evaluated this in the context of the project to ensure that the project will not impact this species; the evaluation verified that the project will not impact this species.

3. Å Phase I cultural resources report was filed with the Mississippi State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) by letter dated January 23, 1996. The report documents the results of the Phase I investigation which did not locate any cultural resources. In a letter dated January 25, 1996 the SHPO indicated that it had reviewed the report and that no historic properties will be affected by

the project.

By letter dated February 9, 1996, Transco requested from the SHPO information concerning groups who may be interested in cultural resources which the Phase I survey may have missed, particularly Native Americans who may have knowledge of sacred areas or locations of special value to them. Additionally, with such letter, Transco submitteď an "Action Plan for Treating Known and Unanticipated Discoveries of Human Remains and Historic Properties". By letter dated February 14, 1996, the SHPO identified the Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians. Also, the SHPO advised that the action plan is acceptable. Transco states that Mr. Ken Carleton, the Tribal Archaeologist, was contacted by telephone on February 26, 1996 and indicated he was satisfied with the results of the archaeological survey and identified no sacred sites or other areas of concern within the project boundaries.

4. Transco states that it does not consider *in situ* replacement a practical option because such conventional replacement would be subject to the same erosive forces of the river.

5. Transco states that the proposed installations and removals will improve the visual or aesthetic value of the river banks at the Chickasawhay River crossing by allowing native revegetation and dynamics of the river to control the natural succession of the banks at the crossing. Transco states that it will implement measures to restore and stabilize the construction work spaces and abandoned rights-of-way.

Therefore, Transco states that in view of (1) the essential need for the Chickasawhay River crossing to be able to move gas from Transco's production areas to Transco's market areas, and (2) the de minimis environmental impact of such project, Transco requests that the Commission issue a certificate and construction clearance by April 1, 1996.

By its application, Transco also seeks authorization to abandon by removing portions of its Main Lines A, B and C at the Chickasawhay River which will be replaced (including the portions in the river bed). Transco states that gas transmission across the Chickasawhay River will be unaffected by these abandonments. It is stated that the cost of removal of all three line segments is estimated at a total of \$300,000.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before March 18, 1996, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CAR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and procedure, a hearing will be held with further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Transco to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–5929 Filed 3–12–96; 8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP96-170-000]

Trunkline Gas Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

March 7, 1996.

Take notice that on March 5, 1996, Trunkline Gas Company (Trunkline) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff First Revised Volume No. 1, the tariff sheets listed on Appendix A attached to the filing, proposed to be effective April 5, 1996. Trunkline asserts that the purpose of this filing is to comply with the Commission's order issued September 28, 1995 in Docket No. RM95–3–000, 72 FERC ¶ 61,300 (1995).

Specifically, Trunkline is: (1) Adding Trunkline's telephone and facsimile numbers, as well as street address on the title page; (2) providing a separate map for each zone showing major interconnections; (3) rearranging rate sheet components to show adjustments approved pursuant to Subpart E of the Regulations in a separate column; (4) including a statement describing the order in which Trunkline discounts its rates; (5) updating and modifying the Index of Firm Customers to include the maximum daily quantity for each contract; (6) including a description of periodic reports required by Commission orders or settlements in proceedings initiated under Part 154 or 284 of the Commission's Regulations; and (7) updating references to Part 154 of the Regulations.

Trunkline states that a copy of this filing is being served on all affected customers and applicable state regulatory agencies.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.211 and 385.214 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–5926 Filed 3–12–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. ER95-203-007, et al.]

UtiliCorp United Inc., et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

March 6, 1996.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. UtiliCorp United Inc.

[Docket No. ER95-203-007]

Take notice that on February 26, 1996, UtiliCorp United Inc. ("UtiliCorp") filed tariff sheets revising Section 2.5 of its Interruptible Transmission Service Tariffs for its Missouri Public Service and WestPlains Energy Kansas and Colorado divisions, in accordance with the directives contained in the Commission's February 14, 1996, order in the above-docketed proceeding, all as more fully set forth in the compliance filing on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Comment date: March 20, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

2. IES Utilities, Inc., Interstate Power Company, Wisconsin Power & Light Company, South Beloit Water, Gas & Electric Company, Heartland Energy Services and Industrial Energy Applications, Inc.

[Docket No. EC96-13-000]

Take notice that on March 1, 1996, IES Utilities Inc. (IES), Interstate Power Company (IPC), Wisconsin Power & Light Company (WPL), South Beloit Water, Gas & Electric Company (South Beloit), Heartland Energy Services (Heartland), and Industrial Energy Applications (IEA) (collectively, the "Applicants") filed, pursuant to Section 203 of the Federal Power Act and Part 33 of the Commission's Regulations, a Joint Application requesting authorization of their merger and reorganization and the resulting consolidation of facilities ("Merger") subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.

The Applicants state that they are making this filing in connection with the proposed merger of WPL Holdings, Inc. (the holding company parent of WPL and, indirectly, South Beloit), IES Industries Inc. (Industries) (the holding company parent of IES) and IPC. The Applicants state that they will be organized under Interstate Energy Corporation (Interstate Energy), the holding company parent that will be formed for the consummation of the Merger. IES, IPC, WPL and South Beloit will continue to operate in their respective service territories, as they do today. The reorganization will be effected through an exchange of common stock.

Comment date: March 29, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

3. Northern States Power Company (Minnesota), Northern States Power Company (Wisconsin) and Cenergy, Inc.

[Docket Nos. ER94-1090-002 and ER94-1113-005; ER94-1402-006]

Take notice that on February 29, 1996, Northern States Power Company (Minnesota) and Northern States Power Company (Wisconsin) (collectively "NSP") tendered it's NSP Transmission Tariff compliance filing in response to the Commission order dated February 14, 1996.

In accordance with the Commission's order of February 14, 1996, NSP requests an effective date of November 14, 1994, for the Appendix A Tariff. In accordance with the WMI settlement agreement, NSP requests an effective date of January 1, 1996, for the Appendix C Tariff. Copies of the compliance filing have been sent to the service list maintained in these proceedings.

Comment date: March 20, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

4. Illinois Power Company

[Docket No. ER95-874-000]

Take notice that on February 21, 1996, Illinois Power Company (Illinois Power), tendered for filing a revision to Amendment No. 18 to include Attachment A, "Cost of Emission Allowance," to Service Schedule H.

Illinois Power has requested waiver of the Commission's notice requirements to permit the originally proposed effective date of June 1, 1995.

Comment date: March 20, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

5. Southern Energy Marketing, Inc. [Docket No. ER95-976-002]

Take notice that on February 28, 1996, Southern Energy Marketing, Inc. ("Southern Energy") tendered for filing an amendment to its compliance filing in the above-referenced docket.

Comment date: March 20, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

6. Nevada Power Company

[Docket No. ER96-98-001]

Take notice that on February 27, 1996, Nevada Power Company tendered for filing its refund report in the abovereferenced docket.

Comment date: March 20, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

7. Duke/Louis Dreyfus L.L.C.

[Docket No. ER96-108-000]

Take notice that on February 20, 1996, Duke/Louis Dreyfus L.L.C. (Duke/Louis Dreyfus) notified the Commission of a change in status.

The change in status results from the formation by Duke/Louis Dreyfus and Eastern Utilities Associates of a joint venture to market power.

Comment date: March 20, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

8. Idaho Power Company

[Docket No. ER96-350-001]

Take notice that on February 15, 1996, Idaho Power Company (IPC) tendered for filing a clarification to its filing in the above-referenced docket regarding IPC's Point-to-Point and Network Integration Transmission Tariffs.

Comment date: March 20, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E

at the end of this notice.

9. Northeast Utilities Service Company

[Docket No. ER96-496-001]

Take notice that on February 29, 1996, Northeast Utilities Service Company (NU) tendered for filing a conditional compliance filing of Wholesale Tariffs by NU in the above-referenced docket.

Comment date: March 20, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

10. Florida Power Corporation

[Docket No. ER96-845-000]

Take notice that on February 28, 1996, Florida Power Corporation tendered for filing an amendment in the abovereferenced docket.

Comment date: March 20, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

11. Supersystems, Inc.

[Docket No. ER96-906-000]

Take notice that on February 20, 1996, Supersystems, Inc. tendered for filing supplemental information to its January 24, 1996, filing in the above-referenced docket.

Comment date: March 20, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

12. Southern Company Services, Inc.

[Docket No. ER96-912-000]

Take notice that on February 27, 1996, Southern Company Services, Inc., acting on behalf of Alabama Power Company, Georgia Power Company, Gulf Power Company, Mississippi Power Company and Savannah Electric and Power Company (Southern Companies) tendered for filing an amendment to

Interchange Service Contract between Southern Companies and Heartland Energy Services, Inc.

Comment date: March 20, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

13. Southern Company Services, Inc.

[Docket No. ER96-913-000]

Take notice that on February 27, 1996, Southern Company Services, Inc., acting on behalf of Alabama Power Company, Georgia Power Company, Gulf Power Company, Mississippi Power Company and Savannah Electric and Power Company (Southern Companies), tendered for filing an amendment to the Interchange Service Contract between Southern Companies and LG&E Power Marketing Inc., of Fairfax, Virginia.

Comment date: March 20, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

14. Southern Company Services, Inc.

[Docket No. ER96-914-000]

Take notice that on February 27, 1996, Southern Company Services, Inc., acting on behalf of Alabama Power Company, Georgia Power Company, Gulf Power Company, Mississippi Power Company and Savannah Electric and Power Company (Southern Companies), tendered for filing an amendment to the Interchange Service Contract between Southern Companies and CATEX Vitol Electric, L.L.C.

Comment date: March 20, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

15. Public Service Electric and Gas Company

[Docket No. ER96-1009-000]

Take notice that on February 29, 1996, Public Service Electric and Gas Company of Newark, New Jersey amended its filing of an agreement for the sale of capacity and energy to Carolina Power and Light Company. Pursuant to the agreement, PSE&G will sell peaking capacity and associated energy for a period commencing on February 6, 1996 through February 29, 1996.

Copies of the amended filing have been served upon CP&L, the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities, the North Carolina Utilities Commission and the South Carolina Public Service Commission.

Comment date: March 20, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

16. Central Illinois Light Company

[Docket No. ER96–1075–000]

Take notice that on February 29, 1996, Central Illinois Light Company tendered for filing an additional exhibit to its February 16, 1996, filing in this proceeding.

Comment date: March 20, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

17. New England Power Pool

[Docket No. ER96-1173-000]

Take notice that on February 26, 1996, the New England Power Pool Executive Committee filed a signature page to the NEPOOL Agreement dated September 1, 1971, as amended, signed by CNG Power Services Corporation (CNG). The New England Power Pool Agreement, as amended, has been designated NEPOOL FPC No. 2.

The Executive Committee states that acceptance of the signature page would permit CNG to join the over 90 other electric utilities and independent power producers that already participate in the Pool. NEPOOL further states that the filed signature page does not change the NEPOOL Agreement in any manner, other than to make CNG a Participant in the Pool. NEPOOL, requests an effective date of May 1, 1996 for commencement of participation in the Pool by CNG.

Comment date: March 20, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

18. New England Power Pool

[Docket No. ER96-1174-000]

Take notice that on February 26, 1996, the New England Power Pool Executive Committee filed a signature page to the NEPOOL Agreement dated September 1, 1971, as amended, signed by Catex Vitol Electric L.L.C. (Catex). The New England Power Pool Agreement, as amended, has been designated NEPOOL FPC No. 2.

The Executive Committee states that acceptance of the signature page would permit Catex to join over 90 other electric utilities and independent power producers that already participate in the Pool. NEPOOL further states that the filed signature page does not change the NEPOOL Agreement in any manner, other than to make Catex a Participant in the Pool. NEPOOL, requests an effective date of May 1, 1996, for commencement of participation in the Pool by Catex.

Comment date: March 20, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

19. New England Power Pool

[Docket No. ER96-1175-000]

Take notice that on February 26, 1996, the New England Power Pool Executive Committee filed a signature page to the NEPOOL Agreement dated September 1, 1971, as amended, signed by ENRON Power Marketing, Inc. (ENRON). The New England Power Pool Agreement, as amended, has been designated NEPOOL FPC No. 2.

The Executive Committee states that acceptance of the signature page would permit ENRON to join over 90 other electric utilities and independent power producers that already participate in the Pool. NEPOOL further states that the filed signature page does not change the NEPOOL Agreement in any manner, other than to make ENRON a Participant in the Pool. NEPOOL requests an effective date of May 1, 1996, for commencement of participation in the Pool by ENRON.

Comment date: March 20, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

20. Arizona Public Service Company [Docket No. ER96–1176–000]

Take notice that on February 26, 1996, Arizona Public Service Company (APS), tendered for filing revised estimated load Exhibits applicable under the following rate schedules:

APS- FERC No.	Customer name	Exhibit
140	Electrical District No. 8.	Exhibit "II".
142	McMullen Valley Water C&DD.	Exhibit "II".
155	Buckeye Water C&DD.	Exhibit "II".
158	Roosevelt Irriga- tion District.	Exhibit "II".
153	Harquahala Valley Power District.	Exhibit "II".
168	Maricopa Water District.	Exhibit "II".
126	Electrical District No. 6 of Pinal County.	Exhibit "II".
141	Aquila Irrigation District.	Exhibit "II".
143	Tonopah Irrigation District.	Exhibit "II".

Current rate levels are unaffected, revenue levels are unchanged from those currently on file with the Commission, and no other significant change in service to these or any other customer results from the revisions proposed herein. No new or modifications to existing facilities are required as a result of these revisions.

Copies of this filing have been served on the above customers and the Arizona Corporation Commission.

Comment date: March 20, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

21. J. Aron & Company

[Docket No. ER96-1177-000]

Take notice that on February 26, 1996, J. Aron & Company (J. Aron), tendered for filing a letter from the Executive Committee of the Western Systems Power Pool (WSPP) indicating that J. Aron has satisfied the requirements for WSPP membership. Accordingly, J. Aron requests that the Commission amend the WSPP Agreement to include it as a member.

J. Aron requests waiver of the 60-day prior notice requirement to permit its membership in the WSPP to become effective as of January 31, 1996, the date J. Aron accepted membership in the WSPP.

Comment date: March 20, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

22. Central Illinois Public Service Company

[Docket No. ER96-1178-000]

Take notice that on February 27, 1996, Central Illinois Public Service Company (CIPS), submitted a Service Agreement, dated February 16, 1996, establishing Conoco Power Marketing Inc. (Conoco) as a customer under the terms of CIPS' Coordination Sales Tariff CST-1 (CST-1 Tariff).

CIPS requests an effective date of February 16, 1996, for the service agreement with Conoco. Accordingly, CIPS requests waiver of the Commission's notice requirements. Copies of this filing were served upon Conoco and the Illinois Commerce Commission.

Comment date: March 20, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

23. Public Service Company of Oklahoma and Southwestern Electric Power Company

[Docket No. ER96-1179-000]

Take notice that on February 27, 1996, Public Service Company of Oklahoma and Southwestern Electric Power Company (collectively the Companies) submitted Transmission Service Agreements establishing three new customers under the terms of the SPP Coordination Transmission Service Tariff.

The Companies request waiver of the Commission's notice requirements. Copies of the filing were served upon the three customers.

Comment date: March 20, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

24. Central Power and Light Company and West Texas Utilities Company

[Docket No. ER96-1180-000]

Take notice that on February 27, 1996, Central Power and Light Company (CPL) and West Texas Utilities Company (WTU) (jointly, the Companies) submitted a Transmission Service Agreement establishing Destec Power Services, Inc. (Destec) as a customer under the terms of the ERCOT Coordination Transmission Service Tariff.

The Companies request waiver of the Commission's notice requirements. Copies of this filing have been served upon Destec.

Comment date: March 20, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

25. Central Power and Light Company and West Texas Utilities Company

[Docket No. ER96-1181-000]

Take notice that on February 27, 1996, Central Power and Light Company (CPL) and West Texas Utilities Company (WTU) (jointly, the Companies) submitted two Transmission Service Agreements, dated February 7, and February 19, 1996, establishing Destec Power Services, Inc. (Destec) and Entergy Power, Inc. (Entergy), respectively, as customers under the terms of the ERCOT Interpool Transmission Service Tariff.

The Companies request waiver of the Commission's notice requirements. Copies of this filing were served upon Destec and Entergy.

Comment date: March 20, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

26. Jersey Central Power & Light Co., Metropolitan Edison Company and Pennsylvania Electric Company

[Docket No. ER96-1192-000]

Take notice that on February 27, 1996, GPU Service Corporation (GPU) on behalf of Jersey Central Power & Light Company, Metropolitan Edison Company and Pennsylvania Electric Company tendered for filing a Service Agreement between GPU and Eastex Power Marketing, Inc.

Comment date: March 20, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

27. UtiliCorp United Inc.

[Docket No. ES96-18-001]

Take notice that on February 29, 1996, UtiliCorp United Inc. (UtiliCorp) filed an amendment to its application in Docket No. ES96–18–000, under § 204 of the Federal Power Act. In UtiliCorp's

original application, it is seeking authorization to issue:

(i) Corporate guaranties in support of Debt Securities in an amount of up to and including \$40 million (Canadian) to be issued in one or more series by West Kootenay Power, Ltd. (WKP) on or before December 31, 1997 which have estimated maturity dates of not more than thirty years after the date of issuances:

(ii) Corporate guaranties in support of obligations under working capital lines of credit in an amount of up to and including \$20 million (Canadian) to guarantee such obligations for up to ten years:

(iii) A \$3.1 million Junior
Subordinated Debentures to UtiliCorp
Capital L.P. which will have a maturity
of no more than thirty years;
and for exemption from competitive
bidding and negotiated placement
requirements. WKP is a wholly-owned
subsidiary of UtiliCorp British Columbia
Ltd., which in turn is a wholly-owned
subsidiary of UtiliCorp. UtiliCorp
Capital L.P. is a limited partnership of
which UtiliCorp is the general partner.

In the amendment, UtiliCorp amended item (ii) to request authority to guarantee working capital obligations for up to two years. UtiliCorp also deleted language that referenced sections of the Commission's Regulations that have been superseded. All other terms and conditions stated in its original application are unchanged.

Comment date: March 21, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

28. City of Palm Springs, California [Docket No. TX96–7–000]

On March 1, 1996, the City of Palm Springs, California ("Palm Springs" or "City") filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("Commission") an application requesting that the Commission order the Southern California Edison Company ("Edison") to provide transmission services pursuant to Section 211 of the Federal Power Act ("Act"), as amended by the Energy Policy Act of 1992 (16 U.S.C. § 824j).

The Applicant is a municipal corporation chartered by the State of California, and is authorized to provide electric service to its inhabitants. The Applicant alleges that Edison has refused to provide the firm network transmission service requested by Palm Springs, thereby utilizing its transmission dominance to foreclose competition in bulk power markets.

The Applicant is requesting that the Commission issue a proposed order

requiring Edison to provide the firm network transmission service requested by Palm Springs, subject to negotiation of the transmission rate in accordance with the principles established in prior Commission orders for similar service. If the negotiations between Edison and Palm Springs do not resolve the issues between the parties with respect to rates, terms and conditions of service, the Applicant requests that the Commission issue a final order requiring the requested service on rates, terms, and conditions that the Commission determines to be just, reasonable and nondiscriminatory and otherwise in conformity with Section 212 of the Act.

A copy of the filing was served upon Edison.

Comment date: April 4, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraph:

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–5951 Filed 3–12–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

[Project No. 11286]

City of Abbeville; Notice of Intent to Conduct a Site Visit

March 7, 1996.

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) has received an application for an original license for the Abbeville Hydroelectric Project (FERC No. 11286) operated by the City of Abbeville (Abbeville) on the Rocky River in Abbeville and Anderson Counties, South Carolina.

Upon review of the application, supplemental filings, and intervenor submittals, the Commission staff has concluded that staff will prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) that describes and evaluates the probable impacts of the applicant's proposals and alternatives for the project. The Commission issued a Scoping Document on February 14, 1996 for which comments are due on or before March 15, 1996.

A site visit to the project facilities is scheduled for April 3 and 4, 1996. The purpose of this visit is for interested persons to observe the existing area resources and site conditions, learn the locations of proposed new facilities, and discuss project operational procedures with representatives of Abbeville and the Commission.

Times and Directions

April 3, 1996 2:00 p.m.–5:00 p.m. April 4, 1996 9:30 a.m.–4:30 p.m.

Both visits will begin at Lake Secession Dam. The dam is located on Rocky River Road. From I–85 take Route 28 south exit to Anderson and continue south to Antreville. From Antreville (from the north) or Abbeville (from the south), follow Route 28 to Sailor's Store. At Sailor's Store (closed), take State Road 72. Go west on SR72 and cross over Lake Russell (one can see the dam from the bridge over Lake Russell). Continue up a hill and take the first right onto Rocky River Road and proceed to the dam.

On April 4, we have planned a boat trip on Lake Secession. In order to ensure that the boat can accommodate everyone who attends the site visit, people will need to call in advance and confirm their attendance on the second day. We may not be able to accommodate people who do not call at least 4 days in advance.

For further information, please contact John McEachern at (202) 219–3056.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–5928 Filed 3–12–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket Nos. CP96-212-000, et al.]

Colorado Interstate Gas Company, et al., Natural Gas Certificate Filings

March 6, 1996.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Colorado Interstate Gas Company [Docket No. CP96–212–000]

Take notice that on February 26, 1996, Colorado Interstate Gas Company (CIG), Post Office Box 1087, Colorado Springs,

Colorado 80944, filed in Docket No. CP96-212-000 a request pursuant to Section 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.212) for authorization to operate in interstate commerce certain facilities previously constructed or operated to effectuate transportation services pursuant to Section 311 of the Natural Gas Policy Act (NGPA), and to construct and operate a new delivery facility. CIG makes such request, under its blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP83-21-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, CIG indicates that it has constructed the following facilities for the purpose of Section 311 transportation:

Cattle Guard Delivery Facility in Sherman County, Texas Gooseberry Creek Delivery Facility in Washakie County, Wyoming Dudley Bluffs Delivery Facility in Rio Blanco County, Colorado Wilburton Delivery Facility in Morton County, Kansas

CIG seeks certificate authorization to construct and operate the Town of Burlington, Wyoming Delivery Facility which is proposed to be installed in Big Horn County, Wyoming.

By its request, CIG seeks authority to operate these facilities pursuant to the blanket certificate provision of Section 7(c) of the NGA so that any transportation shipper, without regard to Section 311 of the NGPA, may receive service when capacity on these facilities is available.

CIG indicates that the operational constraints under Section 311, have made it difficult for CIG to compete and be market responsive, because Section 311 does not provide the operational flexibility provided under Section 7.

CIG states that it believes that it would experience no significant impact on its peak day or annual requirements resulting from the operation of the subject facilities in interstate commerce, and that operation other than strictly for Section 311 purposes can be performed without detriment or disadvantage to CIG's other existing customers.

Comment date: April 22, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

2. Columbia Gas Transmission Corp.

[Docket No. CP96-213-000]

Take notice that Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia), a Delaware corporation, having its