

consent of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

In accordance with section 705(b)(2) of the Act, if our final determination is affirmative, the ITC will make its final determination within 45 days after the Department makes its final determination.

This determination is issued and published pursuant to sections 703(f) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(c).

Dated: August 29, 2016.

Paul Piquado,
Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

- Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memo**
- I. Summary
 - II. Background
 - III. Alignment
 - IV. Scope Comments
 - V. Scope of the Investigation
 - VI. Respondent Selection

- VII. Injury Test
 - VIII. Application of CVD Law to Imports From the PRC
 - IX. Subsidies Valuation
 - X. Benchmarks
 - XI. Use of Facts Otherwise Available and Adverse Inferences
 - XII. Analysis of Programs
 - XIII. Verification
 - XIV. Conclusion
- [FR Doc. 2016–21483 Filed 9–7–16; 8:45 am]
- BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P**

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Advance Notification of Sunset Reviews

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

Background

Every five years, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”), the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) and the International Trade Commission automatically initiate and conduct a review to determine whether revocation of a countervailing or antidumping duty order or termination of an investigation suspended under section 704 or 734 of the Act would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping or a countervailable subsidy (as the case may be) and of material injury.

Upcoming Sunset Reviews for October 2016

The following Sunset Reviews are scheduled for initiation in October 2016 and will appear in that month’s Notice of Initiation of Five-Year Sunset Review (“Sunset Review”).

	Department contact
Antidumping Duty Proceedings	
Artist Canvas from China (A–570–899) (2nd Review)	David Goldberger (202) 482–4136.
Pure Magnesium from China (A–570–832) (4th Review)	David Goldberger (202) 482–4136.

Countervailing Duty Proceedings

No Sunset Review of countervailing duty orders is scheduled for initiation in October 2016.

Suspended Investigations

No Sunset Review of suspended investigations is scheduled for initiation in October 2016.

The Department’s procedures for the conduct of Sunset Reviews are set forth in 19 CFR 351.218. The Notice of Initiation of Five-Year (“Sunset”) Reviews provides further information regarding what is required of all parties to participate in Sunset Reviews.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.103(c), the Department will maintain and make available a service list for these proceedings. To facilitate the timely preparation of the service list(s), it is requested that those seeking recognition as interested parties to a proceeding contact the Department in writing within 10 days of the publication of the Notice of Initiation.

Please note that if the Department receives a Notice of Intent to Participate from a member of the domestic industry within 15 days of the date of initiation, the review will continue. Thereafter, any interested party wishing to participate in the Sunset Review must provide substantive comments in response to the notice of initiation no

later than 30 days after the date of initiation.

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.

Dated: August 25, 2016.

Christian Marsh,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2016–21662 Filed 9–7–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–533–810]

Stainless Steel Bar From India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014–2015

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On March 10, 2016, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar (SSB) from India. The period of review (POR) is February 1, 2014, through January 31, 2015. Based on comments received from interested parties, we have made changes to the preliminary results. The final dumping

margin for this review is listed in the “Final Results of the Review” section below.

DATES: Effective September 8, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joseph Shuler, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–1293.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Following the *Preliminary Results*,¹ the Department issued an additional supplemental questionnaire to Bhansali Bright Bars Pvt. Ltd. (Bhansali) on March 20, 2015, and received a response on April 2, 2015.² We received timely filed case and rebuttal briefs from Bhansali and North American Stainless and Valbruna Slater Stainless, Inc. (the

¹ See *Stainless Steel Bar From India: Preliminary Results, and Rescission, in Part, of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014–2015*, 81 FR 12694 (March 10, 2016) (*Preliminary Results*).

² See Letter from the Department to Bhansali, “Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Stainless Steel Bar from India: Second Section D Supplemental Questionnaire,” dated March 25, 2016; see also Letter from Bhansali, “Bhansali Bright Bars Private Limited 2nd Supplemental Response to Section D of Antidumping Duty Questionnaire,” dated April 8, 2016.

petitioners) and a case brief from Ambica Steels Limited (Ambica).³

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is SSB. The SSB subject to the order is currently classifiable under subheadings 7222.10.00, 7222.11.00, 7222.19.00, 7222.20.00, 7222.30.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description is dispositive.⁴

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, which is incorporated herein by reference. A list of the issues which parties raised, and to which we respond in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, is attached to this notice as an Appendix. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov/login.aspx>, and it is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Issues Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

³ See Letter from the petitioners to the Department, "Petitioners' Case Brief," (Petitioner's CB), April 14, 2016; *see also*, letter from Bhansali to the Department, "Certain Stainless Steel Bar Product from India: Bhansali's Case Brief," (Bhansali's CB), April 14, 2016; *see also*, letter from Ambica to the Department, "Stainless Steel Bar from India: Ambica Steels Ltd Case Brief," (Ambica's CB), April 14, 2016; *see also* letter from the petitioners to the Department, "Petitioners' Rebuttal Brief," (Petitioners' RB), April 25, 2016; *see also*, letter from Bhansali to the Department, "Stainless Steel Bar from India: Bhansali Bright Bars Private Limited's (Bhansali) Rebuttal Brief dated May 11, 2015," (Bhansali's RB), April 28, 2016.

⁴ For a full description of the scope of the order, see the memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Stainless Steel Bar from India" dated concurrently with this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum), which is hereby adopted by this notice.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made certain changes since the *Preliminary Results*. For a discussion of these changes, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Final Results of the Review

As a result of this review, we determine the following weighted-average dumping margin exists for the respondents for the period February 1, 2014, through January 31, 2015.

Producer/exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Bhansali Bright Bars Pvt. Ltd	0.00
Ambica Steels Limited	0.00

Assessment Rates

Upon completion of the administrative review, the Department shall determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). The respondents' weighted-average dumping margin in these final results is zero percent. Therefore, we will instruct CBP to liquidate all appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties. The Department intends to issue the appropriate assessment instructions for Ambica and Bhansali to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of these final results.

The Department clarified its "automatic assessment" regulation on May 6, 2003. This clarification will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by Ambica and Bhansali for which it did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate companies involved in the transaction. For a full discussion of this clarification, *see Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rates for Ambica and

Bhansali will be the rates established in the final results of this administrative review; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which that manufacturer or exporter participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the manufacturer of subject merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 12.45 percent, the "all others" rate established in the order.⁵ These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Disclosure

We intend to disclose the calculations performed for these final results of review within five days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Notifications

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

These final results of administrative review are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

⁵ See Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Stainless Steel Bar from India, 59 FR 66915, 66921 (December 28, 1994).

Dated: September 1, 2016.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Issues Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Changes Since the Preliminary Results
- IV. Scope of the Order
- V. Discussion of the Issues
 - Comment 1: Whether to Name Respondents' Customers in Final Liquidation Instructions
 - Comment 2: Whether Bhansali is an Uncooperative Respondent
 - Comment 3: Whether the Department Should Accept Bhansali's Sales and Cost Data
 - Comment 4: Whether the Department Properly Handled the Billing Adjustments in the Preliminary Results
- VI. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2016-21656 Filed 9-7-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-904]

Certain Activated Carbon From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014-2015

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: For the final results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain activated carbon from the People's Republic of China, we find that sales were made at less than normal value. The period of review is April 1, 2014, through March 31, 2015. Based upon our analysis of the comments received, we made changes to the margin calculations for these final results of the antidumping duty administrative review. The final weighted-average dumping margins are listed below in the "Final Results of the Review" section of this notice.

DATES: Effective September 8, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bob Palmer or Frances Veith, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-9068, or (202) 482-4295, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department of Commerce ("Department") published the *Preliminary Results*¹ on March 4, 2016. For events subsequent to the *Preliminary Results*, see the Department's final Issues and Decision Memorandum.² On June 13, 2016,³ in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("Act"), the Department extended the deadline for issuing the final results by 60 days. The deadline for the final results is August 31, 2016.

Verification

Pursuant to section 782(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the "Act"), and 19 CFR 351.307(b)(iv), we conducted verification of Jacobi's U.S. sales from March 29-30, 2016.⁴

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the *Order*⁵ is certain activated carbon. The products are currently classifiable under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") subheading 3802.1000. Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the order remains dispositive.⁶

Analysis of Comments Received

In the Issues and Decision Memorandum, we addressed all issues

¹ See *Certain Activated Carbon From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014-2015*, 81 FR 11513 (March 4, 2016), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum ("Preliminary Results").

² See Memorandum to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, regarding "Certain Activated Carbon from the People's Republic of China: Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Eighth Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated concurrently with and hereby adopted by this notice, ("Issues and Decision Memorandum").

³ See Memorandum to Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary, through James C. Doyle, Director, Office V, from Bob Palmer International Trade Compliance Analyst, Office V, regarding "Activated Carbon from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Deadline for Final Results of 2014-2015 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated June 13, 2016.

⁴ See Memorandum to the File, through Catherine Bertrand, Program Manager, Office V, from Bob Palmer and Ryan Mullen, International Trade Compliance Analysts, Office V, "Verification of the Constructed Export Price ("CEP") Sales Response of Jacobi Carbons AB in the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Certain Activated Carbon from the People's Republic of China," dated April 5, 2016.

⁵ See *Notice of Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Activated Carbon from the People's Republic of China*, 72 FR 20988 (April 27, 2007) ("Order").

⁶ See Issues and Decision Memorandum for a complete description of the Scope of the *Order*.

raised in parties' case and rebuttal briefs. In Appendix I to this notice, we have provided a list of the issues raised by parties. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file in the Central Records Unit ("CRU"), Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building, as well as electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System ("ACCESS"). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov> and it is available to all parties in the CRU. In addition, parties can directly access a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum on the Internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our review of the record and comments received from interested parties regarding our *Preliminary Results*, we have made certain revisions to the margin calculations for Jacobi, Datong Juqiang, and the non-examined, separate rate respondents.⁷ Further, the Surrogate Values Memo⁸ contains descriptions of our changes to the surrogate values.

Final Determination of No Shipments

In the *Preliminary Results*, the Department preliminarily determined that Carbon Activated Tianjin Co. Ltd. had no shipments during the period of review ("POR").⁹ We have received no information to contradict this determination. Therefore, the Department continues to determine that Carbon Activated Tianjin Co. Ltd. had no shipments of subject merchandise during the POR, and will issue appropriate liquidation instructions that are consistent with our "automatic assessment" clarification, for these final results.¹⁰

⁷ See Issues and Decision Memorandum and the company-specific analysis memoranda for further explanation regarding these changes.

⁸ See Memorandum to the File, through Catherine Bertrand, Program Manager, Office V, from Bob Palmer, Case Analyst, Office V, "Certain Activated Carbon from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"): Surrogate Values for the Final Results," dated concurrently with this notice ("Surrogate Values Memo").

⁹ See *Preliminary Determination* at 11513.

¹⁰ See *Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 76 FR 65694 (October 4, 2011) ("Assessment Practice Refinement").