

0001, telephone: 301-415-3306; email: Lois.James@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given that the NRC has issued renewed facility operating license Nos. NPF-72 and NPF-77 to Exelon Generation Company, LLC, the operator of Braidwood Station. Renewed facility operating license Nos. NPF-72 and NPF-77 authorizes the operation of Braidwood Units 1 and 2 at reactor core power levels not in excess of 3645 megawatts thermal each, in accordance with the provisions of the Braidwood, Units 1 and 2 renewed licenses and technical specifications. The NRC's ROD that supports the decision to renew facility operating license Nos. NPF-72 and NPF-77 is available in ADAMS under Accession No. ML15322A317.

As discussed in the ROD and the final supplemental environmental impact statement (FSEIS) for Braidwood Station, Supplement 55 to NUREG-1437, "Generic Environmental Impact Statement for License Renewal of Nuclear Plants Regarding Braidwood Station, Units 1 and 2," issued in November 2015 (ADAMS Accession No. ML15314A814), the NRC considered a range of reasonable alternatives that included new nuclear generation, coal-integrated gasification combined cycle, natural gas combined-cycle (NGCC), combination (NGCC, wind, and solar generation), replacement power, and the no-action alternative. The ROD and FSEIS document the NRC's determination that the adverse environmental impacts of license renewal for Braidwood are not so great that preserving the option of license renewal for energy planning decision makers would be unreasonable.

Braidwood Station, Units 1 and 2, has two pressurized water reactors and is located in Will County, Illinois. The application for the renewed licenses, "License Renewal Application, Byron and Braidwood Stations, Units 1 and 2," dated May 29, 2013 (ADAMS Accession Nos. ML13155A420 and ML13155A421), as supplemented by letters dated through April 13, 2015, with respect to Braidwood Station, complied with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (AEA) and the NRC's regulations in Chapter I of title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations*. The NRC has made appropriate findings, which are set forth in each of the licenses, as required by the AEA and its regulations. A public notice of the proposed issuance of the renewed licenses and an opportunity for a hearing was published in the **Federal Register** on July 24, 2013 (78 FR 44603).

For further details with respect to this action, see: (1) Exelon Generation Company, LLC's (Exelon) license renewal application for Byron Station, Units 1 and 2, and Braidwood Station, Units 1 and 2, dated May 29, 2013, as supplemented by letters dated through April 13, 2015; (2) the NRC's safety evaluation report dated July 2015 (ADAMS Accession No. ML15182A051); (3) the NRC's final environmental impact statement (NUREG-1437, Supplement 55), for Braidwood, Units 1 and 2, published in November 2015; and (4) the NRC's ROD.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 27 day of January 2016.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission,
Christopher G. Miller,
Director, Division of License Renewal, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 2016-02070 Filed 2-2-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-76982; File No. SR-NYSEMKT-2015-80]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE MKT LLC; Order Approving a Proposed Rule Change Deleting Rule 410B—Equities Governing Reporting Requirements for Off-Exchange Transactions

January 28, 2016.

I. Introduction

On October 16, 2015, NYSE MKT LLC (the "Exchange" or "NYSE MKT") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² a proposed rule change to delete Rule 410B—Equities governing reporting requirements for off-Exchange transactions. The proposed rule change was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on November 2, 2015.³ The Commission received no comment letters on the proposed rule change. On December 16, 2015, the Commission designated a longer period within which to approve the proposed rule change, disapprove the proposed rule change, or institute proceedings to determine whether to approve or disapprove the proposed rule change.⁴

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 76276 (October 27, 2015), 80 FR 67454.

⁴ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 76669, 80 FR 79640 (December 22, 2015).

The Commission is approving the proposed rule change.

II. Description of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to delete Rule 410B—Equities ("Rule 410B"), which sets forth certain regulatory reporting requirements for member or member organizations effecting off-Exchange transactions in Exchange listed securities that are not reported to the Consolidated Tape, and to make conforming amendments to Rule 476A to delete a reference to Rule 410B. The Exchange represents that Rule 410B is no longer necessary in light of changes in trade reporting and regulatory requirements that have been put in place since the Exchange adopted Rule 410B.

Changes to Regulatory Landscape

On July 30, 2007, the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. ("NASD"), New York Stock Exchange LLC ("NYSE"), and NYSE Regulation, Inc. ("NYSE Regulation") consolidated their member-firm regulation operations to create the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. ("FINRA") and entered into a plan to allocate to FINRA regulatory responsibility for common rules and common members ("17d-2 Agreement").⁵ The Exchange was added as a party to the 17d-2 Agreement in 2009.⁶

In 2008, the Exchange, NASD, NYSE, and NYSE Regulation also entered into a plan to allocate to FINRA regulatory responsibility, over exchange members that are also FINRA members, for surveillance, investigation, and enforcement of insider trading with respect to NYSE- and MKT-listed stocks, among others, irrespective of where the relevant trading occurred (the "Insider Trading Plan").⁷ On June 14, 2010, FINRA was retained to perform the residual market surveillance and enforcement functions that had, up to that point, been performed by NYSE

⁵ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 56148 (July 26, 2007), 72 FR 42146 (August 1, 2007) (File No. 4-544) (Notice of Filing and Order Approving and Declaring Effective a Plan for the Allocation of Regulatory Responsibilities). In 2007, the NASD, NYSE, the Exchange and NYSE Regulation also entered into a Regulatory Services Agreement ("RSA"), whereby FINRA was retained to perform certain regulatory services for non-common rules.

⁶ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 60409 (July 30, 2009), 74 FR 39353 (August 6, 2009) (File No. 4-587).

⁷ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 54646 (September 12, 2008), 73 FR 54646 (September 22, 2008) (File No. 4-566). See also Securities Exchange Act Release No. 58806 (October 17, 2008), 73 FR 63216 (October 23, 2008) (File No. 4-566).

Regulation.⁸ In January 2011, the SEC approved an amendment to the Insider Trading Plan whereby FINRA also assumed responsibility for performing the insider-trading-related market surveillance and enforcement functions previously conducted by NYSE Regulation for its U.S. equities and options markets.⁹

Changes in Trade Reporting and Regulatory Reporting

In 1998, FINRA (then the NASD) established the Order Audit Trail System (OATS), as an integrated audit trail of order, quote, and trade information for OTC equity securities and equity securities listed and traded on The Nasdaq Stock Market, Inc. ("Nasdaq").¹⁰ In 2010, FINRA Rules 7410 through 7470 (the "OATS Rules") were amended to extend the recording and reporting requirements to all NMS stocks, as that term is defined in Rule 600(b)(47) of Regulation NMS,¹¹ including NYSE- and MKT-listed securities. The Exchange adopted the OATS Rules in 2011.¹² The Exchange states that FINRA may use the information it collects pursuant to the OATS Rules to perform its regulatory functions.

According to the Exchange, Rule 410B also predates the establishment of a FINRA Trade Reporting Facility ("TRF"). FINRA Rule 6110 requires FINRA members to report transactions in NMS stocks¹³ effected "otherwise than on or through a national securities exchange."¹⁴ Pursuant to FINRA Rules 6310A and 6310B, FINRA members may use either the FINRA/NYSE TRF or FINRA/Nasdaq TRF to report such off-Exchange transactions.¹⁵ FINRA members using these TRFs to report off-Exchange transactions are in turn

subject to FINRA Rule 7230B, which, the Exchange states, imposes transaction-information reporting requirements similar to Rule 410B.¹⁶ As a result, the Exchange represents that dual members of both the Exchange and FINRA must report off-Exchange transactions to a TRF and submit substantially similar reports to the Exchange and FINRA.

Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to delete Rule 410B in its entirety. The Exchange represents that, since 2010, surveillance and enforcement responsibilities across markets have been consolidated at FINRA, which conducts cross-market surveillances on the Exchange's behalf utilizing various data sources, including extensive trade and other information that FINRA collects pursuant to its rules. This trade information includes reports of off-exchange transactions.

The Exchange represents that all of its member organizations, with only nine exceptions, are members of FINRA and, as such, must report all off-exchange transactions to FINRA, including transactions away from the Exchange that are not reported to the Consolidated Tape. The Exchange further represents that this information is essentially duplicative of the Rule 410B reports the Exchange currently supplies to FINRA. The Exchange notes that one exception would be transactions in dually listed securities executed on and reported to a foreign securities exchange, which are not required to be reported because such trades are executed "on or through an exchange."¹⁷ The Exchange represents that it believes such trades pose little regulatory risk and, given that no other exchange has a rule comparable to Rule 410B, notes that such trades are also not being reported to other equities exchanges. Finally, the Exchange represents that only a handful of firms currently account for all of the Rule 410B activity, all of whom are also FINRA members.¹⁸ The Exchange believes that Rule 410B is thus no longer necessary, and deleting it would eliminate essentially duplicative reporting of off-Exchange transactions by dual members.

The Exchange does not believe that eliminating the Rule 410B reporting requirement for the small number of NYSE MKT-only members¹⁹ would pose any significant regulatory risk. The Exchange represents that none of these firms has ever submitted a Rule 410B report. The Exchange also notes that NYSE MKT-only members would remain subject to federal and Exchange books and records requirements.²⁰

III. Discussion and Commission Findings

After careful review, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act²¹ and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange.²² In particular, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,²³ which requires, among other things, that the rules of a national securities exchange be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest and that the rules are not designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers.

Based on the Exchange's representations, the Commission believes that eliminating the Rule 410B reporting requirement will not reduce the amount of publicly available information about securities transactions and that it is not likely to hamper the ability of the Exchange to conduct regulatory oversight of its members. The Commission notes that Rule 410B does not currently provide for real-time, publicly disseminated reporting of transactions, but instead requires non-public, electronic reporting of trade data to the Exchange on a next-day basis for regulatory purposes only. The Commission further notes that the Exchange represents that its members would remain subject to federal and

⁸ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 62355 (June 22, 2010), 75 FR 36729 (June 28, 2010) (SR-NYSE-2010-46); Securities Exchange Act Release No. 62354 (June 22, 2010), 75 FR 36730 (June 28, 2010) (SR-NYSEAmex-2010-57). NYSE Regulation performed the regulatory functions of NYSE MKT pursuant to an intercompany RSA.

⁹ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 63750 (January 21, 2011), 76 FR 4948 (January 27, 2011) (File No. 4-566).

¹⁰ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 39729 (March 6, 1998), 63 FR 12559 (March 13, 1998) (SR-NASD-97-56).

¹¹ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 63311 (November 12, 2010), 75 FR 70757 (November 18, 2010) (SR-FINRA-2010-044). See also 17 CFR 242.600(b)(47).

¹² See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 65524 (October 7, 2011), 76 FR 64151 (October 17, 2011) (SR-NYSEAmex-2011-74).

¹³ As defined in Rule 600(b)(47) of Regulation NMS, 17 CFR 242.600(b)(47).

¹⁴ See FINRA Rule 6110. See generally FINRA Rule 6300A and 7200A Series (FINRA/Nasdaq TRF) and 6300B and 7200B Series (FINRA/NYSE TRF).

¹⁵ See FINRA Rules 6300A & 6300B.

¹⁶ See Rule 7230B.

¹⁷ See Trade Reporting Frequently Asked Questions, Section 701, Q/A701.1, available at <http://www.finra.org/industry/trade-reporting-faq>.

¹⁸ According to the Exchange, Rule 410B Weekly Reports submitted to the SEC in July and August 2015 reveal that only five firms, all also FINRA members, accounted for all of the Rule 410B trading activity. The Exchange further represents that the list of firms that have in the past submitted Rule 410B reports does not include any non-FINRA members.

¹⁹ The Exchange represents that these nine non-FINRA member firms do not have any public customers and are also members of Nasdaq as well as NYSE.

²⁰ See 17 CFR 240.17a-3, 17 CFR 240.17a-4 & Rule 440—Equities.

²¹ 15 U.S.C. 78f.

²² In approving this proposed rule change, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. See 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

²³ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

Exchange books-and-records requirements²⁴ and that Exchange members would still be required to provide such trade data to the Exchange upon the Exchange's request. For these reasons, the Commission believes that the proposal should help to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, promote just and equitable principles of trade, remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, protect investors and the public interest.

IV. Conclusion

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,²⁵ that the proposed rule change (SR-NYSEMKT-2015-80) be, and hereby is, approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority,²⁶

Robert W. Errett,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2016-01923 Filed 2-2-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-76995; File No. SR-C2-2016-001]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; C2 Options Exchange, Incorporated; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change Relating to the Options Regulatory Fee

January 28, 2016.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on January 14, 2016, C2 Options Exchange, Incorporated (the "Exchange" or "C2") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend the Options Regulatory Fee. The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's Web site (<http://www.c2exchange.com/Legal/>), at the Exchange's Office of the Secretary, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to decrease the Options Regulatory Fee ("ORF") from \$.0051 to \$.0015 per contract in order to help ensure that revenue collected from the ORF, in combination with other regulatory fees and fines, does not exceed the Exchange's total regulatory costs. The proposed fee change would be operative on February 1, 2016.

The ORF is assessed by the Exchange to each Permit Holder for all options transactions executed or cleared by the Permit Holder that are cleared by The Options Clearing Corporation ("OCC") in the customer range (*i.e.*, transactions that clear in a customer account at OCC) regardless of the exchange on which the transaction occurs. In other words, the Exchange imposes the ORF on all customer-range transactions executed by a Permit Holder, even if the transactions do not take place on the Exchange. The ORF also is charged for transactions that are not executed by a Permit Holder but are ultimately cleared by a Permit Holder. In the case where a Permit Holder executes a transaction and a different Permit Holder clears the transaction, the ORF is assessed to the Permit Holder who executed the transaction. In the case where a non-Permit Holder executes a transaction and a Permit Holder clears the

transaction, the ORF is assessed to the Permit Holder who clears the transaction. The ORF is collected indirectly from Permit Holders through their clearing firms by OCC on behalf of the Exchange.

The ORF is designed to recover a material portion of the costs to the Exchange of the supervision and regulation of Permit Holder customer options business, including performing routine surveillances, investigations, examinations, financial monitoring, as well as policy, rulemaking, interpretive and enforcement activities. The Exchange believes that revenue generated from the ORF, when combined with all of the Exchange's other regulatory fees and fines, will cover a material portion, but not all, of the Exchange's regulatory costs. The Exchange notes that its regulatory responsibilities with respect to Permit Holder compliance with options sales practice rules have largely been allocated to FINRA under a 17d-2 agreement.³ The ORF is not designed to cover the cost of that options sales practice regulation.

The Exchange will continue to monitor the amount of revenue collected from the ORF to ensure that it, in combination with its other regulatory fees and fines, does not exceed the Exchange's total regulatory costs. The Exchange monitors its regulatory costs and revenues at a minimum on a semi-annual basis. If the Exchange determines regulatory revenues exceed or are insufficient to cover a material portion of its regulatory costs, the Exchange will adjust the ORF by submitting a fee change filing to the Commission. The Exchange notifies Permit Holders of adjustments to the ORF via regulatory circular. The Exchange endeavors to provide Permit Holders with such notice at least 30 calendar days prior to the effective date of the change.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act") and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to the Exchange and, in particular, the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act.⁴ Specifically, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(4) of the Act,⁵ which provides that Exchange rules may provide for the

²⁴ See 17 CFR 240.17a-3, 17 CFR 240.17a-4 & Rule 440—Equities.

²⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

²⁶ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 76309 (October 29, 2015), 80 FR 68361 (November 4, 2015).

⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).