Hearing Procedures, and Granting and Denying Waivers and Authorizations (Order), in the above-docketed proceeding.

The Commission's May 13, 1996 Order granted the request for blanket approval under Part 34, subject to the conditions found in Ordering Paragraphs (I), (J), and (L):

(I) Within 30 days of the date of this order, any person desiring to be heard or to protest the Commission's blanket approval of issuances of securities or assumptions of liabilities by SCANA should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214.

(J) Absent a request to be heard within the period set forth in Ordering Paragraph (I) above, SCANA is hereby authorized to issue securities and to assume obligations or liabilities as guarantor, endorser, surety or otherwise in respect of any security of another person; provided that such issue or assumption is for some lawful object within the corporate purposes of the applicant, compatible with the public interest, and reasonably necessary or appropriate for such purposes.

(L) The Commission reserves the right to modify this order to require a further showing that neither public nor private interests will be adversely affected by continued Commission approval of SCANA's issuances of securities or assumptions of liabilities * * *.

Notice is hereby given that the deadline for filing motions to intervene or protests, as set forth above, is June 12, 1996.

Copies of the full text of the Order are available from the Commission's Public Reference Branch, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–13669 Filed 5–30–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. TM96-2-43-004]

Williams Natural Gas Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

May 24, 1996.

Take notice that on May 22, 1996, Williams Natural Gas Company (WNG) tendered for filing to become part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheets: Second Substitute Eighth Revised Sheet No. 6

Second Substitute Ninth Revised Sheet No. 6A

The proposed effective date of these tariff sheets is January 1, 1996.

WNG states that this filing is being made in compliance with Commission order issued May 13, 1996 in Docket No. TM96-2-43-001. WNG was directed to file revised tariff sheets within 15 days of the date of the order to comply with section 154.102(e)(5) of the Commission's regulations. Section 154.102(e)(5) requires tariff sheets which are filed to comply with a Commission order to carry the following notation in the bottom margin: "Filed to comply the following notation in the bottom margin: "Filed to comply with order of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Docket No. (number), issued (date), (FERC Reports citation)."

WNG states that a copy of its filing was served on all participants listed on the service lists maintained by the Commission in the dockets referenced above and on all jurisdictional customers and interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to protest this filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Section 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary

[FR Doc. 96–13643 Filed 5–30–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Project No. 2550-002 Wisconsin]

N.E.W. Hydro, Inc.; Notice of Availability of Draft Environmental Assessment

May 24, 1996.

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy act of 1969 and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission's) regulations, 18 CFR Part 380 (Order No. 486, 52 FR 47897), the Office of Hydropower Licensing has reviewed the application for a new license for the Weyauwega Hydroelectric Project,

located on the Waupaca River, in the City of Weyauwega, Waupaca County, Wisconsin; and has prepared a Draft Environmental Assessment (DEA) for the project. In the DEA, the Commission's staff has analyzed the potential environmental impacts of the existing project and has concluded that approval of the project with appropriate environmental protection measures would not constitute a major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment.

Copies of the DEA are available for review in the Public Reference Branch, Room 2–A, of the Commission's offices at 888 First Street N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426.

Any comments should be filed within 45 days from the date of this notice and should be addressed to Lois D. Cashell, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Room 1–A, 888 First Street N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. Please affix "Weyauwega Hydroelectric Project No. 2550" to all comments. For further information, please contact James Hunter at (202) 219–2839.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–13636 Filed 5–30–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Project No. 2105-033 California]

Pacific Gas & Electric Company; Notice of Availability of Final Environmental Assessment

May 24, 1996.

A final environmental assessment (FEA) is available for public review. The FEA is for an application to amend the Upper North Fork Feather River Hydroelectric Project. The application is to extend the project boundary to include about 8 acres of land in the vicinity of the Belden Siphon to allow for stabilization of the siphon. The FEA finds that approval of the application would not constitute a major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment. The Upper North Fork Feather River Hydroelectric Project is located on the North Fork Feather River in Plumas County, California.

The FEA was written by staff in the Office of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Copies of the FEA can be viewed in the Public Reference Branch, Room 2A, of the Commission's offices at 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

For further information, please contact the project manager, Ms. Rebecca Martin, at (202) 219–2650. Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–13670 Filed 5–30–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Project No. 10856 Michigan]

Upper Peninsula Power Company; Notice of Availability of Draft Environmental Assessment

May 24, 1996.

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission's) regulations, 18 CFR Part 380 (Order No. 486, 52 F.R. 47897), the Office of Hydropower Licensing has reviewed the application for an original license for the Au Train Hydroelectric Project, located near the towns of Au Train and Munising, Michigan in Alger County, and has prepared a Draft Environmental Assessment (DEA) for the project. In the DEA, the Commission's staff has analyzed the potential environmental impacts of the un-licensed, existing project and has concluded that approval of the project, with appropriate environmental protection or enhancement measures, would not constitute a major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment.

Copies of the DEA are available for review in the Public Reference Branch, Room 2A, of the Commission's offices at 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426

Any comments should be filed within 45 days from the date of this notice and should be addressed to Lois D. Cashell, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. Please affix "Au Train Hydroelectric Project No. 10856" to all comments. For further information, please contact John Blair at (202) 219–2845.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–13637 Filed 5–30–96; 8:45 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-5470-1]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared May 13, 1996 Through May 17, 1996 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564–7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EIS) was published in F.R. dated April 05, 1996 (61 F.R. 15251).

Draft EIS

ERP No. D-COE-E32076-NC Rating EC2, Cape Fear-Northeast Cape Fear Rivers Feasibility Study for Deepening of the Wilmington Harbor Ship Channel, Navigation Improvement, New Hanover and Brunswick Counties, NC.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about the potential adverse impacts associated with use of explosives to excavate the enlarged channel and awaits the results of on-going studies to determine the significance of this dredging technique.

ERP No. D-COE-K36116-CA Rating EC2, San Pedro Creek Section 205 Flood Control Project, Construction, Flood Protection, COME Section 10 and 404 Permits and Permits Approval, San Mateo County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns over potential impacts to riverain habitat, impacts to air quality, and potential cumulative impacts of the project, including possible increased runoff and siltation.

ERP No. D-FRC-L05215-OR Rating EO2, Leaburg-Walterville Hydroelectric (FERC. No. 2496) Project, Issuance of New License (Relicense), Funding and Land Trust Acquisition, McKenzie River, Lane County, OR.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections over continued impacts on fish and other aquatic life in the McKenzie River due to project operation. In addition, EPA commented that the draft EIS did not provide a comprehensive analysis of cumulative impacts, nor was the noaction alternative appropriately characterize.

ERP No. D-USN-K11067-AZ Rating EC2, Yuma Training Range Complex Management, Operation and Development, Marine Corps Air Station Yuma, Goldwater Range, Yuma and La Paz Cos; and Chocolate Mountain Range, Imperial and Riverside Counties, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding alternatives analysis, cumulative impacts issues, and biological impacts.

ÉRP No. D-USN-K11069-CA Rating EC2, Port Hueneme Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory (NCEL) Disposal and Reuse, Implementation, Ventura County, CA.

Summary: EPA requested further information on air quality and wetlands NEPA issues.

ERP No. DB-COE-E30032-FL Rating EC2, Palm Beach County Beach Erosion Project, Updated Information concerning Shore Protection for the Ocean Ridge Segment from the Martin County line to Lake Worth Inlet and from the South Lake Worth Inlet to the Broward County Line, Palm Beach, Martin and Broward Counties, FL.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding the long-term consequences of this action and other beach nourishment projects planned for the county's shoreline. The additional information derived from the mitigation and subsequent monitoring plan will be necessary to determine how this project fits into the larger issue of the environmental consequences of proposed shoreline protection.

ERP No. DS-COE-E32192-NC Rating EC2, Wilmington Harbor Channel Widening and Navigation Improvement, Updated Information, Cape Fear River, Port of Wilmington, New Hanover and Brunswick Counties, NC.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about the potential adverse impacts associated with use of explosives to excavate the enlarged channel and seeks additional data on the long-term consequences of these excavation techniques.

ERP No. DS-COE-E36169-FL Rating LO, Central and Southern Florida Flood Control Project, Restoration of the Upper Kissimmee River Basin through the Headwater Revitalization Project and the Lower Kissimmee River Basin through the Level II Backfilling Plan, Implementation, Updated Information, Glades, Osceda Highlands, Polk, Okeechobee and Orange Counties, FL.

Summary: EPA had no objections to this proposal.

Final EIS

ERP No. F-COE-C36071-PR Rio Fajardo Flood Control Feasibility Study for Flood Protection, Implementation, PR.

Summary: EPA had no objection to the proposed action.