December 31, 1998.

- B. (1) Placement of erosion protection as part of reclamation to comply with Criterion 6 of Appendix A of 10 CFR Part 40.
  - (a) For areas 3A and 3B—June 30, 1995 (Completed).
  - (b) For Area 2B—June 30, 1996.
  - (c) For Area 1C-June 30, 1997.
  - (d) For Areas 1A, 1B, 2A, and 2C— June 30, 1999.
- (2) Projected completion of groundwater corrective actions to meet performance objectives specified in the groundwater corrective action plan—December 31, 1998.

WNI's application to amend LC 75 of Source Material License SUA–56, which describes the proposed changes to the license condition and the reason for the request is being made available for public inspection at the NRC's Public Document Room at 2120 L Street, NW (Lower Level), Washington, DC 20555.

The NRC hereby provides notice of an opportunity for a hearing on the license amendment under the provisions of 10 CFR Part 2, Subpart L, "Informal Hearing Procedures for Adjudications in Materials and Operator Licensing Proceedings." Pursuant to § 2.1205(a), any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding may file a request for a hearing. In accordance with § 2.1205(c), a request for hearing must be filed within 30 days of the publication of this notice in the Federal Register. The request for a hearing must be filed with the Office of the Secretary, either:

(1) By delivery to the Docketing and Service Branch of the Office of the Secretary at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852; or

(2) By mail or telegram addressed to the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, Attention: Docketing and Service Branch.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.1205(e), each request for a hearing must also be served, by delivering it personally or by mail, to:

(1) The applicant, Western Nuclear, Inc., Union Plaza Suite 300, 200 Union Boulevard, Lakewood, Colorado 80228, Attention: Stephanie J. Baker; and

(2) The NRC staff, by delivery to the Executive Director for Operations, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852 or by mail addressed to the Executive Director for Operations, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555.

In addition to meeting other applicable requirements of 10 CFR Part 2 of the NRC's regulations, a request for a hearing filed by a person other than an applicant must describe in detail:

(1) The interest of the requestor in the proceeding;

(2) How that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding, including the reasons why the requestor should be permitted a hearing, with particular reference to the factors set out in § 2.1205(g);

(3) The requestor's areas of concern about the licensing activity that is the subject matter of the proceeding; and

(4) The circumstances establishing that the request for a hearing is timely in accordance with  $\S 2.1205(c)$ .

The request must also set forth the specific aspect or aspects of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes a hearing.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 5th day of January 1996.

Daniel M. Gillen,

Acting Chief, High-Level Waste and Uranium Recovery Projects Branch, Division of Waste Management, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

[FR Doc. 96–698 Filed 1–19–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

## OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

### Rescission of OMB Circular

**AGENCY:** Office of Management and Budget.

**ACTION:** Proposed rescission of OMB Circular A–106.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that OMB intends to rescind Circular No. A-106, "Reporting Requirements in Connection With the Prevention, Control, and Abatement of **Environmental Pollution at Existing** Federal Facilities." The circular provides reporting procedures for Federal agencies to prepare and submit semi-annual plans to OMB for the control of environmental pollution at Federal facilities. The plans, prepared under Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) guidelines, identify environmental pollution improvements and associated costs for Federally owned or leased facilities. Circular A-106 is being proposed for rescission because its requirements are duplicative and inconsistent with the reporting requirements of Executive Order 12088, "Federal Compliance With Pollution Control Standards," which will remain in effect after the Circular is rescinded. Terminating the circular was recommended in the Vice President's National Performance Review to eliminate duplicative reporting

requirements and to allow agencies to report under Executive Order 12088 using their own in-house data systems or an inter-agency system provided by EPA.

**DATES:** Persons who wish to comment on the proposed rescission of Circular No. A–106 should submit their comments no later than February 22, 1996. The rescission will take place 45 days after the close of the comment period, unless the comments raise significant concerns regarding the proposed rescission.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be addressed to: Kevin Neyland, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, 725 17th Street, NW, Room 8026, Washington, D.C. 20503.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For further information on the rescission of Circular No. A–106, contact Kevin Neyland at (202) 395–6827. For further information on OMB's overall review of its circulars, contact Frank J. Seidl, III, Staff Assistant, at (202) 395–5146; or Rosalyn Rettman, Associate General Counsel for Budget at (202) 395–5600.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Director of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has initiated a systematic review of all OMB circulars, in an effort to reduce unnecessary Government directives. As part of this initiative, each OMB circular is being reviewed to see whether it should be rescinded or whether its requirements can be simplified.

#### John B. Arthur,

Associate Director for Administration. [FR Doc. 96–630 Filed 1–19–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3110–01–P

## OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

#### **The National Partnership Council**

**AGENCY:** Office of Personnel Management.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

**TIME AND DATE:** 1:00 p.m., January 24, 1996.

**PLACE:** Internal Revenue Service, Andover Service Center Auditorium, 310 Lowell Street, Andover, Massachusetts 01810.

**STATUS:** This meeting will be open to the public. Seating will be available on a first-come, first-served basis. Handicapped individuals wishing to attend should contact OPM at the number shown below to obtain appropriate accommodations.

**MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:** This will be an interactive meeting. There will be presentations on New England area partnership experiences followed by an audience participation segment. Persons seated in the audience will be invited to ask questions from the floor.

**CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:** Douglas K. Walker, National Partnership Council, Executive Secretariat, Office of Personnel Management, Theodore Roosevelt Building, 1900 E Street, NW., Room 5315, Washington, DC 20415– 0001, (202) 606–1000.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** We are giving less than 15 days notice of this meeting because of the furlough and snow closings.

Office of Personnel Management. James B. King, *Director.* [FR Doc. 96–711 Filed 1–19–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6325–01–M

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–36720; File No. SR–NASD– 95–42]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Extension of Comment Period for Proposed Rule Change by the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. Relating to NAqcess System and Accompanying Rules of Fair Practice

January 16, 1996.

On December 1, 1995, the Commission published for notice and comment a proposed rule change filed by the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. ("NASD") regarding the introduction of the Nasdaq Stock Market's NAqcess system, a new system designed to replace the Small Order Execution System ("SOES").<sup>1</sup> In the release, the Commission requested that comments on the NAqcess proposal be received by January 16, 1996.

Recently, Commission staff have received requests from interested persons for an extension of time within which to comment on the NAqcess proposal. In addition, a major snowstorm altered the schedules of many places of business in the northeastern portion of the United States last week.

In light of the substantial nature of the NAqcess proposal, and the Commission's desire to consider the views of all interested persons on the subject, the Commission believes that an extension of the comment period is appropriate. Therefore, the comment period for responding to Securities Exchange Act Release No. 36548 is hereby extended from January 16, 1996, until January 26, 1996.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>2</sup> Margaret H. McFarland, *Deputy Secretary.* [FR Doc. 96–736 Filed 1–17–96; 3:35 pm] BILLING CODE 8010–01–P

[Release No. 34–36719; File No. SR–NASD– 95–60]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Proposed Rule Change by the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. Relating to a Six-Month Extension of the SOES Minimum Exposure Limit Rule and the SOES Automated Quotation Update Feature

January 16, 1996.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"), 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1), notice is hereby given that on December 19, 1995, the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. ("NASD" or "Association") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission" or "SEC") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the NASD. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The NASD proposes to extend, until July 31, 1996, the effectiveness of certain rules governing the operation of The Nasdaq Stock Market, Inc.'s ("Nasdaq") Small Order Execution System ("SOES"). Specifically, these SOES rules, which were previously approved by the Commission on a pilot basis on December 23, 1993 1 and recently extended through January 31, 1996,<sup>2</sup> provide for: (1) A reduction in the minimum exposure limit for unpreferenced SOES orders from five times the maximum order size to two times the maximum order size, and for the elimination of exposure limits for preferenced orders ("SOES Minimum

Exposure Limit Rule"); and (2) implementation of an automated function for updating market maker quotations when the market maker's exposure limit has been exhausted ("SOES Automated Quotation Update Feature"). These rules are part of a set of SOES rules approved by the SEC on a pilot basis known as the Interim SOES Rules.<sup>3</sup>

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the NASD included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The NASD has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections (A), (B), and (C) below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

## A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

The Commission originally approved the SOES Minimum Exposure Limit Rule and the SOES Automated Quotation Update Feature on a one-year pilot basis in December 1993, along with two other SOES rules which have since lapsed.<sup>4</sup> Since December 1993, the SEC has approved three NASD proposals to extend the effectiveness of the rules, with the most recent approval extending the rules through January 31, 1996.<sup>5</sup> With this filing the NASD proposes to further extend the effectiveness of the SOES Minimum Exposure Limit Rule and the SOES Automated Quotation Update Feature until July 31, 1996, so that the rules can continue on an uninterrupted basis until the SEC has had an opportunity to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Securities Exchange Act Release No. 36548 (December 1, 1995), 60 FR 63092 (December 8, 1995).

<sup>217</sup> CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 33377 (December 23, 1993), 58 FR 69419 (December 30, 1993) ("Interim SOES Rules Approval Order").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 36311 (September 29, 1995), 60 FR 52438 (October 6, 1995) ("Interim SOES Rules Extension Order").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> As first approved by the Commission on December 23, 1993, the Interim SOES Rules had four components: (1) The SOES Minimum Exposure Limit; (2) the Automated Quotation Update; (3) a reduction in the maximum size order eligible for execution through SOES from 1,000 shares to 500 shares ("SOES Maximum Order Size"); and (4) the prohibition of short sales through SOES. The SOES Maximum Order Size Rule lapsed effective March 28, 1995, and the rule prohibiting the execution of short sales through SOES lapsed effective January 26, 1995.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Interim SOES Rules Approval Order, *supra* note 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Interim SOES Rules Extension Order, *supra* note 2, and Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 35275 (January 25, 1995), 60 FR 6327 (February 1, 1995); 35535 (March 27, 1995), 60 FR 16690 (March 31, 1995).