, or by email, fax, or mail using the incident report form (available for download or printing via the CPSC Web site). Reports may also originate as a free-form letter or email. Submitters must consent to inclusion of their report of harm in the publicly searchable Database.

Manufacturer Comments: A manufacturer or private labeler may submit a comment related to a report of harm after the CPSC transmits the report to the manufacturer or private labeler identified in the report. Manufacturer comments may be submitted through the business portal, by email, mail, or fax. The business portal is a feature of the Database that allows manufacturers who register on the business portal to receive reports of harm and comment on such reports through the business portal. Use of the business portal expedites the receipt of reports of harm and business response times.

A manufacturer may request that the Commission designate information in a report of harm as confidential. Such a request may be made using the business portal, by email, by mail, or by fax. Additionally, any person or entity reviewing a report of harm or manufacturer comment, either before or after publication in the Database, may request that the report or comment, or portions of the report or comment, be excluded from the Database because it contains materially inaccurate information. Such a request may be made by manufacturers using the business portal, by email, mail or fax, and may be submitted by anyone else by email, mail, or fax.

Branding Information: Using the business portal, registered businesses may voluntarily submit branding information to assist CPSC in correctly and timely routing reports of harm involving their products to them. Brand names may be licensed to another entity for use in labeling consumer products manufactured by that entity. CPSC's understanding of licensing arrangements for consumer products ensures that the correct manufacturer is timely notified regarding a report of harm.

Small Batch Manufacturers Registry: The business portal also contains the SBMR, which is the online mechanism by which ''small batch manufacturers'' (as defined in the CPSA) can identify themselves to obtain relief from certain third party testing requirements for children's products. To register as a small batch manufacturer, a business must attest that the company's income level and the number of units of the covered product manufactured for which relief is sought both fall within the statutory limits to receive relief from third party testing.

C. Estimated Burden

1. Estimated Annual Burden for Respondents

We estimate the burden of this collection of information as follows:

TABLE 1—ESTIMATED ANNUAL REPORTING BURDEN FOR REPORTS OF HARM

Collection type	Number of respondents	Response frequency [1]	Total annual responses	Minutes per response	Total burden, in hours [2]
Reports of Harm—submitted through website	6,582	1.03	6,790	12	1,358
Reports of Harm—submitted by phone	2,632	1.01	2,643	10	441
Reports of Harm—submitted by mail, email, fax	780	6.67	5,206	20	1,735

TABLE 1—ESTIMATED ANNUAL REPORTING BURDEN FOR REPORTS OF HARM—Continued

Collection type	Number of respondents	Response frequency [1]	Total annual responses	Minutes per response	Total burden, in hours [2]
Total	9,994		14,639		3,534

[1] Frequency of responses is calculated by dividing the number of responses by the number of respondents.
[2] Numbers have been rounded.

TABLE 2—ESTIMATED ANNUAL REPORTING BURDEN FOR MANUFACTURER SUBMISSIONS

Collection type	Number of respondents	Response frequency [1]	Total annual responses	Minutes per response	Total burden, in hours [2]
Manufacturer Comments—submitted through Web site	532	6.23	3,317	117	6,468
Manufacturer Comments—submitted by mail, email, fax	283	1.22	346	147	848
Requests to Treat Information as Confidential—submitted through Web site	12	1.08	13	42	9
Requests to Treat Information as Confidential—submitted by mail, email, fax	0	n/a	0	72	0
Requests to Treat Information as Materially Inaccurate—submitted through Web site	131	1.82	238	165	655
Requests to Treat Information as Materially Inaccurate—submitted by mail, email, fax	79	1.06	84	195	273
Voluntary Brand Identification	829	1.48	1,228	10	205
Small Batch Manufacturer Identification	2,208	1	2,208	10	368
Total	4,074		7,434		8,826

Based on the data set forth in Tables 1 and 2 above, the annual reporting cost is estimated to be $719,381. This estimate is based on the sum of two estimated total figures for reports of harm and manufacturer submissions. The estimated number of respondents and responses are based on the actual responses received in FY 2015. We assume that the number of responses and respondents will be similar in future years.

Reports of Harm: Table 1 sets forth the data used to estimate the burden associated with submitting reports of harm. We had previously estimated the time associated with the electronic and telephone submission of reports of harm at 12 and 10 minutes, respectively, and because we have had no indication that these estimates are not appropriate or accurate, we used those figures for present purposes as well. We estimate that the time associated with a paper or PDF form would be 20 minutes, on average.

To estimate the costs for submitting reports of harm, we multiplied the estimated total burden hours associated with reports of harm (1,358 hours + 441 hours + 1,735 hours = 3,534 hours) by an estimated total compensation for all workers in private industry of $32.06 per hour,[3] which results in an estimated cost of $113,300 (3,534 hours × $32.06 per hour = $113,300).

Manufacturer Submissions: Table 2 sets forth the data used to estimate the burden associated with manufacturers' submissions to the Database. We observed that a large percentage of the general comments come from a few businesses and assumed that the experience of a business that submits many comments each year would be different from one that submits only a few. Accordingly, we divided all responding businesses into three groups, based on the number of general comments submitted in FY 2015; and then we selected several businesses from each group to contact. The first group we contacted consisted of businesses that submitted 50 or more comments in FY 2015, accounting for 31 percent of all general comments received. The second group we contacted included businesses that submitted six to 49 comments, accounting for 39 percent of all general comments received. The last group contacted included businesses that submitted no more than five comments, accounting for 30 percent of all general comments received.[4] We asked each company contacted how long it typically takes to research, compose, and enter a comment, a claim of materially inaccurate information, or a confidential information claim.

To estimate the burden associated with submitting a general comment through the business portal regarding a report of harm, we averaged the burden provided by each company within each group and then calculated a weighted average from the three groups, weighting each group by the proportion of comments received from that group. We found that the average time to submit a general comment regarding a report of harm is 117 minutes based on the data in Table 3 (((15 minutes + 45 minutes + 30 minutes + 15 minutes)/4 companies) * .31 + ((105 minutes + 45 minutes + 150 minutes + 15 minutes)/4 companies) * .39 + ((240 minutes + 60 minutes + 480 minutes)/3 companies) * .30 = 117 minutes).

[1] Frequency of response is calculated by dividing the number of responses by the number of respondents.

[2] Numbers have been rounded.

[3] U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Table 9 of the Employer Costs for Employee Compensation (ECEC), Private Industry, goods-producing and service-providing industries, by occupational group, June 2016 (data extracted on 06/23/2016 from *http://www.bls.gov/news.release/ecec.t09.htm*.

[4] In the last group one company was excluded as an outlier.

TABLE 3—ESTIMATED BURDEN TO ENTER A GENERAL COMMENT IN THE DATABASE

Group	Company	General comments (minutes)
Group 1	A	15
(>=50 comments)	B	45
	C	30
	D	15
Group 2	A	105
(6–49 comments)	B	45
	C	150
	D	15
Group 3	A	240
(>=5 comments)	B	60
	C	480

Registered businesses generally submit comments through our Web site. Unregistered businesses submit comments by mail, email, or fax. We estimate that for unregistered businesses, submitting comments takes a little longer because we often must ask the businesses to amend their submissions to include the required certifications. Thus, we estimated that on average, comments submitted by mail, email, or fax take 30 minutes longer than those submitted through our Web site (117 minutes + 30 minutes = 147 minutes).

The submission of a claim of materially inaccurate information is a relatively rare event for all respondents. Accordingly, we averaged all responses together. Eight of the businesses contacted had submitted claims of materially inaccurate information. We found that the average time to submit a claim that a report of harm contains a material inaccuracy is 165 minutes ((30 minutes + 90 minutes + 45 minutes + 90 minutes + 60 minutes + 660 minutes + 45 minutes + 300 minutes)/8 companies = 165 minutes).

Registered businesses generally submit claims through the business portal. Unregistered businesses submit claims by mail, email, or fax. We estimate that submitting claims by mail, email, or fax takes a little longer because we often must ask the businesses to amend their submission to include the required certifications. Thus, we estimated that on average, claims submitted by mail, email, or fax take 30 minutes longer than those submitted through our Web site (165 minutes + 30 minutes = 195 minutes).

The submission of a claim of confidential information is a relatively rare event for all respondents; accordingly, we averaged all responses together. Five of the businesses contacted had submitted claims of confidential information. We found that the average time to submit a claim that a report of harm contains confidential information is 42 minutes ((45 minutes + 15 minutes + 60 minutes + 30 minutes + 60 minutes)/5 companies = 42 minutes).

Registered businesses generally submit confidential information claims through the business portal. Unregistered businesses submit confidential information claims by mail, email, or fax. We estimate that submitting claims in this way takes a little longer because we often must ask the businesses to amend their submission to include the required certifications. Thus, we estimate that a confidential information claim submitted by mail, email, or fax would take 30 minutes longer than those submitted through our Web site (42 minutes + 30 minutes = 72 minutes).

For voluntary brand identification, we estimate that a response would take 10 minutes on average. Most responses consist only of the brand name and a product description. In many cases a business will submit multiple entries in a brief period of time and we can see from the date and time stamps on these records that an entry often takes less than two minutes. CPSC staff enters the same data in a similar form based on our own research, and that experience was also factored into our estimate.

For small batch manufacturer identification, we estimate that a response would take 10 minutes on average. The form consists of three check boxes and the information should be readily accessible to the respondent.

The responses summarized in Table 2 are generally submitted by manufacturers. To avoid underestimating the cost associated with the collection of this data, we assigned the higher hourly wage associated with a manager or professional in goods-producing industries to these tasks. To estimate the cost of manufacturer submissions we multiplied the estimated total burden hours in Table 2 (8,826 hours) by an estimated total compensation for a manager or professional in goods-producing industries of $68.67 per hour,[5] which results in an estimated cost of $606,081 (8,826 hours × $68.67 per hour = $606,081).

Therefore, the total estimated annual cost to respondents is $719,381 ($113,300 burden for reports of harm + $606,081 burden for manufacturer submissions = $719,381).

2. Estimated Annual Burden on Government

We estimate the annualized cost to the CPSC to be $954,531. This figure is based on the costs for four categories of work for the Database: Reports of Harm, Materially Inaccurate Information Claims, Manufacturer Comments, and Small Batch Identification. Each category is described below. No government cost is associated with Voluntary Brand Identification because this information is entered directly into the Database by the manufacturer with no processing required by the government. The information assists the government in directing reports of harm to the correct manufacturer. We did not attempt to calculate separately the government cost for claims of confidential information because the number of claims is so small. The time to process these claims is included with claims of materially inaccurate information.

Reports of Harm: The Reports of Harm category includes many different tasks. Some costs related to this category are from two data entry contracts. Tasks related to these contracts include clerical coding of the report, such as

[5] U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Table 9 of the Employer Costs for Employee Compensation (ECEC), Private Industry, goods-producing and service-providing industries, by occupational group, June 2016 (data extracted on 06/23/2016 from *http://www.bls.gov/news.release/ecec.t09.htm.*

identifying the type of consumer product reported and the appropriate associated hazard, as well as performing quality control on the data in the report. Contractor A spends an estimated 5,267 hours per year performing these tasks. With an hourly rate of $33.31 for contractor services, the annual cost to the government of contract A is $175,444. Contractor B spends an estimated 2,539 hours per year performing these tasks. With an hourly rate of $58.09 for contractor services, the annual cost to the government of contract B is $147,491.

The Reports of Harm category also includes sending consent requests for reports when necessary, processing that consent when received, determining whether a product is out of CPSC's jurisdiction, and confirming that pictures and attachments do not have any personally identifiable information. The Reports category also entails notifying manufacturers when one of their products is reported, completing a risk of harm determination form for every report eligible for publication, referring some reports to a Subject Matter Expert (SME) within the CPSC for a determination on whether the reports meet the requirement of having a risk of harm, and determining whether a report meets all the statutory and regulatory requirements for publication. Detailed costs are:

TABLE 4—ESTIMATED COSTS FOR REPORTS OF HARM TASK

Grade level	Number of hours (annual)	Total compensation per hour	Total annual cost
Contract A	5,267	$33.31	$175,444
Contract B	2,539	58.09	147,491
7	200	34.78	6,956
9	300	42.69	12,807
12	5,528	61.91	342,238
13	428	73.37	31,402
14	1,068	86.99	92,905
Total	15,330	.....	809,243

Materially Inaccurate Information (MII) Claims: The MII claims category includes reviewing and responding to claims, participating in meetings where the claims are discussed, and completing a risk of harm determination on reports when a company alleges that a report does not describe a risk of harm.

TABLE 5—ESTIMATED COSTS FOR MII CLAIMS TASK

Grade level	Number of hours (annual)	Total compensation per hour	Total annual cost
12	275	$61.91	$17,025
13	167	73.37	12,253
14	323	86.99	28,098
15	50	101.99	5,100
SES	50	109.97	5,499
Total	865	.....	67,975.00

Manufacturer Comments: The Comments category includes reviewing and accepting or rejecting comments.

TABLE 6—ESTIMATED COSTS FOR MANUFACTURER COMMENTS TASK

Grade level	Number of hours (annual)	Total compensation per hour	Total annual cost
12	62	$61.91	$3,838
13	109	73.37	7,997
Total	171	.....	11,835

Small Batch Manufacturer Identification: The Small Batch Manufacturer Identification category includes time spent posting the list of small batch registrations, as well as answering manufacturers' questions on registering as a Small Batch company and what the implications to that company of small batch registration.

TABLE 7—ESTIMATED COSTS FOR SMALL BATCH TASK

Grade level	Number of hours (annual)	Total compensation per hour	Total annual cost
15	642	$101.99	$65,478
Total	642		$65,478

We estimate the annualized cost to the CPSC of $954,531 by adding the four categories of work related to the Database summarized in Tables 4 through 7 (Reports of Harm ($809,243) + MII Claims ($67,975) + Manufacturer Comments ($11,835) + Small Batch Identification ($65,478) = $954,531).

This information collection renewal request based on an estimated 12,360 burden hours per year for the Database is a decrease of 7,485 hours since this collection of information was last approved by OMB in 2013. The decrease in burden is due primarily to the fact that the number of incoming reports of harm has decreased, and the number of claims based on those reports has decreased as well. While comments did not decline significantly, they did shift to the more efficient online submissions. We note a large increase in small batch manufacturer activity, which has been rising steadily for years. However, this increase was not large enough to offset the decreases in other areas.

D. Request for Comments

The Commission solicits written comments from all interested persons about the proposed collection of information. The Commission specifically solicits information relevant to the following topics:

- Whether the collection of information described above is necessary for the proper performance of the Commission's functions, including whether the information would have practical utility;
- Whether the estimated burden of the proposed collection of information is accurate;
- Whether the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected could be enhanced; and
- Whether the burden imposed by the collection of information could be minimized by use of automated, electronic or other technological collection techniques, or other forms of information technology.

Dated: February 16, 2016.

Todd A. Stevenson,

Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.

[FR Doc. 2016–19811 Filed 8–18–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6355–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Air Force

Board of Visitors of the U.S. Air Force Academy; Notice of Meeting

AGENCY: U.S. Air Force Academy Board of Visitors, Department of Defense.

ACTION: Meeting notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with 10 U.S.C. Section 9355, the U.S. Air Force Academy (USAFA) Board of Visitors (BoV) will hold a meeting at the Center for Character and Leadership Development Building, U.S. Air Force Academy, Colorado Springs, CO on Sept 7 & 8, 2016. On Wednesday, Sept 7, the meeting will begin at 1300 and conclude at 1600. On Thursday, Sept 8, the meeting will begin at 8:00 a.m. and conclude at 1515. The purpose of this meeting is to review morale and discipline, social climate, curriculum, instruction, infrastructure, fiscal affairs, academic methods, and other matters relating to the Academy. Specific topics for this meeting include a Superintendent's Update; USAFA Non-Profits Update; Religious Respect Update; USAFA Academics Update; USAFA's Climate Assessment Survey Results. Public attendance at this USAFA BoV meeting shall be accommodated on a first-come, first-served basis up to the reasonable and safe capacity of the meeting room. In addition, any member of the public wishing to provide input to the USAFA BoV should submit a written statement in accordance with 41 CFR Section 102–3.140(c) and section 10(a)(3) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act and the procedures described in this paragraph. Written statements must address the following details: The issue, discussion, and a recommended course of action. Supporting documentation may also be included as needed to establish the appropriate historical context and provide any necessary background information. Written statements can be submitted to the Designated Federal Officer (DFO) at the Air Force address detailed below at any time. However, if a written statement is not received at least 10 calendar days before the first day of the meeting which is the subject of this notice, then it may not be provided to or considered by the BoV until its next open meeting. The DFO will review all timely submissions with the BoV Chairman and ensure they are provided to members of the BoV before the meeting that is the subject of this notice. If after review of timely submitted written comments and the BoV Chairman and DFO deem appropriate, they may choose to invite the submitter of the written comments to orally present the issue during an open portion of the BoV meeting that is the subject of this notice. Members of the BoV may also petition the Chairman to allow specific personnel to make oral presentations before the BoV. In accordance with 41 CFR Section 102–3.140(d), any oral presentations before the BoV shall be in accordance with agency guidelines provided pursuant to a written invitation and this paragraph. Direct questioning of BoV members or meeting participants by the public is not permitted except with the approval of the DFO and Chairman. For the benefit of the public, rosters that list the names of BoV members and any releasable materials presented during the open portions of this BoV meeting shall be made available upon request.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or to attend this BoV meeting, contact Major James Kuchta, Accessions and Training Division, AF/A1PT, 1040 Air Force Pentagon, Washington, DC 20330, (703) 695–4066, *James.L.Kuchta.mil@mail.mil.*

Henry Williams,

Acting Air Force Federal Register Officer.

[FR Doc. 2016–19783 Filed 8–18–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001–10–P