eight session of the International Maritime Organization (IMO) Sub-Committee on Standards of Training and Watchkeeping (STW) to be held at IMO from September 17 to 21, 1996, and the second meeting of the Intersessional Working Group on the International Convention on Standards of Training, Certification and Watchkeeping for Seafarers, 1978 (STCW), to be held from June 10 to 14, 1996, at IMO.

The primary matters to be discussed include:

1. Guidance on implementation of the 1995 amendments to the STCW Convention, including transitional provisions and target dates for implementation of new requirements:

2. Work emanating from the 1995 STCW Conference, including consideration of training requirements for maritime pilots, Vessel Traffic Service (VTS) personnel, and personnel on passenger ships;

3. Maritime safety training for personnel on Mobile Offshore Units

(MOU/MODUs);

4. Bulk carrier safety, including a review of the IMO resolution on the principles of safe manning;

5. Code for Safe Navigation and

Watchkeeping;

6. Training of personnel responsible for cargo handling on ships carrying dangerous or hazardous substances in solid form in bulk or in packaged form; and

7. Guidance associated with the new International Convention on Standards of Training, Certification and Watchkeeping for Fishing Vessel Personnel (STCW–F Convention, as adopted by the 1995 conference; not yet ratified or in force).

Members of the public may attend the meeting up to the seating capacity of the room. Interested persons may seek information by writing: Mr. Christopher Young, U.S. Coast Guard (G–MOS–1), Room 1210, 2100 Second Street SW., Washington, DC 20593–0001 or by calling: (202) 267–0229.

Charles A. Mast, Chairman, Shipping Coordinating Committee. [FR Doc. 96–9216 Filed 4–12–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4710–07–M

Dated: April 3, 1996.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Aviation Proceedings; Agreements Filed During the Week Ending 4/6/96

The following Agreements were filed with the Department of Transportation under the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 412 and 414. Answers may be filed within 21 days of date of filing.

Docket Number: OST-96-1233.
Date filed: April 3, 1996
Parties: Members of the International
Air Transport Association.

Subject: CAC/Reso/183 dated March 29, 1996, Expedited Resos—19th Cargo Agency Conference, r-1-801r r-2-801rr, Intended effective date: June 1, 1996.

Docket Number: OST-96-1234. Date filed: April 3, 1996.

Parties: Members of the International Air Transport Association.

Subject: COMP Telex Mail Vote 793, Rescind Hong Kong Rounding Unit Adopted in MV785, April 10, 1996, Intended effective date: April 10, 1996.

Docket Number: OST-96-1244. Date filed: April 5, 1996.

Parties: Members of the International Air Transport Association.

Subject: COMP Telex Mail Vote 795, Fares from Malawi, Intended effective date: May 1, 1996.

Docket Number: OST-96-1245. Date filed: April 5, 1996.

Parties: Members of the International Air Transport Association.

Subject: TC23 Telex Mail Vote 794, Australia-Europe fare increase, r-1-1st class fares r-2 Intermediate fares, Intended effective date: May 1, 1996. Paulette V. Twine,

Chief Documentary Services Division. [FR Doc. 96–9263 Filed 4–12–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–62–P

Notice of Applications for Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity and Foreign Air Carrier Permits Filed Under Subpart Q During the Week Ending April 5, 1996

The following Applications for Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity and Foreign Air Carrier Permits were filed under Subpart Q of the Department of Transportation's Procedural Regulations (See 14 CFR 302.1701 et seq.). The due date for Answers, Conforming Applications, or Motions to modify Scope are set forth below for each application. Following the Answer period DOT may process the application by expedited procedures. Such procedures may consist of the adoption of a show-cause order, a tentative order, or in appropriate cases a final order without further proceedings.

Docket Number: OST-96-1213. Date filed: April 1, 1996. Due Date for Answers, Conforming Applications, or Motion to Modify Scope: April 29, 1996.

Description: Application of Continental Airlines, Inc., pursuant to 49 U.S.C. Sections 41108, 41102 and Subpart Q of the Regulations, applies for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing Continental to provide scheduled foreign air transportation of persons, property and mail between Houston and Tokyo, Newark and Tokyo, and Newark and Osaka. Continental also requests the right to combine service between these points with service at other points Continental is authorized to serve by certificates or exemptions, consistent with applicable international agreements.

Docket Number: OST-96-1215. Date filed: April 1, 1996.

Due Date for Answers, Conforming Applications, or Motion to Modify Scope: April 29, 1996.

Description: Application of Reimers Air Service, Inc., pursuant to 49 U.S.C. Section 41102, and Subpart Q of the Regulations applies for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing interstate and scheduled air transportation.

Docket Number: OST-96-1219. Date filed: April 1, 1996.

Due Date for Answers, Conforming Applications, or Motion to Modify Scope: April 29, 1996.

Description: Application of Regal Air International, Inc. pursuant to 49 U.S.C. Section 41102 and Subpart Q of the Regulations, applies for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing Regal Air to provide scheduled interstate and overseas air transportation of persons, property and mail. Upon certification, Regal Air intends to provide service between Orlando, Florida, on the one hand, and Atlanta, Georgia, Detroit, Michigan, Washington, D.C. (Dulles), and Newark, New Jersey on the other hand.

Docket Number: OST-96-1224. Date filed: April 2, 1996.

Due Date for Answers, Conforming Applications, or Motion to Modify Scope: April 30, 1996.

Description: Application of Laker Airways Inc., pursuant to 49 U.S.C. Sections 41101(a) and 41102(a) and Subpart Q of the Regulations, requests a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing it to provide scheduled foreign air transportation of persons, property and mail between Miami, and Fort Lauderdale, Florida, on the one hand, and London (Gatwick), Manchester and Glasgow (Prestwick), in the United Kingdom, on the one hand, and Manchester and Glasgow (Prestwick), in the United Kingdom, on

the other, and such other relief as may be appropriate.

Paulette V. Twine,

Chief, Documentary Services Division. [FR Doc. 96–9264 Filed 4–12–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–62–P

Coast Guard

[CGD 96-018]

Application for Recertification of Cook Inlet Regional Citizens' Advisory Council

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of availability; requests

for comments.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard announces the availability of the application for recertification submitted by the Cook Inlet Regional Citizens' Advisory Council (CIRCAC) for June 1, 1996, through May 31, 1997. The application may be reviewed at the Cook Inlet Regional Citizens' Advisory Council's Office, 910 Highland Avenue, Kenai, Alaska 99611–8033, between the hours of 8 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The telephone number is (907) 283–7222. The Coast Guard seeks comments on the application from interested groups. The Coast Guard will publish a later notice in the Federal Register to notify the public of its decision regarding the recertification request.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before May 30, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to the Commander (G–MRO–1), ATTN: J. Jackson, U.S. Coast Guard Headquarters, 2100 Second Street, SW, Washington, DC 20593–0001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mrs. Janice Jackson, Response Division, (202) 267–0500.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the Oil Terminal and Oil Tanker Environmental Oversight and Monitoring Act of 1990 (33 U.S.C. 2732) (the Act), the Coast Guard may certify, on an annual basis, an alternative voluntary advisory group (advisory group) in lieu of Regional Citizens Advisory Councils for Cook Inlet and Prince William Sound Alaska. The Coast Guard published guidelines on December 31, 1992, to assist groups seeking recertification under the Act (57 FR 62600). The Coast Guard issued a policy statement on July 7, 1993 (58 FR 36505), to clarify the factors that the Coast Guard would be considering in making its determination as to whether advisory groups should be certified in accordance with the Act; and the

procedures which the Coast Guard would follow in meeting its certification responsibilities under the Act.

The Coast Guard has received an application for recertification of CIRCAC, the currently certified advisory group for the Cook Inlet region. In accordance with the review and certification process contained in the policy statement, the Coast Guard announces the availability of the application. It solicits comments from interested groups including oil terminal facility owners and operators, owners and operators of crude oil tankers calling at the terminal facilities, and fishing, aquacultural, recreational and environmental citizens groups, concerning the recertification application of CIRCAC. At the conclusion of the comment period, the Coast Guard will review all application materials and comments received and will take one of the following actions:

(a) Recertify the advisory group under 33 U.S.C. 2732(o).

(b) Issue a conditional recertification for a period of 90 days, with a statement of any discrepancies which must be corrected to qualify for recertification for the remainder of the year.

(c) Deny recertification of the advisory group if the Coast Guard finds that the group is not broadly representative of the interests and communities in the area or is not adequately fostering the goals and purposes of the Act.

The Coast Guard will notify CIRCAC by letter of the action taken on its application. A notice will be published in the Federal Register to advise the public of the Coast Guard's determination.

Dated: April 9, 1996.

J.C. Card,

Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard Chief, Office of Marine Safety, Security and Environmental Protection.

[FR Doc. 96–9281 Filed 4–12–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–14–M

Federal Aviation Administration

Noise Exposure Map Notice and Receipt of Noise Compability Program and Request for Review

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) announces its determination that the noise exposure maps submitted by Snohomish County Airport (PAE) under the provisions of Title I of the Aviation Safety and Noise Abatement Act of 1979 (Pub. L. 96–193) and 14 CFR Part 150 are in compliance with applicable requirements. The FAA also announces that it is reviewing a proposed noise compatibility program that was submitted for Snohomish County Airport under Part 150 in conjunction with the noise exposure maps, and that this program will be approved or disapproved on or before October 2, 1996.

EFFECTIVE DATE: The effective date of the FAA's determination on the Snohomish County Airport noise exposure maps and the start of its review of the associated noise compatibility program is April 5, 1996. The public comment period ends May 6, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dennis Ossenkop, FAA, Airports Division, ANM-611, 1601 Lind Avenue, S.W., Renton, Washington, 98055–4056. Comments on the proposed noise compatibility program should also be submitted to the above office.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice announces that the FAA finds that the noise exposure maps for Snohomish County Airport are in compliance with applicable requirements of Part 150, effective April 5, 1996. Further, FAA is reviewing a proposed noise compatibility program for that airport which will be approved or disapproved on or before October 2, 1996. This notice also announces the availability of this program for public review and comment.

Under Section 103 of Title I of the Aviation Safety and Noise Abatement Act of 1979 (hereinafter referred to as "the Act"), an airport operator may submit to the FAA a noise exposure map which meets applicable regulations and which depicts noncompatible land uses as of the date of submission of such map, a description of projected aircraft operations, and the ways in which such operations will affect such map. The Act requires such maps to be developed in consultation with interested and affected parties in the local community, government agencies and persons using the airport.

An airport operator who has submitted a noise exposure map that has been found by FAA to be in compliance with the requirements of Federal Aviation Regulation (FAR) Part 150, promulgated pursuant to Title I of the Act, may submit a noise compatibility program for FAA approval which sets forth the measures the operator has taken or proposes for the reduction of existing noncompatible uses and for the prevention of the introduction of additional noncompatible uses.