investors and the public interest, the proposed rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act and Rule 19b–4(f)(6)(iii) thereunder.

A proposed rule change filed under Rule 19b–4(f)(6) <sup>26</sup> normally does not become operative prior to 30 days after the date of the filing. However, pursuant to Rule 19b4(f)(6)(iii), <sup>27</sup> the Commission may designate a shorter time if such action is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings under Section 19(b)(2)(B) <sup>28</sup> of the Act to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

### IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

## Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an email to *rule-comments@* sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–NYSE–2016–64 on the subject line.

### Paper Comments

· Send paper comments in triplicate to Brent J. Fields, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSE-2016-64. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/ rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the

Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSE-2016-64 and should be submitted on or before November 3, 2016.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.  $^{29}$ 

### Robert W. Errett,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2016–24775 Filed 10–12–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-79059; File No. SR-ISEMercury-2016-17]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; ISE Mercury, LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend a Current Billing Practice With Respect to Billing Disputes

October 6, 2016.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on September 22, 2016, ISE Mercury, LLC ("ISE Mercury" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III, below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

## I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend a current billing practice with respect to billing disputes.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's Web site at *www.ise.com*, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

## II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

### 1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend its Schedule of Fees to change the timeframe within which Members must dispute billing. Today, ISE Mercury Members must submit all disputes no later than ninety calendar days after receipt of an Exchange invoice. After ninety calendar days, all fees assessed by the Exchange are considered final. The Exchange is proposing to amend the policy from ninety to sixty days to submit a dispute. Today, the NASDAQ PHLX LLC ("Phlx"), NASDAQ BX, Inc. ("BX") and The NASDAQ Options Market LLC ("NOM") all have a sixty day timeframe within which to dispute option invoices.3

The Exchange provides Members with both daily and monthly fee reports and thus believes Members should be aware of any potential billing errors within sixty calendar days of receiving an invoice. Requiring that Members dispute an invoice within this time period will encourage them to promptly review their invoices so that any disputed charges can be addressed in a timely manner while the information and data underlying those charges (e.g. applicable fees and order information) is still easily and readily available. This

<sup>26 17</sup> CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

<sup>27 17</sup> CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6)(iii).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(B).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Phlx's Pricing Schedule. See also NOM and BX Rules at Chapter XV, Section 7.

practice will avoid issues that may arise when Members do not dispute an invoice in a timely manner, and will conserve Exchange resources that would have to be expended to resolve untimely billing disputes. The Exchange notes that this type of provision is common among many other exchanges, which require that Members dispute invoices within sixty days.

Billing disputes must continue to be submitted to the Exchange in writing,<sup>4</sup> and must be accompanied by supporting documentation. The Exchange believes that this requirement, which is also similar to requirements of other exchanges,<sup>5</sup> will further streamline the

billing dispute process.

The Exchange believes that this practice will conserve Exchange resources which are expended when untimely billing disputes require staff to research applicable fees and order information beyond two months after the transaction occurred. Further, this proposal would provide a cost savings to the Exchange in that it would alleviate administrative processes related to the untimely review of billing disputes which divert staff resources away from the Exchange's regulatory and business purposes.

The sixty days would first apply to invoices related to transactional billing in November 2016 and would apply thereafter.<sup>6</sup> The Exchange proposes to apply the billing policy to all charges reflected in its Schedule of Fees.

### 2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act <sup>7</sup> in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act <sup>8</sup> in particular, in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general to protect investors and the public interest, by providing a uniform practice for disputing fees.

The Exchange believes the requirement that all billing disputes must be submitted in writing, and with supporting documentation, within sixty calendar days from receipt of the invoice is reasonable in the public interest because the Exchange provides ample tools to properly and swiftly monitor and account for various charges

<sup>4</sup> The Exchange invoice specifies contact information for billing inquiries.

incurred in a given month. Moreover, the proposed billing dispute language, which will lower the Exchange's administrative burden, is substantially similar to billing dispute language adopted by other exchanges. Also, the Exchange's administrative costs would be lowered as a result of this policy because staff resources would not be diverted to review untimely requests regarding billing.

# B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The billing policy would apply uniformly to all ISE Mercury Members. The policy is similar to rules adopted by other options exchanges.<sup>10</sup>

Further, this proposal would provide a cost savings to the Exchange in that it would alleviate administrative processes related to the untimely review of billing disputes which divert staff resources away from the Exchange's regulatory and business purposes.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

## III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not: (i) Significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, it has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act <sup>11</sup> and subparagraph (f)(6) of Rule 19b–4 thereunder. <sup>12</sup>

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is: (i) Necessary or appropriate in

the public interest; (ii) for the protection of investors; or (iii) otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

### IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

### Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an email to *rule-comments@* sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–ISEMercury–2016–17 on the subject line.

## Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-ISEMercury-2016-17. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/ rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-ISEMercury-2016-17 and should be

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See note 3 above.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>This proposal would not apply to invoices related to October 2016 billing.

<sup>7 15</sup> U.S.C. 78f(b).

<sup>8 15</sup> U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

 $<sup>^9\,</sup>See$  note 3 above.

<sup>10</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(iii).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b–4(f)(6). In addition, Rule 19b–4(f)(6) requires a self-regulatory organization to give the Commission written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change at least five business days prior to the date of filing of the proposed rule change, or such shorter time as designated by the Commission. The Exchange has satisfied this requirement.

submitted on or before November 3, 2016.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.  $^{13}$ 

### Brent J. Fields,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2016–24696 Filed 10–12–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-79057; File No. 4-705]

Program for Allocation of Regulatory Responsibilities Pursuant to Rule 17d–2; Notice of Filing and Order Approving and Declaring Effective a Proposed Plan for the Allocation of Regulatory Responsibilities Between the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc., Bats BZX Exchange, Inc., Bats BYX Exchange, Inc., Bats EDGA Exchange, Inc., and Bats EDGX Exchange, Inc.

October 6, 2016.

Notice is hereby given that the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") has issued an Order, pursuant to Section 17(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),1 approving and declaring effective a plan for allocating regulatory responsibility ("Plan") filed on September 30, 2016, pursuant to Rule 17d-2 of the Act,2 by the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. ("FINRA"), Bats BZX Exchange, Inc. ("BZX"), Bats BYX Exchange, Inc. ("BYX"), Bats EDGA Exchange, Inc. ("EDGA"), and Bats EDGX Exchange, Inc. ("EDGX") (each, a "Participating Organization," or "Bats Exchange," and together, the "Participating Organizations," "the Bats Exchanges," or the "Parties"). The Plan replaces and supersedes the agreement between FINRA and BZX dated August 25, 2008; 3 the agreement between FINRA and BYX dated September 3, 2010; 4 the agreement between FINRA and EDGX

dated March 31, 2010; <sup>5</sup> and the agreement between FINRA and EDGA dated March 31, 2010.<sup>6</sup>

### I. Introduction

Section 19(g)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),7 among other things, requires every selfregulatory organization ("ŠRO") registered as either a national securities exchange or national securities association to examine for, and enforce compliance by, its members and persons associated with its members with the Act, the rules and regulations thereunder, and the SRO's own rules, unless the SRO is relieved of this responsibility pursuant to Section 17(d) or Section 19(g)(2) of the Act.8 Without this relief, the statutory obligation of each individual SRO could result in a pattern of multiple examinations of broker-dealers that maintain memberships in more than one SRO ("common members"). Such regulatory duplication would add unnecessary expenses for common members and their SROs.

Section 17(d)(1) of the Act <sup>9</sup> was intended, in part, to eliminate unnecessary multiple examinations and regulatory duplication. <sup>10</sup> With respect to a common member, Section 17(d)(1) authorizes the Commission, by rule or order, to relieve an SRO of the responsibility to receive regulatory reports, to examine for and enforce compliance with applicable statutes, rules, and regulations, or to perform other specified regulatory functions.

To implement Section 17(d)(1), the Commission adopted two rules: Rule 17d–1 and Rule 17d–2 under the Act. <sup>11</sup> Rule 17d–1 authorizes the Commission to name a single SRO as the designated examining authority ("DEA") to examine common members for compliance with the financial responsibility requirements imposed by

the Act, or by Commission or SRO rules.12 When an SRO has been named as a common member's DEA, all other SROs to which the common member belongs are relieved of the responsibility to examine the firm for compliance with the applicable financial responsibility rules. On its face, Rule 17d-1 deals only with an SRO's obligations to enforce member compliance with financial responsibility requirements. Rule 17ddoes not relieve an SRO from its obligation to examine a common member for compliance with its own rules and provisions of the federal securities laws governing matters other than financial responsibility, including sales practices and trading activities and practices.

To address regulatory duplication in these and other areas, the Commission adopted Rule 17d-2 under the Act. 13 Rule 17d-2 permits SROs to propose joint plans for the allocation of regulatory responsibilities with respect to their common members. Under paragraph (c) of Rule 17d-2, the Commission may declare such a plan effective if, after providing for appropriate notice and comment, it determines that the plan is necessary or appropriate in the public interest and for the protection of investors; to foster cooperation and coordination among the SROs; to remove impediments to, and foster the development of, a national market system and a national clearance and settlement system; and is in conformity with the factors set forth in Section 17(d) of the Act. Commission approval of a plan filed pursuant to Rule 17d-2 relieves an SRO of those regulatory responsibilities allocated by the plan to another SRO.

## II. Proposed Plan

The proposed 17d–2 Plan is intended to reduce regulatory duplication for firms that are common members of a Bats Exchange and FINRA. <sup>14</sup> Pursuant to the proposed 17d–2 Plan, FINRA would assume certain examination and enforcement responsibilities for common members with respect to certain applicable laws, rules, and regulations. The Plan replaces and supersedes the individual agreements between FINRA and each Bats Exchange <sup>15</sup> and is intended to reduce the administrative burden associated with maintaining four separate plans.

<sup>13 17</sup> CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78q(d).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.17d–2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 58563 (September 17, 2008), 73 FR 55180 (September 24, 2008) (File No. 4–569) (notice of filing of proposed plan). See also Securities Exchange Act Release No. 58818 (October 20, 2008), 73 FR 63752 (October 27, 2008) (File No. 4–569) (order approving proposed plan).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 62935 (September 17, 2010), 75 FR 57998 (September 23, 2010) (File No. 4–613) (notice of filing of proposed plan). See also Securities Exchange Act Release No. 63102 (October 14, 2010), 75 FR 64765 (October 20, 2010) (File No. 4–613) (order approving proposed plan).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 61861 (April 7, 2010), 75 FR 18920 (April 13, 2010) (File No. 4–598) (notice of filing of proposed plan). See also Securities Exchange Act Release No. 62079 (May 11, 2010), 75 FR 28080 (May 19, 2010) (File No. 4–598) (order approving proposed plan).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 61860 (April 7, 2010), 75 FR 18915 (April 13, 2010) (File No. 4–597) (notice of filing of proposed plan). See also Securities Exchange Act Release No. 62078 (May 11, 2010), 75 FR 28078 (May 19, 2010) (File No. 4–597) (order approving proposed plan).

<sup>7 15</sup> U.S.C. 78s(g)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78q(d) and 15 U.S.C. 78s(g)(2), respectively.

<sup>9 15</sup> U.S.C. 78q(d)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> See Securities Act Amendments of 1975, Report of the Senate Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs to Accompany S. 249, S. Rep. No. 94–75, 94th Cong., 1st Session 32 (1975).

 $<sup>^{11}</sup>$  17 CFR 240.17d–1 and 17 CFR 240.17d–2, respectively.

 $<sup>^{12}</sup>$  See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 12352 (April 20, 1976), 41 FR 18808 (May 7, 1976).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 12935 (October 28, 1976), 41 FR 49091 (November 8, 1976)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> See Paragraph 1(c) of the proposed 17d–2 Plan.

<sup>15</sup> See supra notes 3-6.