Milling, Manufacturing, and Fabricating:

Average Estimated Hours/Response: 0.25.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 430.

Average Frequency of Response: 442. Estimated Annual Hour Burden: 7.472.

Demolition and Renovation:

Average Estimated Hours/Response: 0.75.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 6,900.

Frequency of Response: 58. Estimated Annual Hour Burden: 298,483.

Waste Disposal:

Average estimated Hours/Response: 1.5.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 3,017.

Frequency of Response: 18. Estimated Annual Hour Burden: 82,431.

Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden: 428,386 hours.

Estimated Total Annualized Cost Burden: \$0.

Send comments on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including through the use of automated collection techniques to the following addresses: (Please refer to EPA ICR No. 0111.08 and OMB Control No. 2060–0101 in any correspondence.)

Ms. Sandy Farmer, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, OPPE Regulatory Information Division (2137), 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460 and

Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for EPA, 725 17th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: June 14, 1996.

Joseph Retzer,

Director, Regulatory Information Division. [FR Doc. 96–15889 Filed 6–20–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

### [ER-FRL-5470-7]

## Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared June 10, 1996 through June 14, 1996 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564–7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 5, 1996 (61 FR 15251).

#### Draft EISs

ERP No. D-UAF-K11071-AZ Rating EC2, Luke Air Force Base, Construction and Operation of 18-Hole Golf Course, In a Detention Basin to Prevent Flood Damage, Dysart Drain Improvement Project, Maricopa County, AZ.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding potential groundwater impacts and requested that additional information on this issue be provided.

### Final EISs

ERP No. F–AFS–L65252–OR Hoodoo Master Plan, Plan of Operation Approval and Special-Use-Permit Issuance, Willamette National Forest, McKenzie Ranger District, Linn County, OR.

Summary: EPA had no objection to the preferred alternative as described in the EIS. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency. ERP No. F-DOE-L06004-WA

ERP No. F–DOE–L06004–WA
Adoption—Disposal of
Decommissioned, Defueled Cruiser,
Ohio Class and Los Angeles Class Naval
Reactor Plants, Site Selection, US
Department of Energy's Hanford Site,
Benton, Franklin and Grant Counties or
Puget Sound Naval Shipyard,
Bremerton, WA.

Summary: EPA had no objection to the preferred alternative as described in the EIS. No formal comment letter was sent to preparing agency.

ERP No. F-DOE-L09808-WA Plutonium Finishing Plant (PFP) Stabilization, To Safely Reduced Radiation Exposure to Workers and Environment, Hanford Site, Richland, Benton County, WA.

Summary: EPA had no objection to the preferred alternative as described in the EIS. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency. ERP No. F-DOE-L20002-WA Safe

ERP No. F–DOE–L20002–WA Safe Interim Storage of Hanford Tank Wastes, Double Shell Tanks (DSTs) and Cross Site Transfer System (CSTS) Construction, Hanford Site, Adams, Richland, Washington, Benton and Franklin Counties, WA.

*Summary:* EPA had no objection to the preferred alternative as described in the EIS. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F–SFW–G99006–TX Balcones Canyonlands Conservation Plan, Issuance of a Permit to Allow Incidental Take of Gold-Cheeked Warbler, Black-capped Vireo and Six Karst Invertebrates, Travis County, TX.

Summary: EPA had no objection to the selection of the Fish and Wildlife Service preferred alternative as described in the Final EIS.

ERP No. FS-OSM-G01012-NM Fence Lake Federal Coal Project, Mining Plan, Permit Application and Federal Permit Approval or Disapproval, Updated Information concerning the Salt River Project Improvement and Power District's (SRP) Proposed Fence Lake Mine, Apache County, AZ and Catron and Cibola Counties, NM.

Summary: Review of the Final EIS has been completed and the project found to be satisfactory. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

Dated: June 18, 1996.

Ken Mittelholtz,

Environmental Protection Specialist, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 96–15929 Filed 6–20–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

## [ER-FRL-5470-6]

## **Environmental Impact Statements;** Notice of Availability Responsible

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 OR (202) 564–7153.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed June 10, 1996 Through June 14, 1996 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 960271, FINAL SUPPLEMENT, COE, NC, Wilmington Harbor Channel Widening and Navigation Improvement, Updated Information, Cape Fear River, Port of Wilmington, New Hanover and Brunswick Counties, NC, Due: July 22, 1996, Contact: Hugh Heine (910) 251–4070.

EIS No. 960272, DRAFT EIS, BLM, AK, Denali National Park and Reserve, "Frontcountry" Entrance Area and Road Corridor, Development Concept Plan, AK, Due: August 19, 1996, Contact: Mike Tranel (907) 683–9552.

EIS No. 960273, DRAFT EIS, DOI, UT, Wasatch County Water Efficiency Project and Daniel Replacement Pipeline Project, Implementation, Wasatch County, UT, Due: August 13, 1996, Contact: Karen Ricks (801) 226– 7126.

The U.S. Department of the Interior is a Joint Lead Agency for the above Project along with the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Commission (URC).

EIS No. 960274, FINAL EIS, BLM, WY, Moxa Arch Area Natural Gas Development Expansion Project, Approvals, Right-of-Way Grants and COE Section 404 Permit(s) Issuance, Sweetwater, Lincoln and Unita Counties, WY, Due: July 22, 1996, Contact: Bill McMahan (307) 382–5350.

EIS No. 960275, FINAL EIS, COE, CA, Port of Long Beach (POLB) Main Channel Deepening and Navigation Improvements, Implementation, Queen's Gate, San Pedro Bay, Los Angeles County, CA, Due: July 22, 1996, Contact: Russ Kaiser (213) 894– 0247.

EIS No. 960277, DRAFT EIS, NOA, TX, Texas Combined Coastal Management Program, Implementation, Federal Approval, Gulf of Mexico, TX, Due: August 05, 1996, Contact: Bill O'Beirne (301) 713–3109.

EIS No. 960278, FINAL EIS, USN, PA, Philadelphia Naval Base, Disposal and Reuse, Implementation, Philadelphia, PA, Due: July 22, 1996, Contact: Tina A. Deininger (610) 595–0759.

EIS No. 960279, FINAL EIS, DOA, MI, IN, Programmatic EIS—La Grange County Sewer District Sanitary Sewer Collection System and a Wastewater Treatment System, Construction and Operation, MI and IN, Due: July 22, 1996, Contact: Paul Neumann (317) 290–3109.

EIS No. 960280, DRAFT EIS, COE, CA, Norco Bluffs Bank Stabilization Measures, Implementation, Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District, National Economic Development, Santa Ana River, City of Norco, Riverside County, CA, Due: August 05, 1996, Contact: Alex Watt (213) 452–3860.

EIS No. 960281, FINAL EIS, FRC, VT, Clyde River Hydroelectric (FERC No. 2306) Project, New License (Relicense) for three Hydroelectric Developments, Construction and Operation, Clyde River within the St. Lawrence River Basin, Orleans County, VT, Due: July 22, 1996, Contact: Frankie Green (202) 501– 7704.

EIS No. 960282, FINAL EIS, USA, OR, Umatilla Depot Activity, On-Site Facility for Disposal of Stockpiled Chemical Agents and Munitions, Construction and Operation, Morrow and Umatilla Counties, OR, Due: July 22, 1996, Contact: Katy Miller (410) 671–4181.

EIS No. 960283, FINAL EIS, NRC, Nuclear Power Plants Operating Licenses, NUREG-1437, Renewal, NPDES Permit, Due: July 22, 1996, Contact: Donald Cleary (301) 415– 6263.

EIS No. 960284, DRAFT EIS, URC, UT, Provo River Restoration Project

(PRRP), Riverain Habitat Restoration, Reconstruction and Realignment of the existing Provo River Channel and Floodplain System between Jordanell Dam and Deer River Reservoir, Wasatch County, UT, Due: August 13, 1996, Contact: Michael C. Weland (801) 524–3146.

The U.S. Department of the Interior is a Joint Lead Agency for the above Project along with the Utah Reclamation Mitigation and Conservation Commission (URC).

Dates: June 18, 1996.

Ken Mittelholtz,

Environmental Protection Specialist, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 96–15930 Filed 6–20–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

### [FRL-5524-1]

# Environmental Laboratory Advisory Board; Meeting Date and Agenda

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Notice of open meeting.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Laboratory Advisory Board (ELAB) will convene an open meeting on Sunday, July 21, 1996, from noon to 5:00 p.m. The meeting immediately precedes the National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Conference (NELAC), and will be held in the same location: the Washington Hilton and Towers, 1919 Connecticut Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20009; Telephone 202/797–5820.

One item on the agenda will be a report from the subcommittee charged with reviewing the issues surrounding Good Laboratory Practices (GLP), a program operated under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA). The committee is identifying the unique characteristics of the GLP program and evaluating the relationship with NELAC.

A second agenda item is a deliberation on the status of the NELAC General Members Committee. A report on the authorized functions and the legal limitations of the General Members Committee and the role of ELAB will precede the discussion.

A third potential agenda item is the scope of accreditation, with specific attention given to non-commercial environmental laboratories. The regulated industries have expressed concern regarding requirements to inspect "in-house" laboratories which generate data to demonstrate compliance with EPA regulations.

The fourth agenda item, which shall constitute the majority of the discussion, will be on proficiency testing (PT) samples, otherwise known as performance evaluation (PE) samples. Changes in EPA's current system of manufacturing and distributing PT samples are under consideration. Included in the options are operation by the private sector, another government body, the states, and/or non-profit organizations. The discussion will be directed towards national consistency and quality of the samples, overall costs, impact on the state accrediting authorities, and the time needed to implement any change. Advice and comments will be solicited from ELAB regarding the potential consequences to the proposed NELAC structure and operation.

The public is welcome to attend. Time will be allotted for public comment. Written comments are encouraged and should be directed to Ms. Jeanne Mourrain, Designated Federal Official; USEPA, NERL (MD–75); Research Triangle Park, NC 27711. If questions arise, please contact Ms. Mourrain at 919/541–1120, fax 919/541–4101, or E-mail "MOURRAIN.JEANNE@EPAMAIL. EPA.GOV".

Dated: June 14, 1996.

E. Ramona Trovato,

Director, Office of Radiation and Indoor Air.

[FR Doc. 96–15888 Filed 6–20–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collections being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission; Comments Requested

May 7, 1996.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Communications, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of