

14 CFR Part 71**[Airspace Docket No. 96–ACE–4]****Amendment to Class E Airspace; Abilene, KS, and Independence, KS****AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration [FAA], DOT.**ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment modifies the Class E airspace area at Abilene Municipal Airport, Abilene, KS, and Independence Municipal Airport, Independence, KS, to accommodate a new Standard Instrument Approach Procedure (SIAP) at the two airports. This action will provide for additional controlled airspace necessary for aircraft executing the SIAP utilizing the Global Positioning System (GPS) at Abilene Municipal Airport, and for aircraft executing the Instrument Landing System (ILS) procedure at Independence Municipal Airport. This will also correct a minor error in geographical coordinates of the above listed airports.

EFFECTIVE DATE: 0901 UTC August 15, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kathy Randolph, Air Traffic Operations Branch, ACE–530C, Federal Aviation Administration, 601 E. 12th St., Kansas City, MO, 64106; telephone (816) 426–3408.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**History**

On April 9, 1996, the FAA proposed to amend Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 71) by modifying the Class E airspace area at Abilene, KS and Independence, KS. (61 FR 15742). The proposed action would provide additional controlled airspace to accommodate the new SIAP to Abilene KS, and Independence, KS.

Interested parties were invited to participate in this rulemaking proceeding by submitting written comments on the proposal to the FAA. No comments objecting to the proposal were received. Class E airspace areas extending from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth are published in paragraphs 6005 of FAA Order 7400.9C, dated August 17, 1995, and effective September 16, 1995, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Class E airspace designation listed in this document will be published subsequently in the Order.

The Rule

This amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 71) amends the Class E airspace area at Abilene, KS and Independence,

KS, by providing additional controlled airspace for aircraft executing the SIAP's to the airports.

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. Therefore, this regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a Regulatory Evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Aviation, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

Adoption of the Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration amends 14 CFR Part 71 as follows:

PART 71—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 71 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g); 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389; 14 CFR 11.69.

§ 71.1 [Amended]

2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of Federal Aviation Administration Order 7400.9C, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 17, 1995, and effective September 16, 1995, is amended as follows:

Paragraph 6005 Class E airspace areas extending from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth.

* * * * *

ACE KS E5 Abilene, KS

Abilene Municipal Airport, KS.

(Lat. 38°54'15" N., long 97°14'09" W.)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 6.3-mile radius of Abilene Municipal Airport and within 2.6 miles each side of the 180° bearing from the Abilene Municipal Airport extending from the 6.3-mile radius to 7 miles south of the airport.

* * * * *

* * * * *

ACE KS E5 Independence, KS

Independence Municipal Airport, KS

(Lat. 37°09'32" N., long. 95°46'44" W.)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 6.6-mile radius of Independence Municipal Airport.

* * * * *

Issued in Kansas City, MO on June 11, 1996.

Christopher R. Blum,

Acting Manager, Air Traffic Division, Central Region.

[FR Doc. 96–16732 Filed 6–28–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY**Customs Service****19 CFR Parts 10, 12, 102 and 134****[T.D. 96–48]****RIN 1515–AB34**

Rules for Determining the Country of Origin of a Good for Purposes of Annex 311 of the North American Free Trade Agreement; Corrections

AGENCY: U.S. Customs Service, Department of the Treasury.

ACTION: Final rule; corrections.

SUMMARY: This document makes corrections to the document published in the Federal Register which set forth final amendments to the Customs Regulations regarding the rules for determining when the country of origin of a good is one of the parties to the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) as required by Annex 311 of the NAFTA.

EFFECTIVE DATE: These corrections are effective August 5, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sandra L. Gethers, Office of Regulations and Rulings (202–482–6980).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

On June 6, 1996, Customs published in the Federal Register (61 FR 28932) as T.D. 96–48 a document which adopted as a final rule, with some modifications, interim amendments to the Customs Regulations that established the rules for determining when the country of origin of a good is one of the parties to the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) as required by Annex 311 of the NAFTA. Those final NAFTA Marking Rules apply only to all goods imported from Canada or Mexico other than textile and apparel products, and do not apply to trade with other countries. The June 6, 1996, notice provided for an August 5, 1996, effective date for the final regulations.

This document corrects some errors published in T.D. 96-48.

Several errors involved the Background discussion under the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** portion of the document. In the discussion of the effective date of the final regulations in relation to previously-published final regulations regarding country of origin rules for textile products, reference was inadvertently made to July 1, 1996, thus creating some confusion since the **EFFECTIVE DATE** portion of T.D. 96-48 specified August 5, 1996. In addition, four Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) heading or subheading references were inadvertently omitted from the list of conforming changes made to the regulatory texts to reflect the 1996 version of the HTSUS.

Two errors also appeared in the final regulatory texts in the table under § 102.20 which sets forth the specific rules by tariff classification. First, in the second tariff shift rule for subheading 2836.99, the words "other than to bismuth carbonate" were omitted, with the result that the rule as published overlaps with the first tariff shift rule for that subheading and thus does not properly reflect the changes made in the 1996 HTSUS. Second, the final regulatory texts inadvertently failed to implement a proposal, set forth in a document published in the Federal Register on May 5, 1995 (60 FR 22312), to remove the Note to Section XVI which, as stated in that May 5, 1995, document, would no longer be necessary in view of a proposed change to § 102.17(e) that was adopted in the final regulatory texts.

Corrections of Publication

Accordingly, the document published in the Federal Register as T.D. 96-48 on June 6, 1996 (61 FR 28932) is corrected as set forth below.

Corrections to the Background Section

1. On page 28934, in the first column in the second full paragraph, in the second sentence, the words "will take effect on July 1, 1996, when" are corrected to read "will not take effect before".

2. On page 28934, in the third column under the heading "Changes to Conform to 1996 HTSUS", after the colon in the third sentence, the reference "1517.90," is added after "0901.90,".

3. On page 28935, in the first column, the references "8545.11, 8547.90, 8548," are added at the end of the first line after "8543.40-8543.89,".

Corrections to the Final Regulations

4. On page 28961, in the "Tariff shift and/or other requirements" column opposite the "HTSUS" column listing for 2836.99, in the second tariff shift rule, the words "other than to bismuth carbonate" are added after the words "A change to subheading 2836.99".

5. On page 28971, the Note to Section XVI is removed.

Dated: June 26, 1996.

Stuart P. Seidel,

Assistant Commissioner, Office of Regulations and Rulings.

[FR Doc. 96-16743 Filed 6-28-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4820-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

21 CFR Part 178

[Docket No. 96F-0052]

Indirect Food Additives: Adjuvants, Production Aids, and Sanitizers

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is amending the food additive regulations to provide for the additional safe use of dimethyldibenzylidene sorbitol as a clarifying agent for propylene homopolymers and high-propylene copolymer articles intended for contact with food. This action is in response to a petition filed by Milliken & Co.

DATES: Effective July 1, 1996; written objections and requests for a hearing by July 31, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Submit written objections to the Dockets Management Branch (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 12420 Parklawn Dr., rm. 1-23, Rockville, MD 20857.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Vir D. Anand, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (HFS-216), Food and Drug Administration, 200 C St. SW., Washington, DC 20204, 202-418-3081.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In a notice published in the Federal Register of February 26, 1996 (61 FR 7111), FDA announced that a food additive petition (FAP 6B4495) had been filed by Milliken & Co., c/o Keller and Heckman, 1001 G St. NW., suite 500 West, Washington, DC 20001. The petition proposed to amend the food additive regulations in § 178.3295 *Clarifying agents for polymers* (21 CFR 178.3295)

to provide for the additional safe use of dimethyldibenzylidene sorbitol as a clarifying agent for olefin polymers complying with § 177.1520 (21 CFR 177.1520), items 1.1, 3.1, and 3.2, for contact with food under condition of use A, described in Table 2 of § 176.170(c) of this chapter.

FDA has evaluated data in the petition and other relevant material. The agency concludes that the proposed use of the additive is safe, that the additive will have the intended technical effect, and that the regulations in § 178.3295 should be amended as set forth below.

In accordance with § 171.1(h) (21 CFR 171.1(h)), the petition and the documents that FDA considered and relied upon in reaching its decision to approve the petition are available for inspection at the Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition by appointment with the information contact person listed above. As provided in § 171.1(h), the agency will delete from the documents any materials that are not available for public disclosure before making the documents available for inspection.

The agency has carefully considered the potential environmental effects of this action. FDA has concluded that the action will not have a significant impact on the human environment, and that an environmental impact statement is not required. The agency's finding of no significant impact and the evidence supporting that finding, contained in an environmental assessment, may be seen in the Dockets Management Branch (address above) between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

Any person who will be adversely affected by this regulation may at any time on or before July 31, 1996, file with the Dockets Management Branch (address above) written objections thereto. Each objection shall be separately numbered, and each numbered objection shall specify with particularity the provisions of the regulation to which objection is made and the grounds for the objection. Each numbered objection on which a hearing is requested shall specifically so state. Failure to request a hearing for any particular objection shall constitute a waiver of the right to a hearing on that objection. Each numbered objection for which a hearing is requested shall include a detailed description and analysis of the specific factual information intended to be presented in support of the objection in the event that a hearing is held. Failure to include such a description and analysis for any particular objection shall constitute a waiver of the right to a hearing on the objection. Three copies of all documents