

TABLE 2.—FEDERAL FACILITIES SECTION, JUNE 1996—Continued

State	Site name	City/county	Notes <sup>(a)</sup>
UT .....	Ogden Defense Depot (DLA) .....	Ogden .....	C
UT .....	Tooele Army Depot (North Area) .....	Tooele .....	
VA .....	Defense General Supply Center (DLA) .....	Chesterfield County .....	
VA .....	Fort Eustis (US Army) .....	Newport News .....	
VA .....	Langley Air Force Base/NASA Langley Cntr .....	Hampton .....	
VA .....	Marine Corps Combat Development Command .....	Quantico .....	
VA .....	Naval Surface Warfare—Dahlgren .....	Dahlgren .....	
VA .....	Naval Weapons Station—Yorktown .....	Yorktown .....	
WA .....	American Lake Gardens/McChord AFB .....	Tacoma .....	C
WA .....	Bangor Naval Submarine Base .....	Silverdale .....	
WA .....	Bangor Ordinance Disposal (US NAVY) .....	Bremerton .....	
WA .....	Bonneville Power Admin Ross (USDOE) .....	Vancouver .....	C
WA .....	Fairchild Air Force Base (4 Waste Areas) .....	Spokane County .....	
WA .....	Fort Lewis Logistics Center .....	Tillicum .....	
WA .....	Hanford 100-Area (USDOE) .....	Benton County .....	
WA .....	Hanford 1100-Area (USDOE) .....	Benton County .....	
WA .....	Hanford 200-Area (USDOE) .....	Benton County .....	
WA .....	Hanford 300-Area (USDOE) .....	Benton County .....	
WA .....	Jackson Park Housing Complex (USNAVY) .....	Kitsap County .....	
WA .....	McChord Air Force Base (Wash Rack/Treat) .....	Tacoma .....	C
WA .....	Naval Air Station, Whidbey Island (Ault) .....	Whidbey Island .....	
WA .....	Naval Undersea Warfare Station (4 Areas) .....	Keyport .....	
WA .....	Old Navy Dump/Manchester Lab (USEPA/NOAA) .....	Manchester .....	
WA .....	Port Hadlock Detachment (USNAVY) .....	Indian Island .....	
WA .....	Puget Sound Naval Shipyard Complex .....	Bremerton .....	
WV .....	Allegany Ballistics Laboratory (USNAVY) .....	Mineral .....	
WV .....	West Virginia Ordinance (USARMY) .....	Point Pleasant .....	S
WY .....	F.E. Warren Air Force Base .....	Cheyenne .....	

(a) A = Based on issuance of health advisory by Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (if scored, HRS score need not be > 28.50).

C = Sites on construction completion list.

S = State top priority (included among the 100 top priority sites regardless of score).

[FR Doc. 96-15032 Filed 6-14-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### 47 CFR Chapter I

[FCC 96-240]

#### Subsidiary Accounting Requirements Concerning Video Dialtone Costs and Revenues for Local Exchange Carriers Offering Video Dialtone Services

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This Memorandum Opinion and Order requires LECs to change the classification of asynchronous transfer mode (ATM) switches from circuit equipment to switching equipment for the purposes of assigning investment to accounts in the Uniform System of Accounts. This Memorandum Opinion and Order disposes of six Applications for Review filed by or on behalf of local exchange carriers. The Memorandum Opinion and Order is intended to clarify the proper accounting treatment for the local exchange carriers' investment in ATM equipment.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** July 17, 1996.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Thomas David, Tariff Division, Common Carrier Bureau, (202) 418-0850.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a summary of the Commission's Memorandum Opinion and Order adopted May 29, 1996, and released May 30, 1996. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Public Reference Room (Room 230), 1919 M St., N.W., Washington, D.C. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Service, Suite 140, 2100 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20037.

#### Summary of Report and Order

BellSouth, Southwestern Bell and NARUC challenge the Bureau's previous determination in Responsible Accounting Officer Letter 25 that ATM equipment should be classified as circuit equipment. The Commission agrees that certain types of ATM switching equipment route video signals along transmission paths and thus should be classified as switching equipment. The Commission further found that other ATM equipment used

for video applications should be classified based on its function or use as either switching or circuit equipment.

#### Ordering Clauses

1. Accordingly, pursuant to Sections 4(i), 4(j), and 220 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 154(i), 154(j), and 220 and Section 1.115 of our rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.115, it is ordered that the Applications for Review filed by Bell Atlantic Telephone Companies, BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., GTE Service Corporation, National Telephone Cooperative Association, Southwestern Bell Telephone Company, and US West Communications, Inc. on or before May 3, 1995 are granted to the extent indicated in this Order and to the extent not granted, are dismissed.

It is further ordered that, pursuant to Sections 4(i), 4(j), and 220 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 154(i), 154(j), 220, and Sections 1.3 and 32.18 of our rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 1.3 and 32.18, the Petition for Waiver filed by US West Communications Inc. on September 5, 1995 is dismissed.

Federal Communications Commission.  
William F. Caton,  
*Acting Secretary.*  
[FR Doc. 96-15267 Filed 6-14-96; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

#### 47 CFR Part 15

[ET Docket No. 95-144; FCC 96-219]

#### UHF Noise Figure Performance Measurements

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** By this action, the Commission modified its rules to eliminate the requirement that parties who manufacture, import or market television receivers file reports concerning the UHF noise figure performance of recently-introduced models. We found that the requirement for the filing of UHF television noise figure performance measurements had become obsolete and burdensome. By eliminating this requirement we anticipate that the administrative burden on industry as well as on the Commission will be greatly reduced without any deterioration of the television receiver compliance rate.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 16, 1996.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Raymond A. LaForge at (202) 418-2417, Office of Engineering and Technology.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a summary of the Commission's *Report and Order*, adopted May 14, 1996, and released June 3, 1996. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during regular business hours in the FCC Reference Center (Room 239), 1919 M Street, NW, Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision also may be purchased from the Commission's duplication contractor, International Transcription Service, Inc., (202) 857-3800, 2100 M Street, N.W., Suite 140, Washington, D.C. 20037.

#### Summary of Report and Order

1. The Electronics Industry Association Consumer Electronics Group, now known as the Consumer Electronics Manufacturers Association ("CEMA"), petitioned the Commission to eliminate the requirement that parties who manufacture, import or market television receivers file reports concerning the UHF noise figure performance of television receivers. On September 5, 1995, the Commission issued a *Notice of Proposed Rule Making* ("NPRM"), 60 FR 49421,

September 22, 1995, proposing to eliminate the requirement that parties who manufacture, import, or market television receivers file UHF television noise figure measurement reports.

2. CEMA, the only entity to file comments and reply comments supported the Commission's proposal to eliminate the UHF noise figure reporting requirements stating that this initiative furthers the Administration's regulatory reinvention goals by minimizing the reporting burdens on business. It stated that both industry and Commission resources could be redeployed for other business if the UHF noise figure reporting requirement is eliminated.

3. CEMA also noted that the UHF noise figure reporting requirement is inconsistent with the Commission's verification process. It states that under this process manufacturers and importers of television receivers must maintain records of the results of their tests, although they are not required to submit sample products or test reports to the Commission unless specifically requested by the Commission. However, the UHF noise figure reporting requirement obligates manufacturers and importers to compile test measurement data on UHF noise figures for each model during the first year of its introduction and to file these performance measurements with the Commission. CEMA maintained that the requirement for filing this data is inconsistent with the concept of self-approval, which is the heart of the verification process. CEMA argued that the verification process and market forces are therefore sufficient to ensure compliance with the UHF noise figure requirement. Based on the record, we agree with CEMA that the filing of performance measurement data is no longer necessary to ensure compliance with our UHF noise figure requirement. Thus, we are amending our rules to eliminate Section 15.117(g)(3).

4. Accordingly, it is ordered, that Part 15 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations ARE AMENDED as specified below, effective August 16, 1996. The authority for issuance of this *Report and Order* is contained in Sections 4(i), 302, 303 (c), (f), (g), and (r), and 309(a) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. Sections 154(i), 302, 303 (c), (f), (g), and (r).

#### Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 603, an Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis was incorporated in the *NPRM* in ET Docket No. 95-144, FCC 95-389, 60 FR 49421, September 22, 1995. Written comments on the proposal in the *NPRM*, including

the Regulatory Flexibility Analysis, were requested. Only one comment and one reply comment were submitted by the petitioner, CEMA.

1. *Need for and Objective of Rules.* Our objectives are to decrease the administrative burden on manufacturers and importers by eliminating the requirement for submission of performance data to demonstrate compliance with the Commission's UHF noise figure requirement. We believe this requirement is no longer necessary to ensure compliance. Therefore, by eliminating the requirement for manufacturers and importers to develop and file this data with the Commission, we expect to greatly reduce the administrative burden on industry as well as on the Commission.

2. *Issues Raised by the Public in Response to the Initial Analysis.* The petitioner was the only party to offer comments to the proposal raised in the *NPRM*, but the Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis was not raised as an issue.

3. *Any Significant Alternative Minimizing Impact on Small Entities and Consistent with Stated Objectives.* The alternative to amending Part 15 of the Commission's Rules is to continue the requirement that performance data be filed with the Commission to demonstrate compliance with the UHF noise figure requirement. However, this would result in a missed opportunity to remove an unnecessary administrative burden on industry.

#### List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 15

Radio, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Federal Communications Commission.  
William F. Caton,  
*Acting Secretary.*

#### Rule Changes

Title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 15, is amended as follows:

#### PART 15—RADIO FREQUENCY DEVICES

1. The authority citation for Part 15 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Secs. 4, 302, 303, 304, 307 and 624A of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. 154, 302, 303, 304, 307 and 544A.

2. Section 15.117 is amended by removing and reserving paragraph (g)(3).

[FR Doc. 96-15210 Filed 6-14-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P