

must be submitted to the NRC. Reports submitted under 10 CFR part 21 are reviewed by the NRC staff to determine whether the reported defects or failures to comply in basic components at the NRC licensed facilities or activities are potentially generic safety problems. These reports have been the basis for the issuance of numerous NRC Generic Communications that have contributed to the improved safety of the nuclear industry. The records required to be maintained in accordance with 10 CFR part 21 are subject to inspection by the NRC to determine compliance with the subject regulation.

III. Specific Requests for Comments

The NRC is seeking comments that address the following questions:

1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?
2. Is the estimate of the burden of the information collection accurate?
3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?
4. How can the burden of the information collection on respondents be minimized, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 31st day of August, 2016.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

David Cullison,

NRC Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2016-21541 Filed 9-7-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. CP2016-269; CP2016-270]

New Postal Products

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing recent Postal Service filings for the Commission's consideration concerning negotiated service agreements. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

DATES: *Comments are due:* September 9, 2016 (Comment due date applies to all Docket Nos. listed above)

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER**

INFORMATION CONTACT section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202-789-6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Docketed Proceeding(s)

I. Introduction

The Commission gives notice that the Postal Service filed request(s) for the Commission to consider matters related to negotiated service agreement(s). The request(s) may propose the addition or removal of a negotiated service agreement from the market dominant or the competitive product list, or the modification of an existing product currently appearing on the market dominant or the competitive product list.

Section II identifies the docket number(s) associated with each Postal Service request, the title of each Postal Service request, the request's acceptance date, and the authority cited by the Postal Service for each request. For each request, the Commission appoints an officer of the Commission to represent the interests of the general public in the proceeding, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505 (Public Representative). Section II also establishes comment deadline(s) pertaining to each request.

The public portions of the Postal Service's request(s) can be accessed via the Commission's Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>). Non-public portions of the Postal Service's request(s), if any, can be accessed through compliance with the requirements of 39 CFR 3007.40.

The Commission invites comments on whether the Postal Service's request(s) in the captioned docket(s) are consistent with the policies of title 39. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern market dominant product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3622, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3010, and 39 CFR part 3020, subpart B. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern competitive product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3632, 39 U.S.C. 3633, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3015, and 39 CFR part 3020, subpart B. Comment deadline(s) for each request appear in section II.

II. Docketed Proceeding(s)

1. *Docket No(s):* CP2016-269; *Filing Title:* Notice of United States Postal

Service of Filing a Functionally Equivalent Global Expedited Package Services 6 Negotiated Service Agreement and Application for Non-Public Treatment of Materials Filed Under Seal; *Filing Acceptance Date:* August 31, 2016; *Filing Authority:* 39 CFR 3015.5; *Public Representative:* Kenneth R. Moeller; *Comments Due:* September 9, 2016.

2. *Docket No(s):* CP2016-270; *Filing Title:* Notice of United States Postal Service of Filing a Functionally Equivalent Global Expedited Package Services 3 Negotiated Service Agreement and Application for Non-Public Treatment of Materials Filed Under Seal; *Filing Acceptance Date:* August 31, 2016; *Filing Authority:* 39 CFR 3015.5; *Public Representative:* Kenneth R. Moeller; *Comments Due:* September 9, 2016.

This Notice will be published in the **Federal Register**.

Stacy L. Ruble,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2016-21502 Filed 9-7-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-FW-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-78745; File No. SR-BatsEDGX-2016-48]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Bats EDGX Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change To Delay the Implementation of the Options Regulatory Fee

September 1, 2016.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act"),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on August 22, 2016, Bats EDGX Exchange, Inc. (the "Exchange" or "EDGX") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Exchange has designated the proposed rule change as one establishing or changing a member due, fee, or other charge imposed by the Exchange under Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act³ and Rule 19b-4(f)(2) thereunder,⁴ which renders the proposed rule change effective upon filing with the Commission. The Commission is

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

⁴ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange filed a proposal to delay implementation of recently enacted amendments to the fee schedule applicable to Members⁵ and non-Members of the Exchange pursuant to EDGX Rules 15.1(a) and (c) to adopt an Options Regulatory Fee ("ORF").

The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Exchange's Web site at www.batstrading.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange recently submitted a proposed rule change to modify the fee schedule applicable to the Exchange's options platform ("EDGX Options") to adopt an ORF in the amount of \$0.0002 per contract side.⁶ The Exchange proposed to assess the per-contract ORF to each Member and non-Member for all options transactions cleared by OCC in the "customer" range, regardless of the exchange on which the transaction occurs. In order to provide market participants additional time to assess the impact of the ORF on their transactions and order execution scenarios, the Exchange is delaying the implementation date of the fee until February 1, 2017.⁷

⁵ A member is defined as "any registered broker or dealer that has been admitted to membership in the Exchange." See Exchange Rule 1.5(n).

⁶ See Securities Exchange Release No. 78452 (August 1, 2016), 81 FR 51951 (August 5, 2016) (SR-BatsEDGX-2016-33).

⁷ The Exchange noted in its proposal and included text in its fee schedule that it may only

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder that are applicable to a national securities exchange, and, in particular, with the requirements of Section 6 of the Act.⁸ The Exchange also believes that its proposal furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act⁹ in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. The Exchange believes delaying the implementation of ORF will provide market participants additional time to assess the impact of the ORF on their transactions and order execution scenarios, and that implementation of the fee on February 1, 2017 will benefit investors and the public interest.¹⁰

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The ORF is not intended to have any impact on competition. Rather, it is designed to enable the Exchange to recover a material portion of the Exchange's cost related to its regulatory activities. Therefore, the Exchange does not believe delaying the implantation of ORF till February 1, 2017 will have any impact on competition.

increase or decrease the ORF semi-annually, and any such fee change will be effective on the first business day of February or August. *Id.* See also the Exchange's fee schedule available at http://batstrading.com/support/fee_schedule/edgx/ (dated August 5, 2016). The Exchange initially filed the proposed fee change on August 11, 2016 (SR-BatsEDGX-2016-43). On August 19, 2016, the Exchange withdrew SR-BatsEDGX-2016-43 and submitted SR-BatsEDGX-2016-47. On August 22, 2016, the Exchange withdrew SR-BatsEDGX-2016-47 and submitted this filing.

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78f.

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

¹⁰ The Exchange notes that other exchanges have delayed the implementation of fees that were previously published by the Commission. See Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 72605 (July 14, 2014), 79 FR 42066 (July 18, 2014) (SR-Phlx-2014-44); 67068 (May 29, 2012), 77 FR 33256 (June 5, 2012) (SR-Nasdaq-2012-064); 66287 (February 1, 2012), 77 FR 6161 (February 7, 2012) (SR-FINRA-2012-008); and 57183 (January 22, 2008), 73 FR 5249 (January 29, 2008) (SR-Nasdaq-2008-007).

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange has not solicited, and does not intend to solicit, comments on this proposed rule change. The Exchange has not received any written comments from members or other interested parties.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act¹¹ and paragraph (f) of Rule 19b-4 thereunder.¹² At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File No. SR-BatsEDGX-2016-48 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File No. SR-BatsEDGX-2016-48. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the

¹¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

¹² 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f).

Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File No. SR-BatsEDGX-2016-48, and should be submitted on or before September 29, 2016.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹³

Robert W. Errett,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2016-21487 Filed 9-7-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-78761; File No. SR-NSX-2016-04]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; National Stock Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend Rule 11.18 To Address the Exchange's Liability for System Failures; Amend Rule 2.11 To Provide for an Error Account Maintained by the Exchange's Routing Broker; Adopt Rule 11.11(e) To Allow Cancellation of Orders When a System Failure Occurs; Amend Rule 1.5 To Reposition the Definition of a Trading Center; and Make Other Non-Substantive and Conforming Changes

September 2, 2016.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on August 29, 2016, National Stock Exchange, Inc. ("NSX" or the "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change, as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Exchange has designated this proposal as a "non-controversial" proposed rule

change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act³ and Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii)⁴ thereunder, which renders it effective upon filing with the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange is proposing to: (i) amend NSX Rule 11.18, entitled "LIMITATION OF LIABILITY," to allow the Exchange to provide compensation for losses sustained as a result of an Exchange trading system ("System")⁵ failure ("System Failure") or through a negligent act or omission of an Exchange employee; (ii) adopt new NSX Rule 11.11(e), entitled Cancellation of Orders By NSX and NSX Securities,⁶ to provide authority to cancel orders as deemed to be necessary to maintain fair and orderly markets if a System Failure occurs; (iii) amend NSX Rule 2.11, currently entitled NSX Securities, LLC, to provide for an error account maintained by NSX Securities, LLC ("NSXS"); and (iv) make changes to certain definitional sections and adopt other non-substantive and ministerial amendments. The Exchange has designated this proposal as "non-controversial" and provided the Commission with the notice required by Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii) under the Act.⁷

The text of the proposed Rule change is available on the Exchange's Web site at <http://www.nsx.com>, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and statutory basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below.

³ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

⁴ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6)(iii).

⁵ Rule 1.5S.(4) defines the "System" as the "... electronic securities communications and trading facility designated by the Board through which orders. . . are consolidated for ranking and execution."

⁶ NSX Securities, LLC is a facility of the Exchange as defined in Section 3(a)(2) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. 78c(a)(2) and, as such, is subject to Section 6 of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. 78f. The Exchange is responsible for filing with the Commission rule changes and fees relating to the outbound router function of NSXS.

⁷ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6)(iii).

The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to: (i) amend NSX Rule 11.18 to establish a procedure to compensate Equity Trading Permit ("ETP") Holders⁸ for System Failures; (ii) adopt Rule 11.11(e), Cancellation of Orders By NSX or NSX Securities, to provide that NSX or NSXS may cancel orders as deemed necessary to maintain fair and orderly markets if a System Failure occurs at NSX, or at a routing broker in connection with the routing function provided under NSX Rules 2.11 and 11.15, or at another trading center to which an NSX order has been routed; (iii) amend NSX Rule 2.11 to describe the operation of an error account maintained by NSXS as the Exchange's outbound order routing facility and by other routing broker-dealers that may be used to liquidate unmatched executions when a System Failure occurs; and (iv) amend NSX Rule 1.5 to add the definition of a "Trading Center" by repositioning the definition from its current placement in NSX Rule 2.11(a) and make changes to NSX Rule 11.15(a)(ii)(A) and 11.15(a)(ii)(B) in connection therewith. The Exchange is also proposing certain non-substantive, ministerial amendments to Rules 1.5, 2.11 and 11.18.

Proposed Amendments to NSX Rule 11.18—Limitation of Liability

Currently, Rule 11.18 provides that neither the Exchange nor Exchange-related persons, which are defined in current NSX Rule 11.18(A) as the Exchange's "agents, employees, contractors, officers, directors, committee members or affiliates," shall be liable to any User,⁹ ETP Holder or persons associated therewith, for any loss, damage, claim or expense growing out of, *inter alia*, the use or enjoyment of the System or any facility of the Exchange. The Exchange is proposing to amend Rule 11.18 to provide for the payment of claims by ETP Holders for losses sustained either as a result of a

⁸ Rule 1.5E.(1) defines "ETP" as an Equity Trading Permit issued by the Exchange to a registered broker or dealer for effecting approved securities transactions on the Exchange's trading facilities.

⁹ A "User" is defined in Exchange Rule 1.5U.(1) as "... any ETP Holder or Sponsored Participant who is authorized to obtain access to the System[.]"

¹³ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.