Acting Advisory Committee Management Officer, Michael Shapiro, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20506, or call 202/ 606–8322.

Michael Shaprio,

Acting Advisory Committee Management

Officer.

[FR Doc. 96–10656 Filed 4–29–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7537-01-M

Meeting of Humanities Panel

AGENCY: National Endowment for the

Humanities.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463, as amended), notice is hereby given that the following meeting of the Humanities Panel will be held at the Old Post Office, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20506.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sharon I. Block, Advisory Committee Management Officer, National Endowment for the Humanities, Washington, D.C. 20506; telephone (202) 606–8322. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter may be obtained by contacting the Endowment's TDD terminal on (202) 606–8282.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The proposed meeting is for the purpose of panel review, discussion, evaluation and recommendation on applications for financial assistance under the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities Act of 1965, as amended, including discussion of information given in confidence to the agency by the grant applicants. Because the proposed meeting will consider information that is likely to disclose: (1) trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from a person and privileged or confidential; or (2) information of a personal nature the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, pursuant to authority granted me by the Chairman's Delegation of Authority to Close Advisory Committee meetings, dated July 19, 1993, I have determined that this meeting will be closed to the public pursuant to subsections (c)(4), and (6) of section 552b of Title 5, United States Code.

1. *Date:* May 1, 1996. *Time:* 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. *Room:* 317.

Program: This meeting will review applications for the Seminars and Institute Program in Ancient, Medieval, Renaissance Studies submitted to the

Division of Research and Education Programs, for projects at the March 1, 1996 deadline.

2. *Date:* May 2, 1996. *Time:* 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. *Room:* 317.

Program: This meeting will review applications for the Seminars and Institute Program in Philosophy, Politics, and Culture submitted to the Division of Research and Education Programs, for projects at the March 1, 1996 deadline.

3. *Date*: May 3, 1996. *Time*: 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. *Room*: 317.

Program: This meeting will review applications for the Seminars and Institute Program in American History & Culture submitted to the Division of Research and Education Programs, for projects at the March 1, 1996 deadline.

4. *Date:* May 3, 1996. *Time:* 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. *Room:* 415.

Program: This meeting will review applications for the Seminars and Institute Program in Theory: Philosophical, Social, and Political submitted to the Division of Research and Education Programs, for projects at the March 1, 1996 deadline.

5. *Date:* May 6, 1996. *Time:* 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. *Room:* 317.

Program: This meeting will review applications for the Seminars and Institute Program in Literature and the Arts submitted to the Division of Research and Education Programs, for projects at the March 1, 1996 deadline.

6. *Date:* May 6. *Time:* 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Room: 415.

Program: This meeting will review applications for the Seminars and Institute Program in Western History & Culture submitted to the Division of Research and Education Programs, for projects at the March 1, 1996 deadline.

7. Date: May 7. Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Room: 317.

Program: This meeting will review applications for the Seminars and Institute Program in Foreign Language, Literature, and Cultures submitted to the Division of Research and Education Programs, for projects at the March 1, 1996 deadline.

8. *Date:* May 8.

Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Room: 317.

Program: This meeting will review applications for the Seminars and Institute Program in American Literature & Culture submitted to the

Division of Research and Education Programs, for projects at the March 1, 1996 deadline.

9. Date: May 8.

Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Room: 415.

Program: This meeting will review applications for the Seminars and Institute Program in World Cultural Studies submitted to the Division of Research and Education Programs, for projects at the March 1, 1996 deadline.

10. Date: May 9.

Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Room: 415.

Program: This meeting will review applications for the Seminars and Institute Program in Literature, Culture & Arts submitted to the Division of Research and Education Programs, for projects at the March 1, 1996 deadline.

11. Date: May 10.

Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Room: 317.

Program: This meeting will review applications for the Education Development and Demonstration Program in Teaching Technology, Special Opportunity submitted to the Division of Research and Education Programs, for projects with April 5, 1996 deadlines.

12. *Date:* May 13. *Time:* 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Room: 317.
Program: This meeting will review applications for the Education
Development and Demonstration
Program in Teaching Technology,
Special Opportunity submitted to the
Division of Research and Education
Programs, for projects with April 5,
1996 deadlines.

13. *Date*: May 15. *Time*: 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. *Room*: 317.

Program: This meeting will review applications for the Education Development and Demonstration Program in Teaching Technology, Special Opportunity submitted to the Division of Research and Education Programs, for projects with April 5, 1996 deadlines.

14. *Date:* May 17.

Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Room: 317.

Program: This meeting will review applications for the Education Development and Demonstration Program in Teaching Technology, Special Opportunity submitted to the Division of Research and Education Programs, for projects with April 5, 1996 deadlines.

15. Date: May 21.

Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Room: 415.

Program: This meeting will review applications for the Seminars and Institute Program in Literature, Culture & Arts submitted to the Division of Research and Education Programs, for projects at the March 1, 1996 deadline.

16. Date: May 22.

Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Room: 317.

Program: This meeting will review applications for the Education Development and Demonstration Program in Teaching Technology, Special Opportunity submitted to the Division of Research and Education Programs, for projects with April 5, 1996 deadlines.

17. *Date:* May 24. *Time:* 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. *Room:* 317.

Program: This meeting will review applications for the Education Development and Demonstration Program in Teaching Technology, Special Opportunity submitted to the Division of Research and Education Programs, for projects with April 5, 1996 deadlines.

18. *Date*: May 29. *Time*: 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. *Room*: 317.

Program: This meeting will review applications for the Education Development and Demonstration Program in Teaching Technology, Special Opportunity submitted to the Division of Research and Education Programs, for projects with April 5, 1996 deadlines.

Michael S. Shapiro,

Acting, Advisory Committee Management Officer

[FR Doc. 96–10655 Filed 4–29–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7536–01–M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-364]

Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc.; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF– 8 issued to Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc. (the licensee) for operation of the Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant, Unit 2, located in Houston County, Alabama. The proposed amendment would modify Technical Specification 3/4.4.6, "Steam Generator Surveillance Requirements," which provides tube inspection requirements and acceptance criteria to determine the level of degradation for which a tube may remain in service. The proposed amendment would add definitions required for the L*-type criteria and prescribe the portion of the tube subject to those criteria.

This requested Technical Specification (TS) change is a followup to a Notice of Enforcement Discretion (NOED) granted to the licensee that is in effect from the time of issuance on April 23, 1996, until approval of this exigent TS. NRC Inspection Manual, Part 9900, "Operations—Notices of Enforcement Discretion," requires that a followup TS amendment be issued within 4 weeks from the issuance of the NOED.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.91(a)(6) for amendments to be granted under exigent circumstances, the NRC staff must determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) Involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. Operation of the Farley Nuclear Plant Unit steam generators in accordance with the proposed license amendment does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

The supporting technical evaluations of the subject criteria demonstrate that the presence of the tubesheet enhances the tube integrity in the region of the hardroll by precluding tube deformation beyond its initial expanded outside diameter. The resistance to both tube rupture and tube collapse is strengthened by the presence of the tubesheet in that region. The result of the hardroll of the tube into the tubesheet is an interference fit between the tube and the tubesheet. Tube rupture [cannot] occur because the contact between the tube and tubesheet does not permit sufficient movement of tube material. In a

similar manner, the tubesheet does not permit sufficient movement of tube material to permit buckling collapse of the tube during postulated LOCA [loss-of-coolant accident] loadings.

The type of degradation for which the L* criterion has been developed (cracking with an axial or near axial orientation) has been found not to significantly reduce the axial strength of a tube. An evaluation including analysis and testing has been done to determine the strength reduction for axial loads with simulated axial and near axial cracks. This evaluation provides the basis for the acceptance criteria for tube degradation subject to the L* criterion.

The SRE [sound roll expansion] L* length is sufficient to preclude significant leakage from tube degradation located below the L* length. The existing Technical Specification leak rate requirements and accident analysis assumptions remain unchanged in the unlikely event that significant leakage from this region does occur. Any leakage from the tube within the tube sheet at any elevation in the tubesheet is fully bounded by the existing steam generator tube analysis included in the Farley Nuclear Plant Final Safety Analysis Report. A conservative leakage allowance for each L* tube is provided to determine the impact of L* criterion upon offsite doses in the event of a postulated double ended guillotine break of the main steam line outside of containment, but upstream of the main steam line isolation valves. Since Farley Unit 2 has implemented the Interim Plugging Criteria (IPC) for ODSCC [outside diameter stress corrosion cracking] at the tube support plates, projected steam line break (SLB) leakage at the end of the next successive operating cycle must be evaluated. Per Generic Letter 95-05, plants implementing the IPC can utilize SLB leakage limits higher than the originally assumed 1.0 gpm primary to secondary leakage value provided an analysis of offsite doses consistent with Standard Review Plan methodology is performed. This analysis performed for the Farley Unit plant indicates that primary to secondary leakage of 11.2 gpm in the faulted loop (0.1 gpm in the intact loops) will result in offsite doses at the site boundary of less than 10% of the 10 CFR [Part] 100 guidelines. The total projected SLB leakage from all leakage sources must remain below this value. [Per Westinghouse analysis] addressing the L* methodology, the number of tube ends to which L* criterion can be applied is limited to 600 per steam generator. Using a bounding SLB leakage allowance per L* tube, the SLB leakage component from 600 L* tube ends will be less than 0.33 gpm in the faulted loop. The proposed L criterion does not adversely impact any other previously evaluated design basis accident. As the current Unit 2 IPC SLB leakage has been calculated to be less than 2 gpm in the faulted loop, [an] SLB leakage margin of over 9 gpm is provided for this cycle.

As noted above, tube rupture and pullout is not expected for tubes using the L* criterion. In addition to the L* length, a minimum length of SRE below the identified degradation must be established. The aggregate L* distance of SRE provides the structural integrity to prevent tube pullout.