compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Los Angeles ACO.

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on March 7, 1996.

Darrell M. Pederson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 96–5944 Filed 3–12–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 96-NM-04-AD]

Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 737–100 and –200 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking

(NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Boeing Model 737-100 and -200 series airplanes. This proposal would require inspections to detect cracking of the support fittings of the Krueger flap actuator; and replacement of existing fittings with new steel fittings and modification of the aft attachment of the actuator, if necessary. This proposal is prompted by reports of cracking due to fatigue and stress corrosion of the support fittings of the Krueger flap actuator. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent such cracking, which could result in fracturing of the actuator attach lugs, separation of the actuator from the support fitting, severing of the hydraulic lines, and resultant loss of hydraulic fluids. These conditions, if not corrected, could result in possible failure of one or more hydraulic systems, and subsequent reduced controllability of the airplane.

DATES: Comments must be received by May 6, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM–103, Attention: Rules Docket No. 96–NM–04–AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Airplane Group, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124–2207. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Della Swartz, Aerospace Engineer,
Airframe Branch, ANM–120S, FAA,
Seattle Aircraft Certification Office,
1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton,
Washington; telephone (206) 227–2785;
fax (206) 227–1181.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 96–NM–04–AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-103, Attention: Rules Docket No. 96-NM-04-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

Discussion

The FAA received several reports indicating that cracking was found on Model 737 series airplanes in the support fittings that attach the Krueger flap actuator to the front spar. This

cracking was found in the actuator attach lugs of the support fittings on a number of airplanes, and in the fillet radius between the actuator attach lug and the vertical flanges of the fitting on one airplane. The cause of the cracking has been attributed to fatigue and stress corrosion. Complete fracture of both actuator attach lugs could allow the actuator to separate from the support fitting, which could sever the hydraulic lines and result in the loss of hydraulic fluids. This condition, if not corrected, could result in possible failure of one or more hydraulic systems, and subsequent reduced controllability of the airplane.

The FAA also received two reports indicating that hydraulic system A and the standby hydraulic system failed during flight on Model 737 series airplanes. During subsequent emergency landings, these airplanes departed the end of the runway and sustained severe damage. On one of these airplanes, both actuator attach lugs on the support fittings of the No. 1 Krueger flap actuator were severed completely. The actuator separated from the front spar and the adjacent hydraulic lines were severed. On the other airplane, the No. 3 Krueger flap actuator separated from the fitting and the hydraulic lines to the actuator were severed. Subsequently, the hydraulic fuse did not close sufficiently to prevent the loss of hydraulic fluid from the system. Results of a laboratory examination of the fuse indicated that corrosion existed on the magnesium piston of the fuse.

The FAA has reviewed and approved Boeing Service Bulletin 737–57–1129, Revision 1, dated October 30, 1981, as revised by Notices of Status Change 737-57-1129NSC1, dated July 23, 1982; 737-57-1129 NSC2, dated April 14, 1983; and 737-57-1129 NSC 3, dated May 18, 1995. This service bulletin describes procedures for an initial visual inspection and repetitive eddy current inspections to detect cracking of the support fittings of the Krueger flap actuator; and replacement of existing fittings with new steel fittings and modification of the aft attachment of the actuator, if necessary. Such replacement and modification eliminates the need for repetitive eddy current inspections of the fittings.

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other products of this same type design, the proposed AD would require repetitive eddy current inspections to detect cracking of the support fittings of the Krueger flap actuator; and replacement of existing fittings with new steel fittings and modification of the aft attachment of the actuator, if necessary. Such replacement

and modification, if accomplished, would constitute terminating action for the required repetitive inspections. The actions would be required to be accomplished in accordance with the service bulletin described previously.

Operators should note that, while the service bulletin recommends that the initial inspection be performed using a visual method and subsequent repetitive inspections be performed using an eddy current technique, this proposed AD would require that both the initial and repetitive inspections be accomplished using the eddy current method. The support fittings of the Krueger flap actuator are susceptible to stress corrosion cracking, and the crack growth rate for such cracking is unknown. The FAA finds that, if a visual inspection is accomplished to detect cracking of the support fittings, such cracking may not be detected in a timely manner to adequately address the unsafe condition. Therefore, the FAA has determined that an adequate level of safety for the affected fleet requires that both the initial and repetitive inspections of these fittings be performed using an eddy current technique, which is a more reliable method of crack detection.

The FAA is considering the issuance of separate rulemaking action to address failure of hydraulic fuses having magnesium pistons. Fuses of this type are installed on Model 747–100, –200, –300, and –SP series airplanes, as well as Model 737–100 and –200 series airplanes.

There are approximately 727 Model 737–100 and –200 series airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The FAA estimates that 270 airplanes of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD, that it would take approximately 12 work hours per airplane (6 work hours per wing) to accomplish the proposed actions, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$194,400, or \$720 per airplane, per inspection.

The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

Should an operator elect to accomplish the replacement and modification rather than continue the repetitive inspections, it would take approximately 88 work hours per airplane (44 work hours per wing) to accomplish the replacement and modification, at an average labor rate of

\$60 per work hour. Required parts would cost approximately \$13,172 per airplane. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the replacement and modification is estimated to be \$18,452 per airplane.

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption "ADDRESSES."

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Boeing: Docket 96-NM-04-AD.

Applicability: Model 737–100 and –200 series airplanes, line positions 001 through 813 inclusive, certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been otherwise modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this

AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (d) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent possible failure of one or more hydraulic systems and subsequent reduced controllability of the airplane, accomplish the following:

- (a) Within one year after the effective date of this AD, perform an eddy current inspection to detect cracking of the support fitting of the Krueger flap actuator, in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 737–57–1129, Revision 1, dated October 30, 1981, as revised by Notices of Status Change 737–57–1129 NSC1, dated July 23, 1982; 737–57–1129 NSC2, dated April 14, 1983; and 737–57–1129 NSC 3, dated May 18, 1995.
- (1) If no cracking is found, repeat the inspection required by paragraph (a) of this AD thereafter at intervals not to exceed 3,000 hours time-in-service.
- (2) If any cracking is found, prior to further flight, accomplish the replacement and modification specified in paragraph (b) of this AD.
- (b) Replacement of the support fitting with a steel fitting and modification of the actuator aft attachment in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 737–57–1129, Revision 1, dated October 30, 1981, as revised by Notices of Status Change 737–57–1129NSC1, dated July 23, 1982; 737–57–1129 NSC2, dated April 14, 1983; and 737–57–1129 NSC 3, dated May 18, 1995; constitutes terminating action for the repetitive inspections required by this AD.
- (c) As of the effective date of this AD, no person shall install a support fitting having part number 69–37892–9, 69–37892–10, 69–37893–1, or 69–37893–2 on the Krueger flap actuator of any airplane.
- (d) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Seattle ACO.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Seattle ACO.

(e) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on March 7, 1996.

Darrell M. Pederson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 96–5943 Filed 3–12–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 95-AWP-27]

Proposed Establishment of Class E Airspace; San Andreas, CA

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

summary: This notice proposes to establish a Class E airspace area at San Andreas, CA. The development of a Global Positioning System (GPS) Standard Instrument Approach Procedure (SIAP) to Runway (RWY) 31 has made this proposal necessary. The intended effect of this proposal is to provide adequate controlled airspace for Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) operations at Calaveras Co-Maury Rasmussen Field Airport, San Andreas, CA.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before April 22, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on the proposal in triplicate to: Federal Aviation Administration, Attn: Manager, System Management Branch, AWP–530, Docket No. 95–AWP–27, Air Traffic Division, P.O. Box 92007, Worldway Postal Center, Los Angeles, California, 90009.

The official docket may be examined in the Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, Western Pacific Region, Federal Aviation Administration, Room 6007, 15000 Aviation Boulevard, Lawndale, California, 90261.

An informal docket may also be examined during normal business at the Office of the Manager, System Management Branch, Air Traffic Division at the above address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: William Buck, Airspace Specialist, System Management Branch, AWP–530, Air Traffic Division, Western-Pacific Region, Federal Aviation Administration, 15000 Aviation Boulevard, Lawndale, California, 90261,

telephone (310) 725–6556. **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

Comments Invited

Intersted parties are invited to participate in this proposed rulemaking by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire.

Comments that provide the factual basis

supporting the views and suggestions presented are particularly helpful in developing reasoned regulatory decisions on the proposal. Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, aeronautical, economic, environmental, and energy-related aspects of the proposal. Communications should identify the airspace docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address listed above. Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments on this notice must submit with the comments a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: 'Comments to Airspace Docket No. 95-AWP-27." The postcard will be date/ time stamped and returned to the commenter. All communications received on or before the specified closing date for comments will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in light of comments received. All comments submitted will be available for examination in the System Management Branch, Air Traffic Division, at 15000 Aviation Boulevard, Lawndale, California 90261, both before and after the closing date for comments. A report summarizing each substantive public contact with FAA personnel concerned with this rulemaking will be filed in the docket.

Availability of NPRM

Any person may obtain a copy of this Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) by submitting a request to the Federal Aviation Administration, System Management Branch, P.O. Box 92007, Worldway Postal Center, Los Angeles, California 90009. Communications must identify the notice number of this NPRM. Persons interested in being placed on a mailing list for future NPRM's should also request a copy of Advisory Circular No. 11–2A, which describes the application procedures.

The Proposal

The FAA is considering an amendment to part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 71) to establish a Class E airspace area at San Andreas, CA. The development of a GPS SIAP at Calaveras Co-Muary Rasmussen Field Airport has made this proposal necessary. The intended effect of this proposal is to provide adequate Class E airspace for aircraft executing the GPS RWY 31 SIAP at Calaveras Co-Muary Rasmussen Field Airport, San Andreas, CA. Class E airspace designations for airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the

earth are published in Paragraph 6005 of FAA Order 7400.9C dated August 17, 1995, and effective September 16, 1995, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Class E airspace designation listed in this document would be published subsequently in this Order.

The FAA has determined that this proposed regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. Therefore, this proposed regulation—(1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 10034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a Regulatory Evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

The Proposed Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

PART 71—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for 14 CFR part 71 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389; 14 CFR 11.69.

§71.1 [Amended]

2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of the Federal Aviation Administration Order 7400.9C, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 17, 1995, and effective September 16, 1995, is amended as follows:

Paragraph 6005 Class E airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth.

AWP CA E5 San Andreas, CA [New] Calaveras Co-Muary Rasmussen Field Airport, CA (lat. 38°08′46″ N, long. 120°38′53″ W)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 7.3-mile