Branch, Field Operations Division (7506C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA.

The official record for this rulemaking, as well as the public version, as described above will be kept in paper form. Accordingly, EPA will transfer any copies of objections and hearing requests received electronically into printed, paper form as they are received and will place the paper copies in the official rulemaking record which will also include all comments submitted directly in writing. The official rulemaking record is the paper record maintained at the address in ''ADDRESSES'' at the beginning of this document.

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), the Agency must determine whether the regulatory action is ''significant'' and therefore subject to all the requirements of the Executive Order (i.e., Regulatory Impact Analysis, review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB)). Under section 3(f), the order defines ''a significant regulatory action'' as an action that is likely to result in a rule (1) having an annual effect on the economy of $100 million or more, or adversely and materially affecting a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, public health or safety, or State, local or tribal governments or communities (also referred to as ''economically significant''); (2) creating serious inconsistency or otherwise interfering with an action taken or planned by another agency; (3) materially altering the budgetary impacts of entitlement, grants, user fees, or loan programs or the rights and obligations thereof; or (4) raising novel legal or policy issues arising out of legal mandates, the President's priorities, or the principles set forth in this Executive Order. Pursuant to the terms of this Executive Order, EPA has determined that this rule is not ''significant'' and is therefore not subject to OMB review.

This action does not impose any enforceable duty, or contain any ''unfunded mandates'' as described in Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4), or require prior consultation as specified by Executive Order 12875 (58 FR 58093, October 28, 1993), entitled Enhancing the Intergovernmental Partnership, or special consideration as required by Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994.)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612), the Administrator has determined that regulations establishing new tolerances or raising tolerance levels or establishing exemptions from tolerance requirements do not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. A certification statement explaining the factual basis for this determination was published in the Federal Register of May 4, 1981 (46 FR 24950).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 180

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Agricultural commodities, Pesticides and pests, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: June 4, 1996.

Stephen L. Johnson,

Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

Therefore, 40 CFR part 180 is amended as follows:

PART 180—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 180 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 346a and 371.

2. In § 180.441, by adding a new paragraph (d), to read as follows:

§ 180.441 Quizalofop ethyl; tolerances for residues.

* * * * *

(d) Tolerances with regional registration, as defined in § 180.1(n), are established for the combined residues of the herbicide quizalofop-*p* ethyl ester [ethyl (*R*)-2-[4-((6-chloroquinoxalin-2-yl)oxy)phenoxy] propionate], its acid metabolite quizalofop-*p* [*R*-(2-[4-((6-chloroquinoxalin-2-yl)oxy)phenoxy]) propanoic acid], and the *S* enantiomers of both the ester and the acid, all expressed as quizalofop-*p* ethyl ester, in or the raw agricultural commodities, as follows:

Commodity	Parts per million
* * * * *	
Pineapple	0.1
* * * * *	

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 96–15481 Filed 6–18–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–F

40 CFR Part 180

[OPP–300417A; FRL–5376–3]

RIN 2070–AB78

1,1,1,2-Tetrafluoroethane; Tolerance Exemption

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This document establishes an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance for residues of 1,1,1,2-tetrafluoroethane when used as an inert ingredient (aerosol propellant) in insecticide formulations intended to be applied in food handling establishments. This regulation was requested by Whitmire Research Laboratories pursuant to the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA).

EFFECTIVE DATE: This regulation becomes effective June 19, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Written objections, identified by the docket number, [OPP–300417A] may be submitted to: Hearing Clerk (1900), Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. M3708, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. A copy of any objections and hearing requests filed with the Hearing Clerk should be identified by the docket number and submitted to: Public Response and Program Resources Branch, Field Operations Division (7506C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. In person, bring copy of objections and hearing request to: Rm. 1132, CM #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Arlington, VA 22202. Fees accompanying objections shall be labeled ''Tolerance Petition Fees'' and forwarded to: EPA Headquarters Accounting Operations Branch, OPP (Tolerance Fees), P.O. Box 360277M, Pittsburgh, PA 15251.

A copy of objections and hearing requests filed with the Hearing Clerk may also be submitted electronically by sending electronic mail (e-mail) to: opp-docket@epamail.epa.gov. Copies of objections and hearing requests must be submitted as an ASCII file avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption. Copies of objections and hearing requests will also be accepted on disks in WordPerfect 5.1 file format or ASCII file format. All copies of objections and hearing requests in electronic form must be identified by the docket number [OPP–300417A]. No Confidential Business Information (CBI) should be submitted through e-mail. Electronic comments on this final rule may be filed online at many Federal

Depository Libraries. Additional information on electronic submissions can be found below in this document.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: By mail: Amelia M. Acierto, Registration Support Branch, Registration Division (7505W), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. Office location and telephone number: Westfield Building North, 6th Fl., 2800 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA 22202, (703) 308–8375; e-mail: acierto.amelia@epamail.epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the Federal Register of April 10, 1996 (61 FR 15913), EPA issued a proposed rule (FRL–5353–5) that gave notice that Whitmire Research Laboratories, Inc., 3568 Tree Court Industrial Boulevard, Saint Louis, MO 63122-6620 had submitted pesticide petition (PP) 5E4439 to EPA requesting that the Administrator, pursuant to section 408(e) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA), 21 U.S.C. 346a(e), propose to amend 40 CFR 180.1001(c) by establishing an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance for residues of 1,1,1,2-tetrafluoroethane (HFC-134a) when used as an inert ingredient (aerosol propellant) in insecticide formulations intended for application in food handling establishments.

Inert ingredients are all ingredients that are not active ingredients as defined in 40 CFR 153.125, and include, but are not limited to, the following types of ingredients (except when they have a pesticidal efficacy of their own): Solvents such as alcohols and hydrocarbons; surfactants such as polyoxyethylene polymers and fatty acids; carriers such as clay and diatomaceous earth; thickeners such as carrageenan and modified cellulose; wetting, spreading, and dispersing agents; propellants in aerosol dispensers; microencapsulating agents; and emulsifiers. The term ''inert'' is not intended to imply nontoxicity; the ingredient may or may not be chemically active.

There were no comments or requests for referral to an advisory committee received in response to the proposed rule.

The data submitted relevant to the proposal and other relevant material have been evaluated and discussed in the proposed rule. Based on the data and information considered, the Agency concludes that the tolerance exemption will protect the public health. Therefore, the tolerance exemption is established as set forth below.

Any person adversely affected by this regulation may, within (30 days after publication of this document in the Federal Register), file written objections and/or request a hearing with the Hearing Clerk, at the address given above (40 CFR 178.20). A copy of the objections and/or hearing requests filed with the Hearing Clerk should be submitted to the OPP docket for this rulemaking. The objections submitted must specify the provisions of the regulation deemed objectionable and the grounds for the objections (40 CFR 178.25). Each objection must be accompanied by the fee prescribed by 40 CFR 180.33(i). If a hearing is requested, the objections must include a statement of the factual issue(s) on which a hearing is requested, the requestor's contentions on such issues, and a summary of any evidence relied upon by the objector (40 CFR 178.27). A request for a hearing will be granted if the Administrator determines that the material submitted shows the following: There is a genuine and substantial issue of fact; there is a reasonable possibility that available evidence identified by the requestor would, if established, resolve one or more of such issues in favor of the requestor, taking into account uncontested claims or facts to the contrary; and resolution of the factual issue(s) in the manner sought by the requestor would be adequate to justify the action requested (40 CFR 178.32).

A record has been established for this rulemaking under docket number [OPP–300417A] (including objections and hearing requests submitted electronically as described below). A public version of this record, including printed, paper versions of electronic comments, which does not include any information claimed as CBI is available for public inspection from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The public record is located in Room 1132 of the Public Response and Program Resources Branch, Field Operations Division (7506C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA.

Written objections and hearing requests, identified by the docket number (OPP–insert number), may be submitted to the Hearing Clerk (1900), Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. 3708, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460.

A copy of electronic objections and hearing requests filed with the Hearing Clerk can be sent directly to EPA at:

opp-docket@epamail.epa.gov

A copy of electronic objections and hearing requests filed with the Hearing Clerk must be submitted as an ASCII file avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption.

The official record for this rulemaking, as well as the public version, as described above will be kept in paper form. Accordingly, EPA will transfer all comments received electronically into printed, paper form as they are received and will place the paper copies in the official rulemaking record which will also include all comments submitted directly in writing. The official rulemaking record is the paper record maintained at the address in ''ADDRESSES'' at the beginning of this document.

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), the Agency must determine whether the regulatory action is ''significant'' and therefore subject to all the requirements of the Executive Order (i.e., Regulatory Impact Analysis, review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB)). Under section 3(f), the order defines ''significant'' as those actions likely to lead to a rule (1) having an annual effect on the economy of $100 million or more, or adversely and materially affecting a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, public health or safety, or State, local or tribal governments or communities (also known as ''economically significant''); (2) creating serious inconsistency or otherwise interfering with an action taken or planned by another agency; (3) materially altering the budgetary impacts of entitlement, grants, user fees, or loan programs; or (4) raising novel legal or policy issues arising out of legal mandates, the President's priorities, or the principles set forth in this Executive Order.

Pursuant to the terms of this Executive Order, EPA has determined that this rule is not ''significant'' and is therefore not subject to OMB review.

This action does not impose any enforceable duty, or contain any ''unfunded mandates'' as described in Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4), or require prior consultation as specified by Executive Order 12875 (58 FR 58093, October 28, 1993), entitled Enhancing the Intergovernmental Partnership, or special consideration as required by Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

Pursuant to the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612), the Administrator has determined that regulations establishing new tolerances or raising tolerance

levels or establishing exemptions from tolerance requirements do not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. A certification statement to this effect was published in the Federal Register of May 4, 1981 (46 FR 24950).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 180

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Agricultural commodities, Pesticides and pests, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: June 4, 1996.

Stephen L. Johnson,

Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

Therefore, 40 CFR part 180 is amended as follows:

PART 180—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 180 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 346a and 371.

2. In § 180.1001, the table in paragraph (c) is amended by adding alphabetically the inert ingredient 1,1,1,2-Tetrafluoroethane, (CAS Reg. No. 811-97-2), to read as follows:

§ 180.1001 Exemptions from the requirement of a tolerance.

* * * * *

(c) * * *

Inert ingredients	Limits	Uses
* * * * * * *		
1,1,1,2-Tetrafluoroethane, (CAS Reg. No. 811–97–2)	.....	Aerosol propellant
* * * * * * *		

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 96–15482 Filed 6–18–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–F

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Parts 0, 2 and 15

[ET Docket No. 95–19; FCC 96–208]

Streamlining the Equipment Authorization Procedures for Digital Devices

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: These rules deregulate the equipment authorization requirements for personal computers and personal computer peripherals by relaxing the equipment authorization procedures to provide a new self-authorization process based on a manufacturer's or supplier's declaration of compliance. These changes were made to reduce the regulatory burden on computer manufacturers and assemblers. This action will save industry approximately $250 million annually, permit products to reach the marketplace more quickly and stimulate competition in the computer industry.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 19, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John A. Reed at (202) 418–2455 and Anthony Serafini at 418–2456, Office of Engineering and Technology.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's *Report and Order* in ET Docket No. 95–19, FCC 96–208, adopted May 9, 1996 and released May 14, 1996. The complete text of this *Report and Order* is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center (Room 239), 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC, and also may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Services, Inc., (202) 857–3800, 2100 M Street, NW., Suite 140, Washington, DC 20037.

Summary of the Report and Order

1. By this action, the Commission is streamlining the equipment authorization requirements for personal computers and personal computer peripherals. The item adopts a new ''Declaration of Conformity'' (DoC) procedure that will permit these devices to be authorized based on a manufacturer's or supplier's declaration that the computer product conforms with all FCC requirements. Under this procedure, a manufacturer or equipment supplier will test a product to ensure compliance with our standards for limiting radio frequency (RF) emissions and will include a statement, attesting to compliance with those standards in the literature furnished with the product. We are also permitting the marketing of personal computers assembled from separate components that have themselves been authorized under a DoC. In such cases, no further testing of the completed assembly will be required.

2. We anticipate that these rule changes will save industry approximately $250 million annually in administrative expenses, while continuing to provide the same level of protection against harmful interference from personal computing devices to radio communication services. In addition, the new rules will eliminate the need for manufacturers to obtain FCC approval before marketing new personal computer products and thus will allow such products to reach the marketplace more quickly. We also believe that our relaxation of the existing regulations, which can be particularly burdensome for small manufacturers, will stimulate competition in the computer industry. Further, these changes will align our equipment authorization requirements for personal computers with those used in other parts of the world. This action is consistent with new authority provided in the Telecommunications Act of 1996 that permits the Commission to authorize the use of private organizations for testing and certifying the compliance of devices or home electronics equipment and systems with FCC regulations.

3. Accordingly, it is ordered that Parts 0, 2 and 15 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations are amended as specified below, effective August 19, 1996. It is also ordered that the proceeding in GEN Docket No. 90–413 is terminated. The authority for issuance of this Report and Order is contained in Sections 4(i), 301, 302, 303(e), 303(f), 303(r), 304 and 307 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. Sections 154(i), 301, 302, 303(e), 303(f), 303(r), 304 and 307.

Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Section 603, an Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis was incorporated in the *Notice of Proposed Rule Making* (*NPRM*) in ET Docket No. 95–19, FCC 95–46, 60 FR 15116, March 22, 1995. Written comments on the proposals in the *NPRM*, including the Regulatory Flexibility Analysis, were requested.