

Status: Unutilized  
Reason: Extensive deterioration.  
Bldg. T-2400, Fort Lee  
Ft. Lee Co: Prince George VA 23801–  
Landholding Agency: Army  
Property Number: 219620506  
Status: Unutilized  
Reason: Extensive deterioration.  
Bldg. T-11036, Fort Lee  
Ft. Lee Co: Prince George VA 23801–  
Landholding Agency: Army  
Property Number: 219620507  
Status: Unutilized  
Reason: Extensive deterioration.  
Bldg. T-12051, Fort Lee  
Ft. Lee Co: Prince George VA 23801–  
Landholding Agency: Army  
Property Number: 219620508  
Status: Unutilized  
Reason: Extensive deterioration.  
Washington  
Bldg. 6053  
Fort Lewis  
Ft. Lewis Co: Pierce WA 98433–  
Landholding Agency: Army  
Property Number: 219620509  
Status: Unutilized  
Reason: Extensive deterioration.  
Bldg. 3066  
Fort Lewis  
Ft. Lewis Co: Pierce WA 98433–  
Landholding Agency: Army  
Property Number: 219620510  
Status: Unutilized  
Reason: Extensive deterioration.  
Bldg. 1303  
Fort Lewis  
Ft. Lewis Co: Pierce WA 98433–  
Landholding Agency: Army  
Property Number: 219620511  
Status: Unutilized  
Reason: Extensive deterioration.  
Bldg. 2414  
Fort Lewis  
Ft. Lewis Co: Pierce WA 98433–  
Landholding Agency: Army  
Property Number: 219620512  
Status: Unutilized  
Reason: Extensive deterioration.  
Bldg. 6497  
Fort Lewis  
Ft. Lewis Co: Pierce WA 98433–  
Landholding Agency: Army  
Property Number: 219620513  
Status: Unutilized  
Reason: Extensive deterioration.  
Bldg. 2416  
Fort Lewis  
Ft. Lewis Co: Pierce WA 98433–  
Landholding Agency: Army  
Property Number: 219620514  
Status: Unutilized  
Reason: Extensive deterioration.  
Bldg. 6991  
Fort Lewis  
Ft. Lewis Co: Pierce WA 98433–  
Landholding Agency: Army  
Property Number: 219620515  
Status: Unutilized  
Reason: Extensive deterioration.  
Bldg. 9639  
Fort Lewis  
Ft. Lewis Co: Pierce WA 98433–

Landholding Agency: Army  
Property Number: 219620516  
Status: Unutilized  
Reason: Extensive deterioration.  
Bldg. A01011  
Fort Lewis  
Ft. Lewis Co: Pierce WA 98433–  
Landholding Agency: Army  
Property Number: 219620517  
Status: Unutilized  
Reason: Extensive deterioration.

#### *Land (by State)*

##### Minnesota

Portion of R.R. Spur  
Twin Cities Army Ammunition Plant  
New Brighton Co: Ramsey MN 55112–  
Landholding Agency: Army  
Property Number: 219620472  
Status: Unutilized  
Reason: Other  
Comment: Landlocked.

[FR Doc. 96-14958 Filed 6-13-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-29-M

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Fish and Wildlife Service

#### Notice of Receipt of Applications for Permit

The following applicants have applied for a permit to conduct certain activities with endangered species. This notice is provided pursuant to Section 10(c) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, *as amended* (16 U.S.C. 1531, *et seq.*):

PRT-815764

Applicant: Roger Black, Alexandria, LA.

The applicant requests a permit to import the sport-hunted trophy of one male bontebok (*Damaliscus pygargus dorcas*) culled from a captive herd maintained under the management program of the Republic of South Africa, for the purpose of enhancement of the survival of the species.

PRT-815762

Applicant: Charles Black, Shreveport, LA.

The applicant requests a permit to import the sport-hunted trophy of one male bontebok (*Damaliscus pygargus dorcas*) culled from a captive herd maintained under the management program of the Republic of South Africa, for the purpose of enhancement of the survival of the species.

PRT-815626

Applicant: Southwest Foundation for Biomedical Research, San Antonio, TX.

The applicant requests a permit to import plasma and red cell samples from captive-held and captive-bred maned wolves (*Chrysocyon brachyrys*) living in Brazilian zoos to enhance the

survival of the species through scientific research.

PRT-815897

Applicant: Charles Kunz, Rye, NY.

The applicant requests a permit to import the sport-hunted trophy of one male bontebok (*Damaliscus pygargus dorcas*) culled from a captive herd maintained under the management program of the Republic of South Africa, for the purpose of enhancement of the survival of the species.

Written data or comments should be submitted to the Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Office of Management Authority, 4401 North Fairfax Drive, Room 430, Arlington, Virginia 22203 and must be received by the Director within 30 days of the date of this publication.

Documents and other information submitted with these applications are available for review, *subject to the requirements of the Privacy Act and Freedom of Information Act*, by any party who submits a written request for a copy of such documents to the following office within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Office of Management Authority, 4401 North Fairfax Drive, Room 430, Arlington, Virginia 22203. Phone: (703/358-2104); FAX: (703/358-2281).

Dated: June 10, 1996.

Mary Ellen Amtower,  
*Acting Chief, Branch of Permits, Office of Management Authority.*

[FR Doc. 96-15100 Filed 6-13-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-P

#### Endangered and Threatened Species Permit Application

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of receipt of application.

The following applicant has applied for a permit to conduct certain activities with endangered species. This notice is provided pursuant to section 10(c) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, *as amended* (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*).

PRT-815942

*Applicant:* National Biological Service, Iowa Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Unit, Ames, Iowa (Clay L. Pierce, Principal Investigator).

The applicant requests a permit to take (capture and release) Pallid sturgeon (*Scaphirhynchus albus*) within the Missouri River in Iowa, Nebraska, and Missouri. Activities are proposed in conjunction with benthic fish population and habitat use studies along

the River. Data obtained will assist Federal agencies and others in planning activities on the River in compliance with the Endangered Species Act.

Written data or comments should be submitted to the Regional Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Division of Ecological Services Operations, 1 Federal Drive, Fort Snelling, Minnesota 55111-4056, and must be received within 30 days of the date of this publication.

Documents and other information submitted with this application are available for review by any party who submits a written request for a copy of such documents to the following office within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Division of Ecological Services Operations, 1 Federal Drive, Fort Snelling, Minnesota 55111-4056. Telephone: (612/725-3536, x250); FAX: (612/725-3526).

Dated: June 10, 1996.

John A. Blankenship,

*Assistant Regional Director, Ecological Services, Region 3, Fish and Wildlife Service, Fort Snelling, Minnesota.*

[FR Doc. 96-15127 Filed 6-13-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-M

**Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species (CITES)  
Notification; Review of the  
Effectiveness of the Convention**

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) announces a public meeting to discuss an international study of the effectiveness of the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES), and announces the availability for public comment of a questionnaire as part of this study. This study is the result of a decision of the Ninth Meeting of the Conference of the Parties to CITES in Fort Lauderdale, Florida in November 1994. This decision gave the CITES Standing Committee the responsibility to conduct a review of the effectiveness of the provisions and implementation of the Convention, and to report its findings to the next meeting of the Conference of the Parties. An international contractor in the United Kingdom has been engaged for this study by the CITES Standing Committee, and has produced a questionnaire for governments to respond to. The Service, in preparing the U.S. government response, seeks the comments of interested non-

governmental organizations. The questionnaire itself is extensive and would therefore be very expensive and time consuming to reproduce here. The Service prefers to make this questionnaire available by electronic means if possible. However, should some member of the public not have access to the transfer of this questionnaire by electronic means, alternate arrangements such as faxing or mailing of copies will be made. Public input from written comments received by the Service will be considered in formulating the United States response to this questionnaire.

**DATES:** The Service will consider comments and information received by July 15, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** The public meeting will be held at 10:00 a.m. on July 19, 1996, in the Auditorium of Marymount University, 2807 N. Glebe Road, Arlington, Virginia. Comments on the questionnaire should be sent to Dr. Susan Lieberman, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Office of Management Authority, 4401 N. Fairfax Drive, Room 430, Arlington, VA 22203. Requests for copies of the questionnaire should either be sent electronically to "R9IA-OMA.MOB@mail.fws.gov", via regular mail, or via fax to (703) 358-2280. Electronic requests for copies of the questionnaire should have as their subject line "SEND QUESTIONNAIRE".

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dr. Susan S. Lieberman, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Office of Management Authority, telephone (703)358-2095, or E-mail to Susan\_Lieberman@mail.fws.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** At the Ninth Meeting of the Conference of the Parties to CITES in Fort Lauderdale, Florida, November 1994 (COP9), the Conference of the Parties decided to assign the CITES Standing Committee the task of conducting a review of the effectiveness of the provisions and implementation of the Convention, and to report its findings to the next meeting of the Conference of the Parties. This decision is found in COP9 document number Com. 9.10. The CITES Standing Committee plans to conduct this review in several phases, the first of which is incorporated in the questionnaire made available by this Notice.

The CITES Standing Committee was directed to appoint a team to undertake the review including an independent consultant and two individuals chosen by the CITES Standing Committee for the information gathering portion of the project. These two members would ensure efficient access to information about the Convention and complement

the expertise made available by the independent consultant. On December 21, 1994, the CITES Secretariat published Notification to the Parties No. 831, which contained a call for proposals from prospective consultants to conduct the study on the effectiveness and implementation of the Convention. The firm of Environmental Resources Management (ERM), based in London, United Kingdom, was ultimately selected for the task. That selection was made by a Monitoring Committee of CITES Parties, including several representatives to the CITES Standing Committee. The Monitoring Committee, which was selected by the Standing Committee, is made up of representatives of the following governments: Argentina, Canada, Japan, Namibia, New Zealand, and United Kingdom. The study itself and the report that is produced will be monitored and reviewed by the same Monitoring Committee and will be presented to the December 1996 meeting of the CITES Standing Committee. The CITES Standing Committee selected Jaques Berney (retired Deputy Secretary General of CITES) and Marshall Jones (Assistant Director for International Affairs, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service) or Dr. Susan Lieberman (Chief, CITES Operations Branch, Office of Management Authority, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service), as the technical advisors on the project.

The initial phase of this review is designed to collate information including but not necessarily limited to the following: The stated and implied objectives of the Convention and their continued relevance to the conservation of wild fauna and flora; the degree of effectiveness of conservation for representative species listed in the three Appendices of CITES and the extent of this degree of conservation that can be attributed to the implementation of the Convention; the relationship of the Convention to other global or regional conservation treaties or agreements and how the objectives of the Convention may be enhanced or hindered by the existence and implementation of these treaties or agreements; the ease and effectiveness of implementation, including enforcement, of the Convention in Party states; and the anticipated and actual roles of various participants in the implementation of the Convention, including Party states, non-Party states, national and international conservation organizations, and national and international trade and development organizations.

ERM, the contractor on the study, has transmitted a Questionnaire to all CITES