

absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated June 3, 1996, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Learning Resources Center, Three Rivers Community-Technical College, 574 New London Turnpike, Norwich, Connecticut, and the Waterford Library, ATTN: Vince Juliano, 49 Rope Ferry Road, Waterford, Connecticut.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 11th day of June 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
Vernon L. Rooney,
Senior Project Manager, Northeast Utilities Project Directorate, Division of Reactor Projects—I/II, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 96-15730 Filed 6-19-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

[Docket No. 50-339]

Virginia Electric and Power Company; Notice of Withdrawal of Application for Amendment to Facility Operating License

The United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has granted the request of Virginia Electric and Power Company (the licensee) to withdraw its October 17, 1995, application for proposed amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-7 for the North Anna Power Station, Unit No. 2, located in Louisa County, Virginia.

The proposed amendment would have revised the Technical Specifications pertaining to the minimum number of steam generators required to be inspected during the first inservice inspection following steam generator replacement.

The Commission had previously issued a Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment published in the Federal Register on November 27, 1995 (60 FR 58406). However, by letter dated February 19, 1996, the licensee withdrew the proposed change.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated October 17, 1995, and the licensee's letter dated February 19, 1996, which withdrew the application

for license amendment. The above documents are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and the Alderman Library, Special Collections Department, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia 22903-2498.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 7th day of June 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
Bart C. Buckley,
Senior Project Manager, Project Directorate II-1, Division of Reactor Projects—I/II, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 96-15729 Filed 6-19-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

[Docket No. 50-285]

Omaha Public Power District, Fort Calhoun Station, Unit No. 1; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR-40, issued to Omaha Public Power District (the licensee), for operation of the Fort Calhoun Station, Unit 1, located in Washington County, Nebraska.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would issue an amendment to allow an increase in the initial nominal Uranium-235 (U-235) enrichment limit for fuel assemblies which may be stored in the spent fuel pool. This action would allow the licensee to extend the biennial interval until the first quarter of 1996. The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application for amendment dated February 1, 1996.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The licensee intends to store unirradiated fuel with a maximum initial enrichment of 4.5 w/o U-235 in Region 1 of the spent fuel pool during the next refueling outage (Refuel 17). Spent fuel will be stored in Region 2 of the spent fuel pool. At present, fuel with a maximum initial enrichment up to 4.2 weight percent of U-235 can be stored in Region 1 and Region 2 of the spent fuel pool.

Environmental Impact of the Proposed Action:

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed revision to the technical specifications (TSs) and concludes that the use of fuel with a maximum enrichment of 4.5 w/o U-235

would not significantly increase the probability or consequences of any accident previously analyzed. The proposed amendment would increase the allowable fuel enrichment from 4.2 w/o to 4.5 w/o U-235 in Region 1 of the spent fuel pool and modify the burnup/enrichment restrictions imposed on fuel stored in Region 2 to include fuel with an enrichment up to 4.5 w/o.

The environmental impacts of transportation resulting from the use of higher enrichment and extended irradiation are discussed in the staff assessment entitled "NRC Assessment of the Environmental Effects of Transportation Resulting from Extended Fuel Enrichment and Irradiation." This assessment was published in the Federal Register on August 11, 1988 (53 FR 30355) as corrected on August 24, 1988 (53 FR 32322) in connection with the Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1: Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact. As indicated therein, the environmental cost contribution of an increase in fuel enrichment of up to 5 weight percent U-235 and irradiation limits of up to 60 Gigawatt Days per Metric Ton (GWD/MT) are either unchanged, or may in fact be reduced from those summarized in Table S-4 as set forth in 10 CFR 51.52(c). These findings are applicable to the proposed amendment for the Ft. Calhoun Station, Unit 1. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that this proposed action would result in no significant radiological environmental impact.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed changes involve systems located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed amendment.

The Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing in connection with this action was published in the Federal Register on March 13, 1996 (61 FR 10396).

Alternative to the Proposed Action

Since the Commission concluded that there are no significant environmental effects that would result from the proposed action, any alternative with equal or greater environmental impacts need not be evaluated.

The principal alternative would be to deny the requested amendment. This would not reduce environmental impacts of plant operation and would result in reduced operational flexibility.

Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement (FES) for the Fort Calhoun Station, Unit 1, dated August 1972.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on June 13, 1996, the staff consulted with the Nebraska State official, Ms. Cheryl Rodgers of the Department of Health, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated February 1, 1996, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the W. Dale Clark Library, 215 South 15th Street, Omaha, Nebraska 68102.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 14th day of June 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
L. Raynard Wharton,

*Project Manager, Project Directorate IV-2,
Division of Reactor Projects III/IV, Office of
Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 96-15728 Filed 6-19-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

[Docket No. 50-302]

Florida Power Corporation, Crystal River Nuclear Generating Plant (License No. DPR-72); Receipt of Petition for Director's Decision Under 10 CFR 2.206

Notice is hereby given that the staff of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has received a petition dated March 28, 1996, filed by Louis D. Putney, Esq., on behalf of Barry L. Bennett (petitioner). The petition requests, pursuant to section 2.206 of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR 2.206), that the

NRC investigate concerns regarding security deficiencies at Florida Power Corporation's Crystal River Nuclear Generating Plant (Crystal River). The petition also requests that, upon a determination that these concerns are valid, the NRC institute a proceeding to suspend or revoke the operating license of Crystal River pursuant to 10 CFR 2.202 until such time as these concerns are corrected.

As the basis for his petition, Mr. Bennett claims that during his employment with SBI Inc., a company that provided contract nuclear security services for Florida Power Corporation, he observed various security deficiencies at Crystal River.

The petition is being treated pursuant to 10 CFR 2.206 of the Commission's regulations. The petition has been referred to the Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. As provided by 10 CFR 2.206, appropriate action will be taken on the petition within a reasonable time.

A copy of the petition is available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20555.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 14th day of June 1996.

Frank J. Miraglia,

Acting Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 96-15727 Filed 6-19-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

POSTAL SERVICE

Privacy Act of 1974; System of Records

AGENCY: Postal Service.

ACTION: Notice of modifications to an existing system of records.

SUMMARY: This document publishes notice of modifications to Privacy Act System of Records USPS 120.070, Personnel Records-General Personnel Folder (Official Personnel Folders and Records Related Thereto). The proposed modifications expand the categories of records covered by the system, add a system manager, and enhance the system description, especially with regard to procedures for filing and retaining records.

DATES: Any interested party may submit written comments on the proposed modifications. This proposal will become effective without further notice on July 30, 1996, unless comments received on or before that date result in a contrary determination.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on this proposal should be mailed or delivered to Payroll Accounting and Records, United States Postal Service, 475 L'Enfant Plaza SW, Room 8650, Washington, DC 20260-5243. Copies of all written comments will be available at the above address for public inspection and photocopying between 8 a.m. and 4:45 p.m., Monday through Friday.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Betty E. Sheriff, (202) 268-2608.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Privacy Act System of Records USPS 120.070, Personnel Records-General Personnel Folder (Official Personnel Folders and Records Related Thereto) collects information contained within employees' official personnel folders and related information. Such information consists of documents that reflect an employee's status, salary, benefits, service, and career history.

This notice enhances the categories of records in the system by including further examples of records historically covered by the system. It expands the categories to the extent that reference copies of discipline or adverse action records are kept for a period beyond the copy historically kept within the official personnel folder. Such maintenance is also reflected in a revision to the retention and disposal segment of the system notice. Because these records are kept within Labor Relations offices, the Vice President, Labor Relations has been added as a System Manager.

All records within this system continue to be kept in a secured environment. The system modifications do not alter the character of information contained in the system or the safeguards applied in the maintenance of that information.

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(e)(11), interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, or arguments on this proposal. A report of the proposed system has been sent to Congress and to the Office of Management and Budget for their evaluation.

USPS Privacy Act system 120.070 was last published in its entirety in the Federal Register on June 19, 1991 (56 FR 28181) and amended on December 4, 1992 (57 FR 57515) and on November 24, 1993 (58 FR 62171). The Postal Service proposes amending that system as shown below.

USPS 120.070

SYSTEM NAME:

Personnel Records-General Personnel Folder (Official Personnel Folders and Records Related Thereto), 120.070.