TABLE 2. — REGISTRANTS REQUESTING VOLUNTARY CANCELLATION—Continued

EPA Com- pany No.	Company Name and Address
049320	Progressive Agri-Systems, Inc., 125 W. Seventh Street, Wind Gap, PA 18091.
055615	Wilbro, Inc., Corner 3rd & Lexington, Norway, SC 29113.
055638	Ecogen Inc., 2005 Cabot Blvd W., Langhorne, PA 19047.
059639	Valent U.S.A. Corp., 1333 N. California Blvd, Ste 600, Walnut Creek, CA 94596.
062719	DowElanco, 9330 Zionsville Rd., 308/3e, Indianapolis, IN 46268.
066676	Nortech Forest Products Inc., 7600 W. 27th St., Suite B11, St Louis Park, MN 55426.
069421	Black Flag Insect Control Systems, c/o PS & RC, Box 493, Pleasanton, CA 94566.

III. Loss of Active Ingredients

Unless the requests for cancellation are withdrawn, three pesticide active ingredients will no longer appear in any registered products. Those who are concerned about the potential loss of these active ingredients for pesticidal use are encouraged to work directly with the registrant to explore the possibility of their withdrawing the request for cancellation. The active ingredients are listed in the following Table 3, with the EPA Company and CAS Number.

TABLE 3. — ACTIVE INGREDIENTS WHICH WOULD DISAPPEAR AS A RESULT OF REGISTRANTS' REQUESTS TO CANCEL

CAS No.	Chemical Name	EPA Company No.
94-80-4	Butyl 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetate stems of	019713
53404-31-2	Butoxyethyl 2-(2,4-dichlorophenoxy)propionate	000264
15662-33-6	Ryanodine	049320

IV. Procedures for Withdrawal of Request

Registrants who choose to withdraw a request for cancellation must submit such withdrawal in writing to James A. Hollins, at the address given above, postmarked before August 20, 1996. This written withdrawal of the request for cancellation will apply only to the applicable 6(f)(1) request listed in this notice. If the product(s) have been subject to a previous cancellation action, the effective date of cancellation and all other provisions of any earlier cancellation action are controlling. The withdrawal request must also include a commitment to pay any reregistration fees due, and to fulfill any applicable unsatisfied data requirements.

V. Provisions for Disposition of Existing Stocks

The effective date of cancellation will be the date of the cancellation order. The orders effecting these requested cancellations will generally permit a registrant to sell or distribute existing stocks for 1 year after the date the cancellation request was received. This policy is in accordance with the Agency's statement of policy as prescribed in Federal Register No. 123, Vol. 56, dated June 26, 1991. Exceptions to this general rule will be made if a product poses a risk concern, or is in noncompliance with reregistration requirements, or is subject to a data call-

in. In all cases, product-specific disposition dates will be given in the cancellation orders.

Existing stocks are those stocks of registered pesticide products which are currently in the United States and which have been packaged, labeled, and released for shipment prior to the effective date of the cancellation action. Unless the provisions of an earlier order apply, existing stocks already in the hands of dealers or users can be distributed, sold or used legally until they are exhausted, provided that such further sale and use comply with the EPA-approved label and labeling of the affected product(s). Exceptions to these general rules will be made in specific cases when more stringent restrictions on sale, distribution, or use of the products or their ingredients have already been imposed, as in Special Review actions, or where the Agency has identified significant potential risk concerns associated with a particular chemical.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Pesticide and pests, Product registrations.

Dated: May 3, 1996.

Frank Sanders,

Director, Program Management and Support Division.

[FR Doc. 96–12603 Filed 5–21–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–F

[FRL-5509-3]

Integrated Report of the Urban Soil Lead Abatement Demonstration Project

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the availability of a final report titled, Integrated Report of the Urban Soil Lead Abatement Demonstration Project (EPA/600/P–93/001 aF), as well as the pertinent underlying data sets and documentation. This Report is an integrated assessment of scientific data from the separate Boston, Baltimore and Cincinnati studies.

ADDRESSES: To obtain a single copy of the Integrated Report, interested parties should contact the ORD Publications Office, Technology Transfer Division, National Risk Management Research Laboratory, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 26 W. Martin Luther King Drive, Cincinnati, OH 45268; telephone: 513–569–7562; facsimile: 513-569-7566. Copies of the Integrated Report will be available on or about May 30, 1996. Please provide your name and mailing address, and request the document by the title and EPA number (EPA/600/P-93/001aF). Also, a limited number of the 1993 individual reports on the separate city studies are still available. The EPA document numbers for the separate three city reports are: Boston (EPA/600/AP-93/001b) Baltimore (EPA/600/AP-93/001c) and Cincinnati (EPA/600/AP-93/001d). There will be a limited number of paper copies of the Integrated Report available from the above source. Requests will be filed on a first-come-first-served basis. After the supply is exhausted, copies of the Integrated Report and any of the individual final reports on the separate city studies can be purchased separately or as a set, from the National Technical Information Service (NTIS) by calling (703) 487-4650 or sending a facsimile to (703) 321-8547. The NTIS order numbers are: Urban Soil Lead Abatement Demonstration Project. Volume 1: Integrated Report (PB96-168356), Urban Soil Lead Abatement Demonstration Project. Volume 2: Boston Report (PB96-168364), Urban Soil Lead Abatement Demonstration Project. Volume 3: Baltimore Report (PB96-168372), and Urban Soil Lead Abatement Demonstration Project. Volume 4: Cincinnati Report (PB96– 168380). The NTIS ordered number for the four volume set is PB96-168349.

An official copy, on diskette only, of the underlying data sets used in the EPA Integrated Report and a copy of the accompanying documentation, can be obtained by contacting: Dr. Robert W. Elias, National Center for Environmental Assessment (MD–52), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711; telephone: (929) 541–4167; facsimile: (919) 541–5078. e-mail: elias.robert@epamail.epa.gov

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dr. Robert W. Elias, National Center for Environmental Assessment (MD–52), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711; telephone: (919) 541–4167; facsimile: (919) 541–5078. e-mail: elias.robert.@epamail.epa.gov: or Larry J. Zaragoza, Office of Solid Waste and

J. Zaragoza, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response (5204G), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone; (703) 603–8867, facsimile: (703) 603–9100, e-mail:

zaragoza.larry@epamail.epa.gov

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Urban Soil Lead Abatement Demonstration Project, generally known as the Three-

City Lead Study, was authorized in 1986 under Section 111(b)(6) of the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act [42 U.S.C. § 9611(a)(6)] and was initiated in December 1986 in cooperation with states, state health departments, and local scientists. The purpose was to determine whether abatement of lead in soil could reduce the lead in blood of inner city children. The three selected cities, chosen in late 1987, were Boston, Baltimore, and Cincinnati. The individual results for each of the three cities were initially presented at an EPA-sponsored symposium in August 1992 and published as final reports in August 1993.

While not part of the original project plan, EPA believed that all interested parties would benefit from an integrated assessment of data from the three coordinated studies. Thus, as an adjunct to the original project, this Integrated Report was developed. It includes further statistical analysis and integrates and standardizes, as appropriate, the results of the individual three cities studies into a single report.

The final EPA Integrated Report on the Three-City Lead Study basically confirms the findings of the individual city reports. The Integrated Report concludes that:

(1) When soil is a significant source of lead in the child's environment, the abatement of that soil will result in a reduction in exposure that will, under certain conditions, cause a reduction in childhood blood lead concentrations.

(2) Although these conditions for a reduction in blood are not fully understood, it is likely that four factors are important: (1) The past history of exposure of the child to lead, as reflected in the preabatement blood lead; (2) the magnitude of the reduction in soil lead concentrations; (3) the magnitude of the other sources of lead exposure, relative to soil; and (4) a direct exposure pathway between soil and the child.

Dated: May 16, 1996.

Joseph K. Alexander,

Deputy Assistant Administrator for Research and Development.

[FR Doc. 96–12865 Filed 5–21–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–M

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Commission announces that on May 15, 1996 it submitted the existing collection of information listed below to the Office of Management and Budget for approval. No public comments were received in response to the Commission's March 15, 1996 initial notice of the proposed collection.

DATES: Written comments on this notice must be submitted on or before June 21, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be submitted to Desk Officer for Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, 725 Seventeenth Street, N.W., Room 10235, Washington, D.C. 20503, (202) 395–7316, Facsimile (202) 395–6974.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Margaret, Ulmer Holmes, Office of Management, Room 2204, 1801 L Street N.W., Washington, D.C. 20507, (202) 663–4279 (voice) or (202) 663–7114 (TDD).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Collection Title: Recordkeeping Requirements of Uniform Guidelines on Employee Selection Procedures, 29 C.F.R. Part 1607.

Form Number: None.

Frequency of Report: None required. Type of Respondent: Business, nonfor-profit institutions, federal, state, or local governments, and farms.

Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) Code: Multiple.

Description of Affected Public: Any employer, labor, organization, or employment agency covered by the federal equal employment opportunity laws

Responses: 666,000. Reporting Hours: 1,450,000. Number of Forms: None.

Abstract: The records required to be maintained by 29 C.F.R. 1607.4 and 1607.15 are used by respondents to assure that they are complying with Title VII; by the Commission in investigating, conciliating, the litigating charges of employment discrimination; and by complainants in establishing violations of federal equal employment opportunity laws.

Burden Statement: There are no reporting requirements associated with UGESP. Thus the only paperwork burden derives from the required recordkeeping. There are a total of 666.000 employers who have 15 or more employees and that are, therefore, subject to the recordkeeping requirement. Prior to the imposition of