

appropriate margin (see, e.g., *Fresh Cut Flowers from Mexico; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review* 61 FR 6812 (Feb. 22, 1996) (where the Department disregarded the highest margin as adverse BIA because the margin was based on another company's uncharacteristic business expense resulting in an unusually high margin)).

In this case, we have used the highest rate from any prior segment of the proceeding, 1.51 percent, as adverse facts available. This rate is the highest available rate and, to the best of our knowledge, there are no circumstances that indicate that the selected margin is not appropriate as adverse facts available.

**Preliminary Results of Review**

As a result of this review, we preliminarily determine that the following margins exist for the period March 1, 1994, through February 28, 1995:

Manufacturer/exporter	Margin (percent)
Atlantic & Pacific .....	1.51
Boo Kook Corporation .....	1.51
Chun Kee Steel & Wire Rope Co., Ltd .....	0.01
Chung Woo Rope Co., Ltd .....	0.04
Dae Heung Industrial Co .....	(1)
Dae Kyung Metal .....	1.51
Dong-Il Metal .....	1.51
Dong-Il Steel Manufacturing Co., Ltd .....	1.51
Dong Young .....	1.51
Hanboo Wire Rope, Inc .....	1.51
Jinyang Wire Rope, Inc .....	1.51
Korea Sangsa Co .....	(1)
Korope Co. ....	1.51
Kumho Rope .....	0.01
Kwang Shin Ind .....	1.51
Kwangshin Rope .....	1.51
Manho Rope & Wire, Ltd .....	0.00
Myung Jin Co .....	(1)
Seo Hae Ind .....	1.51
Seo Jin Rope .....	1.51
Ssang Yong Steel Wire Co., Ltd .....	0.06
Sung Jin .....	0.00
Sungsan Special Steel Processing Inc .....	(1)
TSK (Korea) Co., Ltd .....	(1)
Yeosin Metal .....	20.18

<sup>1</sup>No shipments subject to this review. The firm has no individual rate from any segment of this proceeding.

<sup>2</sup>No shipments subject to this review. Rate is from the last relevant segment of the proceeding in which the firm had shipments/sales.

Parties to the proceeding may request disclosure within 5 days of the date of publication of this notice. Any interested party may request a hearing within 10 days of publication. Any hearing, if requested, will be held 44 days after the publication of this notice,

or the first workday thereafter. Interested parties may submit case briefs within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice. Parties who submit argument in this proceeding are requested to submit with each argument: (1) a statement of the issues, and (2) a brief summary of the arguments. Rebuttal briefs, which must be limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than 37 days after the date of publication. The Department will issue a notice of the final results of this administrative review, which will include the results of its analysis of issues raised in any such written comments or at the hearing, within 180 days from the issuance of these preliminary results.

The Department shall determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department will issue appraisal instructions directly to the Customs Service. The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the determination and for future deposits of estimated duties. For duty assessment purposes, we calculated an importer-specific assessment rate by aggregating the dumping margins calculated for all U.S. sales to each importer and dividing this amount by the total quantity of subject merchandise sold to each of the respective importers. This specific rate calculated for each importer will be used for the assessment of antidumping duties on the relevant entries of subject merchandise during the POR.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective upon completion of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of steel wire rope from Korea entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for the reviewed companies will be the rates established in the final results of administrative review; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in the original LTFV investigation or a previous review, the cash deposit will continue to be the most recent rate published in the final determination or final results for which the manufacturer or exporter received an individual rate; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, the previous review, or the original investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the

merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous reviews, the cash deposit rate will be 1.51 percent, the "all others" rate established in the LTFV investigation (58 FR 16398, March 26, 1993).

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated: April 26, 1996.  
 Susan G. Esserman,  
*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*  
 [FR Doc. 96-11250 Filed 5-3-96; 8:45 am]  
 BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

**[A-427-078]**

**Sugar From France: Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, and Intent To Revoke Finding in Part**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of initiation and preliminary results of changed circumstances antidumping duty administrative review, and intent to revoke finding in part.

**SUMMARY:** In response to a request made on March 12, 1996, by Boiron-Borneman, Inc. (Boiron), the Department of Commerce (the Department) is initiating a changed circumstances antidumping duty administrative review and issuing a preliminary intent to revoke in part the antidumping duty finding on sugar from France, the scope of which currently includes sugar, both raw and refined, with the exception of specialty sugars. See *Sugar From Belgium, France, and the Federal Republic of Germany; Finding of Dumping*, 44 FR 33878 (June 13, 1979), and *Memorandum For Dick Moreland From Frank R. Brennan* (June 1, 1982). Based on the fact that the Florida Sugar Marketing and Terminal Association, Inc., (the petitioner) has expressed no interest in the importation

or sale of homeopathic sugar pellets produced in France, we intend to partially revoke this finding.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 6, 1996.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Matthew Blaskovich or Zev Primor, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-5831/4114.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On December 29, 1994, Boiron requested that the Department issue a scope ruling, finding its sugar pellets outside the scope of the finding. On February 26, 1996, the petitioner informed the Department in writing that it does not object to a changed circumstances review and has no interest in the importation or sale of homeopathic sugar pellets produced in France. On March 12, 1996, Boiron requested that the Department conduct a changed circumstance review.

**The Applicable Statute**

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (The Act), are referenced to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the current regulations, as amended by the interim regulations published in the Federal Register on May 11, 1995 (60 FR 25130).

**Scope of Review**

The final antidumping finding on sugar from France covers raw and refined sugar (44 FR 8949 (February 12, 1979)). The petition, filed by the Florida Sugar Marketing & Terminal Assn., Inc., on July 3, 1978, states that "[t]he product being imported and which is the subject of this petition, is raw and refined, semi-refined or 'off-white' sugar produced from sugar beets. Raw beet sugar and raw cane sugar are very similar chemically and nutritionally, with the result that they are interchangeable in terms of meeting refiners' needs for raw sugar." See Petition of Florida Sugar Marketing & Terminal Assn., Inc., July 3, 1978, at 7. Excluded from the finding are specialty sugars. Imports of the subject merchandise are currently classifiable under various subprovisions of item number 1701.91 of the Harmonized

Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTS). HTS item numbers are provided for convenience and for Customs purposes. This written description remains dispositive.

The merchandise covered by this changed circumstances review includes homeopathic sugar pellets meeting the following criteria: (1) composed of 85 percent sucrose and 15 percent lactose; (2) have a polished, matte appearance, and more uniformly porous than domestic sugar cubes; (3) produced in two sizes of 2 and 3.8 mm in diameter.

The finding with regard to imports of other sugar products is not affected by this request. This changed circumstances administrative review covers homeopathic sugar pellets imported from France.

**Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, and Intent To Revoke Finding in Part**

Pursuant to section 751(d) of the Act, the Department may partially revoke an antidumping duty order based on a review under section 751(b) of the Act (*i.e.*, a changed circumstances review). Section 751(b)(1) of the Act requires a changed circumstances administrative review to be conducted upon receipt of a request containing information concerning changed circumstances sufficient to warrant a review.

The Department's regulations at 19 CFR 353.25(d)(2) permit the Department to conduct a changed circumstances administrative review under 19 CFR 353.22(f) based upon an affirmative statement of no interest from the petitioner in the proceeding. Section 782(h) of the Act and Section 353.25(d)(1)(i) of the Department's regulations further provide that the Department may revoke an order or revoke an order in part if it determines that the order under review is no longer of interests to interested parties. In addition, in the event that the Department concludes that expedited action is warranted, section 353.22(f)(4) of the regulations permits the Department to combine the notices of initiation and preliminary results. Therefore, in accordance with sections 751(d) and 782(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 353.25(d) and 353.22(f), based on an affirmative statement of no interest in this proceeding by petitioner, we are initiating this changed circumstances administrative review. Based on the fact that no other interested parties have objected to the position taken by petitioner that they have no interest in the order regarding homeopathic sugar pellets from France, we have determined that expedited action is

warranted, and we are combining these notices of initiation and preliminary results. We have preliminarily determined that there are changed circumstances sufficient to warrant partial revocation of the finding on sugar from France. Therefore, we are hereby notifying the public of our intent to revoke in part the antidumping duty finding as it relates to imports of homeopathic sugar pellets from France.

If final revocation in part occurs, we intend to instruct the U.S. Customs Service (Customs) to end the suspension of liquidation of homeopathic sugar pellets from France on the effective date of the final notice of partial revocation and to refund any estimated antidumping duties collected for all unliquidated entries of homeopathic sugar pellets made on or after June 1, 1994, in accordance with 19 C.F.R. 353.25(d)(5). We will also instruct Customs to refund interest for entries made on or after June 1, 1994, in accordance with section 778 of the Act. The current requirement for a cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties will continue until publication of the final results of this changed circumstances review.

**Public Comment**

Parties to the proceeding may request disclosure within 5 days of the date of publication of this notice and any interested party may request a hearing within 10 days of publication. Any hearing, if requested, will be held no later than 28 days after the date of publication of this notice, or the first workday thereafter. Case briefs and/or written comments from interested parties may be submitted not later than 14 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs and rebuttals to written comments, limited to the issues raised in those comments, may be filed not later than 21 days after the date of publication of this notice. All written comments shall be submitted in accordance with 19 CFR 353.31(e) and shall be served on all interested parties on the Department's service list in accordance with 19 CFR 353.31(g). Persons interested in attending the hearing should contact the Department for the date and time of the hearing. The Department will publish the final results of this changed circumstances review, including the results of its analysis of issues raised in any written comments.

This notice is in accordance with section 751(b)(1) of the Act and sections 353.22(f) and 353.25(d) of the Department's regulations.

Dated: April 26, 1996.  
 Susan G. Esserman,  
*Assistant Secretary for Import  
 Administration.*  
 [FR Doc. 96-11124 Filed 5-3-96; 8:45 am]  
 BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

[A-588-054 and A-588-604]

**Tapered Roller Bearings, Finished and Unfinished, and Parts Thereof From Japan and Tapered Roller Bearings, Less Than Four Inches in Outside Diameter, and Components Thereof From Japan; Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews; Time Limits**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Extension of Time Limits.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is extending the time limits of the preliminary and final results of the 1994-95 administrative reviews of the antidumping duty order (A-588-604) and finding (A-588-054) on tapered roller bearings from Japan. These reviews cover 13 manufacturers/exporters and resellers of the subject merchandise to the United States and the period October 1, 1994, through September 30, 1995.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 6, 1996.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Valerie Turoscy or Robert James, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5253.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Because it is not practicable to complete these reviews within the normal time frame, the Department is extending the time limits for completion of the preliminary results until October 30, 1996, in accordance with section 751 (a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act of 1994. We will issue our final results for these reviews by February 28, 1997.

These extensions are in accordance with section 751 (a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675 (a)(3)(A)).

Dated: April 29, 1996.  
 Joseph A. Spetrini,  
*Deputy Assistant Secretary For Compliance.*  
 [FR Doc. 96-11249 Filed 5-3-96; 8:45 am]  
 BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

**U.S.-Korea Committee on Business Cooperation**

**AGENCY:** International Trade Administration, Commerce.

**ACTION:** Extension of time period to seek membership on U.S.-Korea Committee on Business Cooperation.

**SUMMARY:** On April 4, 1996, the Department of Commerce published a notice in the Federal Register (61 FR 15041 (April 4, 1996)) seeking nominations of outstanding individuals to serve on the U.S. section of the U.S.-Korea Committee on Business Cooperation ("CBC"). The purpose of the CBC is to provide a forum through which the U.S. and Korean public and private sectors can cooperate to exchange information on commercial matters and to encourage discussions on a variety of issues that impact their bilateral commerce. This notice extends the time for requests to serve on the U.S. section.

**DEADLINE:** The earlier notice provided that requests needed to be received by the Department of Commerce not later than May 3, 1996. This notice extends the period for the receipt of requests to serve until June 3, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Please send your requests for consideration to Susan M. Blackman, Director, Office of Korea and Southeast Asia, either by fax on (202) 482-4760 or by mail at Room 3203, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230.  
**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Susan M. Blackman, Director, Office of Korea and Southeast Asia, either by fax on (202) 482-4760 or by mail at Room 3203, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230.

Authority: Act of February 14, 1903, c. 552, as amended, 15 U.S.C. 1501 *et seq.*, 32 Stat. 825; Reorganization Plan No. 3 of 1979, 19 U.S.C. 2171 Note, 93 Stat. 1381.

Dated: April 26, 1996.  
 Nancy Linn Patton,  
*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Asia Pacific.*  
 [FR Doc. 96-11159 Filed 5-3-96; 8:45 am]  
 BILLING CODE 3510-DA-P

[C-412-811]

**Certain Hot-Rolled Lead and Bismuth Carbon Steel Products From the United Kingdom; Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of preliminary results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the countervailing duty order on certain hot rolled lead and bismuth carbon steel products from the United Kingdom. We preliminarily determine the net subsidy to be 1.69 percent *ad valorem* for United Engineering Steels Limited. The net subsidies for non-reviewed companies are 20.33 percent *ad valorem* for Allied Steel and Wire Limited (ASW), and 9.76 percent *ad valorem* for all other non-reviewed companies for the period January 1, 1994 through December 31, 1994. If the final results remain the same as these preliminary results of administrative review, we will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to assess countervailing duties as indicated in the *Preliminary Results of Review* section of this notice. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 6, 1996.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Melanie Brown or Christopher Cassel, Office of Countervailing Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2786.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**  
 Background

On March 22, 1993, the Department published in the Federal Register (58 FR 15327) the countervailing duty order on certain hot-rolled lead and bismuth carbon steel products from the United Kingdom. On March 7, 1995, the Department published a notice of "Opportunity to Request an Administrative Review" (60 FR 12540) of this countervailing duty order. We received timely requests for review from United Engineering Steels Limited, Inland Steel Bar Co. and United States/Kobe Steel Co., interested parties to this administrative review. We initiated the review, covering the period January 1, 1994 through December 31, 1994, on April 14, 1995 (60 FR 19018).

In accordance with section 355.22(a) of the Department's *Interim Regulations*, this review covers only those producers or exporters for which a review was specifically requested. See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duties: Interim Regulations; Request for Comments*, 60 FR 25130 (May 11, 1995) (*Interim Regulations*). Accordingly, this review covers United Engineering Steel Limited and British Steel plc. British Steel plc. stated that it did not produce or export the subject merchandise during the period of review (POR). Therefore,