

4. NRC/Licensee Interface and Communications, REGION IV
2. Breakout Sessions:
 1. Competition, Utility Restructuring, Mergers, and NRC Licensing Activities
 2. Steam Generator Issues
 3. Fire Protection Issues
 4. Maintenance Rule Implementation
3. Luncheon Speaker: To Be Determined
4. Breakout Sessions:
 1. Reactor Vessel and Internals Issues
 2. License Renewal Update
 3. Shutdown Rule
 4. Spent Fuel Pool Issues
5. Closing Plenary Session: NRR Executive Team and Regional Administrators

Note: There will be a question and answer period after each session each day.

Next year's conference is scheduled for April 1-2, 1997, at the Capital Hilton Hotel, Washington, DC.

Dated in Rockville, Maryland this 18th day of Jan. 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
Marylee M. Slosson,

Chief, Planning, Program, and Management Support Branch, Division of Inspection and Support Programs, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 96-1150 Filed 1-24-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

[Docket Nos. 50-255, 50-266, 50-301, 50-313, 50-368, 72-5, 72-7, 72-13, and 72-1007]

Consumers Power Company, Wisconsin Electric Power Company, and Entergy Operations, Inc.; Palisades Plant; Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2; and Arkansas Nuclear One, Units 1 and 2; Receipt of Petition for Director's Decision Under 10 CFR 2.206

Notice is hereby given that by a Petition dated November 17, 1995, Fawn Shillinglaw requests that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) prohibit the loading of VSC-24 casks at any nuclear site until a particular cask at the Palisades Plant, in which indications have been detected in a weld, is unloaded and the unloading procedure evaluated.

As the basis for this request, the Petitioner notes that the NRC has determined that the unloading procedures developed by licensees have tended to be simplistic in that they fail to account for certain contingencies and assumptions and that these types of deficiencies are discovered through experience with the casks. She therefore asks that no additional casks be loaded until the defective cask at the Palisades Plant is unloaded. She also states that certain procedures concerning the casks require detailed NRC review and asks that they not be left to the licensees to perform without NRC oversight.

The Petition is being treated pursuant to Section 2.206 of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations. The Petition has been referred to the Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation (NRR). As provided by Section 2.206, appropriate action will be taken on this Petition within a reasonable time.

A copy of the Petition is available for inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room at 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document rooms for Palisades Plant: Van Wylen Library, Hope College, Holland, Michigan; Point Beach Nuclear Plant: Joseph Mann Library, 1516 16th Street, Two Rivers, Wisconsin; and Arkansas Nuclear One: Tomlinson Library, Arkansas Tech University, Russellville, Arkansas.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 18th day of January 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
William T. Russell,

Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 96-1151 Filed 1-24-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

Regulatory Guide; Issuance, Availability

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has issued a revision to a guide in its Regulatory Guide Series. This series has been developed to describe and make available to the public such information as methods acceptable to the NRC staff for implementing specific parts of the Commission's regulations, techniques used by the staff in evaluating specific problems or postulated accidents, and data needed by the staff in its review of applications for permits and licenses.

Revision 1 of Regulatory Guide 1.152, "Criteria for Digital Computers in Safety Systems of Nuclear Power Plants," describes a method acceptable to the NRC staff for complying with the Commission's regulations for promoting high functional reliability and design quality for the use of digital computers in safety systems of nuclear power plants. This guide endorses the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Standard Std 7-4.3.2-1993, "Standard Criteria for Digital Computers in Safety Systems of Nuclear Power Generating Stations."

Comments and suggestions in connection with items for inclusion in guides currently being developed or improvements in all published guides are encouraged at any time. Written comments may be submitted to the Rules Review and Directives Branch, Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services, Office of

Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555.

Regulatory guides are available for inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 2120 L Street NW., Washington, DC. Single copies of regulatory guides may be obtained free of charge by writing the Office of Administration, Attention: Distribution and Services Section, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by fax at (301)415-2260. Issued guides may also be purchased from the National Technical Information Service on a standing order basis. Details on this service may be obtained by writing NTIS, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161. Regulatory guides are not copyrighted, and Commission approval is not required to reproduce them.

(5 U.S.C. 552(a))

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 11 day of December 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

David L. Morrison,

Director, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research.

[FR Doc. 96-1152 Filed 1-24-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

POSTAL RATE COMMISSION

[Order No. 1098; Docket No. A96-9]

Issued: January 19, 1996.

Before Commissioners: Edward J. Gleiman, Chairman; W.H. "Trey" LeBlanc III, Vice-Chairman; George W. Haley; H. Edward Quick, Jr.

In the Matter of: Morrison, Iowa 50657 (Donna, Cooley, et al., Petitioners); Notice and Order Accepting Appeal and Establishing Procedural Schedule Under 39 U.S.C. 404(b)(5)

Docket Number: A96-9

Name of Affected Post Office:

Morrison, Iowa 50657

Name(s) of Petitioner(s): Donna Cooley, et al.

Type of Determination: Consolidation

Date of Filing of Appeal Papers:

January 11, 1996

Categories of Issues Apparently Raised:

1. Effect on postal services [39 U.S.C. § 404(b)(2)(C)].
2. Effect on the community [39 U.S.C. § 404(b)(2)(A)].

After the Postal Service files the administrative record and the Commission reviews it, the Commission may find that there are more legal issues than those set forth above. Or, the Commission may find that the Postal Service's determination disposes of one or more of those issues.

The Postal Reorganization Act requires that the Commission issue its decision within 120 days from the date this appeal was filed (39 U.S.C. § 404 (b)(5)). In the interest of expedition, in light of the 120-day decision schedule, the Commission may request the Postal Service to submit memoranda of law on any appropriate issue. If requested, such memoranda will be due 20 days from the issuance of the request and the Postal Service shall serve a copy of its memoranda on the petitioners. The Postal Service may incorporate by reference in its briefs or motions, any arguments presented in memoranda it previously filed in this docket. If necessary, the Commission also may ask petitioners or the Postal Service for more information.

The Commission Orders

(a) The Postal Service shall file the record in this appeal by January 26, 1996.

(b) The Secretary of the Postal Rate Commission shall publish this Notice and Order and Procedural Schedule in the Federal Register.

By the Commission.
Margaret P. Crenshaw,
Secretary.

Appendix

- January 11, 1996—Filing of Appeal letter
 - January 19, 1996—Commission Notice and Order of Filing of Appeal
 - February 5, 1996—Last day of filing of petitions to intervene [see 39 C.F.R. 3001.111(b)]
 - February 15, 1996—Petitioners' Participant Statement or Initial Brief [see 39 C.F.R. 3001.115(a) and (b)]
 - March 6, 1996—Postal Service's Answering Brief [see 39 C.F.R. 3001.115(c)]
 - March 21, 1996—Petitioners' Reply Brief should Petitioner choose to file one [see 39 C.F.R. 3001.115(d)]
 - March 28, 1996—Deadline for motions by any party requesting oral argument. The Commission will schedule oral argument only when it is a necessary addition to the written filings [see 39 C.F.R. 3001.116]
 - May 10, 1996—Expiration of the Commission's 120-day decisional schedule [see 39 U.S.C. 404(b)(5)]
- [FR Doc. 96-1108 Filed 1-24-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7710-FW-P

RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD

Proposed Data Collection Available for Public Comment and Recommendations

SUMMARY: In accordance with the requirement of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 which provides opportunity for public comment on new or revised data

collections, the Railroad Retirement Board will publish periodic summaries of proposed data collections.

COMMENTS ARE INVITED ON: (a) Whether the proposed information collection is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information has practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the RRB's estimate of the burden of the collection of the information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden related to the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

TITLE AND PURPOSE OF INFORMATION COLLECTION: Evidence for Application of Overall Minimum: OMB 3220-0083 Under Section 3 (f)(3) of the Railroad Retirement Act (RRA), the total monthly benefits payable to a railroad employee and his family are guaranteed to be no less than the amount which would be payable if the employee's railroad service had been covered by the Social Security Act. The Special Guaranty is prescribed in 20 CFR 226.6. To administer the Special Guaranty Provision, the Railroad Retirement Board (RRB) requires information about a retired employee's spouse and child(ren) who would not be eligible for benefits under the RRA but would be eligible for benefits under the Social Security Act if the employee's railroad service had been covered by that Act. The RRB obtains the required information by the use of forms G-319 (Statement Regarding Family and Earnings for Special Guaranty Computation) and G-320 (Student Questionnaire for Special Guaranty Computation). One form is completed by each respondent. Reformatting and editorial revisions are being proposed to form G-319. A title change, reformatting, editorial revisions and minor changes in the use of form G-320 are being proposed.

Estimate of Annual Respondent Burden
The estimated annual respondent burden is as follows:

Form #(s)	Annual re-sponses	Time (min)	Burden (hrs)
G-319			
Employee completed:			
With assistance	95	26	41
Without assistance	5	55	5
Spouse completed:			
With assistance	95	30	48

Form #(s)	Annual re-sponses	Time (min)	Burden (hrs)
Without assistance	5	60	5
G-320			
With assistance	86	8	12
Without assistance	14	12	3
Total	300		114

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION OR COMMENTS:
To request more information or to obtain a copy of the information collection justification, forms, and/or supporting material, please call the RRB Clearance Officer at (312) 751-3363. Comments regarding the information collection should be addressed to Ronald J. Hodapp, Railroad Retirement Board, 844 N. Rush Street, Chicago, Illinois 60611-2092. Written comments should be received within 60 days of this notice.

Chuck Mierzwa,
Clearance Officer.
[FR Doc. 96-1096 Filed 1-24-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7905-01-M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Rel. Nos. 33-7258; 34-36737]

Changes and Corrections to EDGAR Phase-In List.

AGENCY: Securities and Exchange Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is publishing a list of changes and corrections to the EDGAR phase-in list for companies whose filings are processed by the Division of Corporation Finance.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sylvia J. Reis, Assistant Director, CF EDGAR Policy, Division of Corporation Finance at (202) 942-2940.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In connection with the adoption of the final rules fully implementing the Electronic Data Gathering, Analysis, and Retrieval ("EDGAR") system, on December 19, 1994 the Commission published a list of companies whose filings are processed by the Division of Corporation Finance to place registrants on notice as to when they would become subject to mandated electronic filing.¹ The registrants were divided into

¹ See Release No. 33-7122 (December 19, 1994) [59 FR 67752]. The timing for each phase-in group was included in that release as Appendix A, and