and refinements have been made to these inspection procedures and tools based on past in-service experience and reports from operators of the PW JT8D series engines.

One commenter concurs with the proposed AD as written.

Since these changes expand the scope of the originally proposed rule, the FAA has determined that it is necessary to reopen the comment period to provide additional opportunity for public comment.

The FAA estimates that 6,815 engines installed on aircraft of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD and that it would take approximately 4.5 work hours per engine to accomplish the proposed actions. Since publication of the NPRM, the FAA has revised its average labor rate estimate from $55 per work hour to $60 per work hour to better reflect current costs. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be $1,840,050.

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a ''significant regulatory action'' under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a ''significant rule'' under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption **ADDRESSES.**

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 USC 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by removing amendment 39–6360 (54 FR 46045, November 1, 1989) and amendment 39–9204 (60 FR 20019, April 24, 1995) and by adding a new airworthiness directive to read as follows:

Pratt & Whitney: Docket No. 93–ANE–79. Supersedes AD 87–11–07 R1, Amendment 39–6360, AD 87–11–07, Amendment 39–5619, and AD 95–08–15, Amendment 39–9204.

Applicability: Pratt & Whitney (PW) Models JT8D–1, –1A, –1B, –7, –7A, –9, –9A, –11, –15, –15A, –17, –17A, –17R, and –17AR turbofan engines, with combustion chamber outer case (CCOC) part numbers (P/Ns) 490547, 542155, 616315, 728829, 728829–001, 730413, 730413–001, 730414, 730414–001, 767197, 767279, 767279–001 installed. These engines are installed on but not limited to Boeing 737 and 727 series, and McDonnell Douglas DC–9 series aircraft.

Note: This airworthiness directive (AD) applies to each engine identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For engines that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must use the authority provided in paragraph (c) to request approval from the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA). This approval may address either no action, if the current configuration eliminates the unsafe condition, or different actions necessary to address the unsafe condition described in this AD. Such a request should include an assessment of the effect of the changed configuration on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD. In no case does the presence of any modification, alteration, or repair remove any engine from the applicability of this AD.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent CCOC flange cracks that could result in uncontained engine failure, inflight engine shutdown, engine cowl release, and airframe damage, accomplish the following:

(a) Inspect, disposition, and report CCOC distress, in accordance with the intervals and procedures described in Paragraphs 2.A and 2.C of PW Alert Service Bulletin (ASB) No. A6202, Revision 1, dated January 4, 1996. Reporting requirements have been approved by the Office of Management and Budget and assigned OMB control number 2120–0056.

(1) For the purposes of this AD, the accomplishment effective date to be used for determination of inspection intervals, as required by Section 2.A of PW ASB A6202, Revision 1, dated January 4, 1996, is defined as the effective date of this AD.

(b) Inspect, disposition, and report CCOC distress in accordance with the intervals and procedures described in Paragraphs 2.A. (Part I), 2.B. (Part II), and 2.D of PW ASB No. A6228, dated November 7, 1995. Reporting requirements have been approved by the Office of Management and Budget and assigned OMB control number 2120–0056.

(c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Engine Certification Office. The request should be forwarded through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Engine Certification Office.

Note: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this airworthiness directive, if any, may be obtained from the Engine Certification Office.

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the aircraft to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on May 22, 1996.

Robert E. Guyotte,

Acting Manager, Engine and Propeller Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 96–13889 Filed 6–3–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

21 CFR Parts 1, 2, 3, 5, 10, 12, 20, 56, and 58

[Docket No. 96N–0163]

RIN 0910–AA69

Reinvention of Administrative Procedures Regulations

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Advance notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is considering ways to further streamline its administrative procedures regulations as a result of a page-by-page review of the agency's regulations. This regulatory review is part of the administration's ''Reinventing Government'' initiative that seeks to streamline Government and to ease the burden on regulated industry and consumers. FDA is seeking public comment on ways to streamline its administrative procedures regulations.

DATES: Written comments by September 3, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Submit written comments to the Dockets Management Branch (HFA–305), Food and Drug Administration, 12420 Parklawn Dr., rm. 1–23, Rockville, MD 20857.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Regarding information concerning the regulations: Philip L. Chao, Policy Development and Coordination Staff (HF–23), Food and Drug Administration, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857, 301–827–3380.

Regarding general information on FDA's ''reinventing initiative'': Lisa M. Helmanis, Regulations Policy Management Staff (HF–26), Food and Drug Administration, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857, 301–443–3480.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On March 4, 1995, President Clinton announced plans for reforming the Federal regulatory system as part of his ''Reinventing Government'' initiative. In his March 4, 1995, directive, the President ordered all Federal agencies to conduct a page-by-page review of their regulations and to ''eliminate or revise those that are outdated or otherwise in need of reform.'' This notice represents FDA's continuing effort to implement the President's plan. In previous issues of the Federal Register, FDA proposed revoking or revising other regulations; the agency expects to issue additional reinvention proposals in the future.

In this notice, FDA is seeking comments on ways listed in the table below in which its administrative regulations could be updated or revised in order to streamline the agency's administrative practices and procedures.

The following table contains a section-by-section analysis of the regulations that FDA is considering ''reinventing.'' These regulations are listed numerically as they appear in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR).

Section-by-Section Analysis of Regulations Under Consideration

21 CFR Cite	Description or Title of Regulation	Explanation of Reinvention
§ 1.3	Defines label and labeling	Should the definitions be amended? There are only two definitions (label and labeling) involved, but they could be updated to be more consistent with current statutory language.
§ 1.21	Describes what constitutes a failure to reveal a material fact.	This section provides general information on failures to reveal material facts. Should this section be revised, expanded, or removed?
§ 1.23	Describes procedures for requesting a variance or exemption from required label statements.	This provision could be rewritten to remove extraneous material and to provide better instructions on procedures for a variance or exemption.
§ 1.24	Lists granted label exemptions for foods, animal drugs, and cosmetics.	Because much of the text is devoted to foods, the provision could be relocated to that part of the CFR devoted to foods. Similar moves could be made for the paragraphs on animal drugs and cosmetics. Would it be more useful to move these provisions to the corresponding subject areas?
§ 1.90	Notice of sampling	This section explains the procedures for notification of sampling of imports. Should this section be consolidated with § 1.91?
§ 1.91	Payment for samples	This section provides that FDA will pay for import samples of nonviolative goods.
§ 2.125	Establishes procedures to permit the use of chlorofluorocarbons (CFC's) in self-pressurized containers.	Should this provision be modified to reflect current requirements under the Clean Air Act and to correspond with the Environmental Protection Agency regulations on CFC use and warning labels?
§ 3.6	States who the product jurisdiction officer is	This section should be amended to reflect the current information.
Part 5	Delegations of authority	Some of the delegations of authority refer to offices or titles that no longer exist or have changed due to reorganizations. This part should be revised to reflect the most current information. Does it remain useful to codify these delegations of authority?
Part 10 subparts A and B.	Administrative practices and procedures	These regulations govern the practices and procedures for petitions, hearings, and other administrative proceedings and activities conducted by FDA. Some sections should be revised to provide more flexibility or efficiency. For example, could FDA's citizen petitions process be made more efficient?
Part 12	Formal evidentiary public hearing	Should FDA's regulations governing formal hearings be simplified or clarified?
Part 20	Public information	This part governs FDA's communication with the public. Does this part continue to reflect the best way for FDA to handle public information? Are there better, more efficient approaches that should be embodied in FDA's regulations?
§ 56.104	Describes exemptions from institutional review boards requirements.	The first two exempt classes are probably inapplicable today because they refer to clinical research begun before July 27, 1981. Should this section be amended by removing paragraphs (a) and (b)?
Part 58	Good laboratory practice regulations	This part describes fundamental principles for laboratories to observe and are intended to ensure the quality and integrity of safety data. Updating to reflect current technology (such as greater use of computers) may be needed.

Interested persons may, on or before, September 3, 1996, submit to the Dockets Management Branch (address above) written comments regarding this advance notice of proposed rulemaking (ANPRM). Two copies of any comments are to be submitted, except that individuals may submit one copy. Comments are to be identified with the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document. Received comments may be seen in the office above between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

This ANPRM is issued under section 301 *et seq.* of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 301 *et seq.*) and under the authority of the Commissioner of Food and Drugs.

Dated: May 28, 1996.

William B. Schultz,

Deputy Commissioner for Policy.

[FR Doc. 96–13980 Filed 6–3–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160–01–F

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

26 CFR Part 1

[INTL–0054–95]

RIN 1545–AT96

Proposed Amendments to the Regulations on the Determination of Interest Expense Deduction of Foreign Corporations and Branch Profits Tax; Correction

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

ACTION: Correction to notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: This document contains a correction to the notice of proposed rulemaking [INTL–0054–95] which was published in the Federal Register for Friday, March 8, 1996 (61 FR 9377). The notice of proposed rulemaking relate to the determination of the interest expense deduction of foreign corporations, and the branch profits tax.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ahmad Pirasteh or Richard Hoge (202) 622–3870 (not a toll-free number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The notice of proposed rulemaking that is subject to these corrections are under sections 882 and 884 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Need for Correction

As published, the proposed rulemaking contains errors that are in need of clarification.

Correction of Publication

Accordingly, the publication of the proposed rulemaking which is the subject of FR Doc. 96–5264 is corrected as follows:

1. On page 9378, in the preamble under column 2, following the paragraph heading ''*B. Hedging transactions*'', line 6, the language ''case may be, the amount of their U.S.'' is corrected to read ''case may be, the amount of its U.S.''.

§ 1.882–5 [Corrected]

2. On page 9379, column 3, § 1.882–5(d)(6), *Example 4.* (i), line 18, the language ''liabilities of 90x U.S. dollars and 1000 x'' is corrected to read ''liabilities of 90x U.S. dollars and 1000x''.

§ 1.884–1 [Corrected]

3. On page 9380, column 3, § 1.884–1(d)(2)(xi), *Example 8.*, last line, the language ''from securities) of the value of the securities.'' is corrected to read ''from securities) of the amount of the securities.''.

Cynthia E. Grigsby,

Chief, Regulations Unit, Assistant Chief Counsel (Corporate).

[FR Doc. 96–13722 Filed 6–3–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830–01–U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 180

[PP 5E04443/P659; FRL–5371–5]

RIN 2070–AB18

1,1-Difluoroethane; Proposed Exemption from Tolerance

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This document proposes that residues of 1,1-difluoroethane (CAS Reg. No. 75–37–6) be exempted from the requirement of a tolerance when used as an inert ingredient (aerosol propellant) in aerosol pesticide formulations used for insect control in food- and feed-handling establishments and animals. This proposed regulation was requested by The Dupont Company, pursuant to the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA).

DATES: Comments, identified by the docket control number [PP 5E04443/P659], must be received on or before July 5, 1996.

ADDRESSES: By mail, submit written comments to: Public Response and Program Resources Branch, Field Operations Division (7506C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. In person deliver comments to: Rm. 1132, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA.

Information submitted as a comment concerning this document may be claimed confidential by marking any part or all of that information as ''Confidential Business Information'' (CBI). Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2. A copy of the comment that does not contain CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public record. Information not marked confidential will be included in the public docket by EPA without prior notice. The public docket is available for public inspection in Rm. 1132 at the address given above, from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays.

Comments and data may also be submitted electronically by sending electronic mail (e-mail) to: opp-docket@epamail.epa.gov. Electronic comments must be submitted as an ASCII file avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption. Comments and data will also be accepted on disks in WordPerfect in 5.1 file format or ASCII file format. All comments and data in electronic form must be identified by the docket number, [PP 5E04443/P659]. No CBI should be submitted through e-mail. Electronic comments on this proposed rule may be filed online at many Federal Depository Libraries. Additional information on electronic submissions can be found below in this document.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: By mail: Amelia M. Acierto, Registration Support Branch, Registration Division (7505W), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. Office location, telephone number, and e-mail address: 2800 Crystal Drive, North Tower, Arlington, VA, (703) 308–8375, e-mail: acierto.amelia@epamail.epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Dupont Company, 1007 Market Street, Wilmington, DE 19898 has submitted pesticide petition (PP) 5E04443 to EPA requesting that the Administrator, pursuant to section 408(e) of the FFDCA, 21 U.S.C. 346a(e), propose to amend 40 CFR 180.1001(c) and (e) by establishing an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance for the residues of 1,1-difluoroethane (CAS Reg. No. 75–37–6) when used as an inert ingredient (aerosol propellant) in aerosol pesticide formulations used for insect control in food- and feed-handling establishments and animals.

Inert ingredients are all ingredients that are not active ingredients as defined in 40 CFR 153.125 and include, but are not limited to, the following types of ingredients (except when they have a pesticidal efficacy of their own): solvents such as alcohols and hydrocarbons; surfactants such as