will enhance the effectiveness and safety of the licensee's operations.

Responsibilities of Employers and Employees

As emphasized above, the responsibility for maintaining a safetyconscious environment rests with licensee management. However, employees in the nuclear industry also have responsibilities in this area. As a general principle, the Commission normally expects employees in the nuclear industry to raise safety and compliance concerns directly to licensees, or indirectly to licensees through contractors, because licensees, and not the Commission, bear the primary responsibility for safe operation of nuclear facilities and safe use of nuclear materials.8 The licensee, and not the NRC, is usually in the best position and has the detailed knowledge of the specific operations and the resources to deal promptly and effectively with concerns raised by employees. This is another reason why the Commission expects licensees to establish an environment in which employees feel free to raise concerns to the licensees themselves.

Employers have a variety of means to express their expectations that employees raise concerns to them, such as employment contracts, employers' policies and procedures, and certain NRC requirements. In fact, many employees in the nuclear industry have been specifically hired to fulfill NRC requirements that licensees identify deficiencies, violations and safety issues. Examples of these include many employees who conduct surveillance, quality assurance, radiation protection, and security activities. In addition to individuals who specifically perform functions to meet monitoring requirements, the Commission encourages all employees to raise concerns to licensees if they identify safety issues 9 so that licensees can address them before an event with safety consequences occurs.

The Commission's expectation that employees will normally raise safety concerns to their employers does not mean that employees may not come directly to the NRC. The Commission encourages employees to come to the NRC at any time they believe that the Commission should be aware of their concerns. 10 But, while not required, the Commission does expect that employees normally will have raised the issue with the licensee either prior to or contemporaneously with coming to the NRC. The Commission cautions licensees that complaints that adverse action was taken against an employee for not bringing a concern to his or her employer, when the employee brought the concern to the NRC, will be closely scrutinized by the NRC to determine if enforcement action is warranted for discrimination.

Retaliation against employees engaged in protected activities, whether they have raised concerns to their employers or to the NRC, will not be tolerated. If adverse action is found to have occurred because the employee raised a concern to either the NRC or the licensee, civil and criminal enforcement action may be taken against the licensee and the person responsible for the discrimination.

#### Summary

The Commission expects that NRC licensees will establish safety-conscious environments in which employees of licensees and licensee contractors are free, and feel free, to raise concerns to their management and to the NRC without fear of retaliation.

Licensees must ensure that employment actions against employees who have raised concerns have a well-founded, non-discriminatory basis. When allegations of discrimination arise in licensee, contractor, or subcontractor organizations, the Commission expects that senior licensee management will assure that the appropriate level of management is involved to review the particular facts, evaluate or reconsider the action, and, where warranted, remedy the matter.

Employees also have a role in contributing to a safety-conscious environment. Although employees are free to come to the NRC at any time, the Commission expects that employees will normally raise concerns with the involved licensee because the licensee has the primary responsibility for safety and is normally in the best position to

promptly and effectively address the matter. The NRC should normally be viewed as a safety valve and not as a substitute forum for raising safety concerns.

This policy statement has been issued to highlight licensees' existing obligation to maintain an environment in which employees are free to raise concerns without retaliation. The expectations and suggestions contained in this policy statement do not establish new requirements. However, if a licensee has not established a safetyconscious environment, as evidenced by retaliation against an individual for engaging in a protected activity, whether the activity involves providing information to the licensee or the NRC, appropriate enforcement action may be taken against the licensee, its contractors, and the involved individual supervisors, for violations of NRC requirements.

The Commission recognizes that the actions discussed in this policy statement will not necessarily insulate an employee from retaliation, nor will they remove all personal cost should the employee seek a personal remedy. However, these measures, if adopted by licensees, should improve the environment for raising concerns.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 8th day of May, 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. John C. Hoyle,

Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc. 96–12028 Filed 5–13–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

## **Sunshine Act Meeting**

**AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**DATE:** Weeks of May 13, 20, 27, and June 3, 1996.

**PLACE:** Commissioners' Conference Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

STATUS: Public and Closed.

### **MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:**

Week of May 13

Monday, May 13

2:00 p.m.

Briefing on Commonwealth Edison (Public Meeting)

Wednesday, May 15

2:00 p.m.

Briefing on Performance Assessment Program in HLW, LLW, and SDMP (Public Meeting) (Contact: Norman Eisenberg, 301–415–7285) 3:30 p.m.

<sup>\*</sup>The expectation that employees provide safety and compliance concerns to licensees is not applicable to concerns of possible wrongdoing by NRC employees or NRC contractors. Such concerns are subject to investigation by the NRC Office of Inspector General. Concerns related to fraud, waste or abuse in NRC operations or NRC programs including retaliation against a person for raising such issues should be reported directly to the NRC Office of the Inspector General. The Inspector General's toll-free hotline is 800–233–3497.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Except for the reporting of defects under 10 CFR Part 21 and in the area of radiological working conditions, the Commission has not codified this expectation. Licensees are required by 10 CFR 19.12 to train certain employees in their responsibility to raise issues related to radiation safety.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> The Commission intends to protect the identity of individuals who come to the NRC to the greatest extent possible. See "Statement of Policy on Protecting the Identity of Allegers and Confidential Sources."

Affirmation Session (Public Meeting) (if needed)

Week of May 20-Tentative

Wednesday, May 22

10:00 a.m.

Affirmation Session (Public Meeting) (if needed)

2:00 p.m.

Briefing by International Programs (Closed—Ex. 1)

Friday, May 24

9:30 a.m.

Meeting with Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS) (Public Meeting)

(Contact: John Larkins, 301-415-7360)

Week of May 27-Tentative

Thursday, May 30

2:00 p.m.

Briefing on Status of Dry Cask Storage Issues (Public Meeting)

(Contact: William Travers, 301-415-8500)

Friday, May 31

10:00 a.m.

Briefing on NRC Inspection Activities (Public Meeting)

(Contact: Bill Borchardt, 301–415–1257) 11:30 a.m.

Affirmation Session (Public Meeting) (if needed)

Week of June 3—Tentative

Monday, June 3

10:00 a.m.

Briefing on Part 100 Final Rule on Reactor Site Criteria (Public Meeting)

Thursday, June 6

3:30 p.m.

Affirmation Session (Public Meeting) (if needed)

\* The schedule for Commission meetings is subject to change on short notice. To verify the status of meetings call (Recording)–(301) 415–1292.

# CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Bill Hill (301) 415–1661.

This notice is distributed by mail to several hundred subscribers; if you no longer wish to receive it, or would like to be added to it, please contact the Office of the Secretary, Attn: Operations Branch, Washington, D.C. 20555 (301–415–1963).

In addition, distribution of this meeting notice over the internet system is available. If you are interested in receiving this Commission meeting schedule electronically, please send an electronic message to alb@nrc.gov or gkt@nrc.gov.

Dated: May 10, 1996.

John C. Hoyle,

Secretary of the Commission.

 $[FR\ Doc.\ 96{-}12214\ Filed\ 5{-}10{-}96;\ 12{:}28\ pm]$ 

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

#### **POSTAL SERVICE**

# Sunshine Act Meeting; Notice of Vote To Close Meeting

At its meeting on May 6, 1996, the Board of Governors of the United States Postal Service voted unanimously to close to public observation its meeting scheduled for June 3, 1996, in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. The members will consider a filing with the Postal Rate Commission for classification reform of special services.

The meeting is expected to be attended by the following persons: Governors Alvarado, Daniels, del Junco, Dyhrkopp, Fineman, Mackie, McWherter, Rider and Winters; Postmaster General Runyon, Deputy Postmaster General Coughlin, Secretary to the Board Koerber, and General Counsel Elcano.

The Board determined that pursuant to section 552b(c)(3) of title 5, United States Code, and section 7.3(c) of title 39, Code of Federal Regulations, this portion of the meeting is exempt from the open meeting requirement of the Government in the Sunshine Act [5 U.S.C. 552b(b)] because it is likely to disclose information in connection with proceedings under Chapter 36 of title 39, United States Code (having to do with postal ratemaking, mail classification and changes in postal services), which is specifically exempted from disclosure by section 410(c)(4) of title 39, United States Code.

The Board has determined further that pursuant to section 552b(c)(10) of title 5, United States Code, and section 7.3(j) of title 39, Code of Federal Regulations, the discussion is exempt because it is likely to specifically concern participation of the Postal Service in a civil action or proceeding involving a determination on the record after opportunity for a hearing.

The Board further determined that the public interest does not require that the Board's discussion of these matters be open to the public.

In accordance with section 552b(f)(1) of title 5, United States Code, and section 7.6(a) of title 39, Code of Federal Regulations, the General Counsel of the United States Postal Service has certified that in her opinion the meeting may properly be closed to public observation pursuant to section 552b(c) (3) and (10) of title 5, United States Code; section 410(c)(4) of title 39, United States Code; and section 7.3 (c) and (j) of title 39, Code of Federal Regulations.

Requests for information about the meeting should be addressed to the

Secretary of the Board, Thomas J. Koerber, at (202) 268–4800.

Thomas J. Koerber,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-12201 Filed 5-10-96; 1:39 pm] BILLING CODE 7710-12-M

#### **POSTAL RATE COMMISSION**

[Docket No. A96-14; Order No. 1109]

Forest Grove, Montana 59441 (May A. Charbonneau, Petitioner); Notice and Order Accepting Appeal and Establishing Procedural Schedule Under 39 U.S.C. 404(b)(5); Correction

**AGENCY:** Postal Rate Commission.

**ACTION:** Correction.

**SUMMARY:** In notice document 96–10340 beginning on page 18632 in the Federal Register issue of Friday, April 26, 1996, make the following correction:

On page 18632 in the second column, the expiration date of the Commission's 120-day decision schedule was previously listed as August 20, 1996. This should be changed to read August 13, 1996.

Dated: May 9, 1996. Margaret P. Crenshaw,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-12082 Filed 5-13-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-FW-M

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

# **Proposed Collection; Comment Request**

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549.

Extension: Rule 15g-2; SEC File No. 270-381; OMB Control No. 3235-0434.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is publishing the following summary of collection for public comment.

Rule 15g–2 requires broker-dealers to provide their customers with a risk disclosure document, as set forth in Schedule 15G,<sup>1</sup> prior to their first non-

Continued

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Schedule 15G explains the risks of investing in penny stocks; important concepts associated with the penny stock market; the broker-dealer's duties to customers; a toll-free telephone number through which a customer may inquire about the disciplinary history of a broker-dealer; the