- · Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

Dated: June 25, 1996. Diana A. London, Deputy Director AmeriCorps\*VISTA. [FR Doc. 96-16636 Filed 6-28-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6050-28-M

## Information Collection Activity **Proposed**

**AGENCY:** The Corporation for National and Community Service (CNCS). **ACTION:** Correction to information collection activity proposed.

**SUMMARY:** This document contains corrections to the Notice of 60-Day Review and Comment on National Service Trust Interest Accrual Form which was published Monday, June 10, 1996, Vol. 61. No. 112, page 29359. **DATES:** CNCS will consider comments on the proposed collection of information to be used by AmeriCorps members enrolled in national service to request interest accural information for his or her term of service on qualified student loans from lending organizations, and payment of such interest by the Corporation to lending institutions for individuals enrolled in national service who were granted forbearance under the National and Community Service Trust Act of 1993. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: As published, the notice omitted the need for each proposed collection of information to solicit comments to:

- (i) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- (ii) evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- (iii) enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected: and
- (iv) minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic,

mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses. Gary Kowalczyk,

Acting Chief Financial Officer.

[FR Doc. 96-16638 Filed 6-28-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6050-28-M

## **Revision of National Senior Service** Corps' Grant Application

**AGENCY:** Corporation for National and Community Service.

**ACTION:** Notice of 60-Day Review and Comment Period on National Senior Service Corps (NSSC) Project Grant Application.

**SUMMARY:** The National Senior Service Corps announces a 60-day review and comment period during which project sponsors and the public are encouraged to submit comments on suggested revisions to the NSSC Project Grant Application (424–NSSC). The Project Grant Application is submitted by prospective grantees to apply for or renew sponsorship of projects under the Retired and Senior Volunteer Program (RSVP), Foster Grandparent Program (FGP), and Senior Companion Program (SCP), collectively known as the National Senior Service Corps. Completion of the application is required to obtain or retain sponsorship. **DATES:** The National Senior Service Corps will consider written comments on the Project Grant Application received on or before August 30, 1996. Comments are particularly invited which-

- (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

- (4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.
- (5) Assess how well the existing Grant Application collects appropriate information to allow agency decision-

makers to fully assess applicant capabilities and plans for quality sponsorship and ability to program for community impacts.

ADDRESS TO SEND COMMENTS: Janice Forney Fisher, National Senior Service Corps (NSSC), Corporation for National Service, 1201 New York Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20525.

**ESTABLISHED ANNUAL REPORTING OR** DISCLOSURE BURDEN: 19,398 hours (1,220 annual respondents at an average 15.9 hours burden per respondent).

This document will be made available in alternate format upon request. TDD (202 606-5000 ext. 164.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION PLEASE **CONTACT:** Janice Forney Fisher (202) 606-5000 ext. 275.

Regulatory Authority: National Service Trust Act of 1993.

Dated: June 20, 1996.

Thomas E. Endres,

Deputy Director, National Senior Service Corps.

[FR Doc. 96-16637 Filed 6-28-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6050-28-M

### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

## Office of the Secretary

## **Public Information Collection** Requirement Submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for Review; Notice

The Department of Defense has submitted to OMB for clearance, the following proposal for revision to a currently approved collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Title; Associated Form; and OMB Control Number: Application for MSC Afloat Employment; MSC 12310/1; OMB Control Number 0703-0014.

Type of Request: Reinstatement without change.

Number of Respondents: 11,700. Responses Per Respondent: 1. Annual Responses: 11,700. Average Burden Per Response: 2

hours.

Annual Burden Hours: 23,400. Needs and Uses: This collection of information is used to identify specific knowledges, skills, and abilities, as well as to determine qualifications of, merchant marine applicants for positions on Military Sealift Command ships. The associated form is used by the applicant to provide information beyond that inherent in the licenses and documents held by the individual.

Affected Public: Individuals or

households.

Frequency: On occasion.

Respondent's Obligation: Required to obtain or retain benefits.

OMB Desk Officer: Mr. Edward C. Springer.

Written comments and recommendations on the proposed information collection should be sent to Mr. Springer at the Office of Management and Budget, Desk Officer for DoD, Room 10236, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

DOD Clearance Officer: Mr. William

Written requests for copies of the information collection proposal should be sent to Mr. Pearce, WHS/DIOR, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302.

Dated: June 25, 1996. Patricia L. Toppings,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 96-16610 Filed 6-28-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000-04-M

# **Defense Science Board Task Force on** Land-Attack Cruise Missile Defense; **Notice of Advisory Committee** Meetings

**SUMMARY:** The Defense Science Board Task Force on Land-Attack Cruise Missile Defense will meet in closed session on July 22-23, 1996 at Science Applications International Corporation, McLean, Virginia.

The mission of the Defense Science Board is to advise the Secretary of Defense through the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology on scientific and technical matters as they affect the perceived needs of the Department of Defense. At this meeting the Task Force will focus on architectures and implementation strategies for achieving a US military capability against this emerging threat. Organizational issues and issues related to the potential use of common sensors and/or weapons from Cruise Missile Defense and Ballistic Missile Defense should also be addressed.

In accordance with Section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92-463, as amended (5 U.S.C. App. II, (1994)), it has been determined that this DSB Task Force meeting concerns matters listed in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(1) (1994), and that accordingly this meeting will be closed to the public.

Dated: June 25, 1996.

L.M. Bynum,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 96-16611 Filed 6-28-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000-04-M

#### DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

**Draft Environmental Impact Statement** on the Disposal of the S1C Prototype Reactor Plant; Notice of Availability and Announcement of a Public Hearing

**AGENCY:** Department of Energy. **ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Naval Reactors (Naval Reactors) has completed a Draft Environmental Impact Statement on the Disposal of the S1C Prototype Reactor Plant. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement was prepared in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969; Council on **Environmental Quality regulations** implementing NEPA (40 CFR Parts 1500-1508); and DOE NEPA Implementing Procedures (10 CFR Part 1021). Naval Reactors will conduct a public hearing and receive comments on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement, which addresses the potential environmental impacts related to the disposal of the S1C Prototype reactor plant, located in Windsor, Connecticut.

This Notice announces that the Draft Environmental Impact Statement will be available to the public at the Windsor, Connecticut Public Library or by mail upon request. Upon completion of general distribution of the document, Naval Reactors will file the Draft **Environmental Impact Statement with** the Environmental Protection Agency, which will then publish a notice in the Federal Register to start the formal comment period.

DATES: Naval Reactors invites interested agencies, organizations, and the general public to provide oral or written comments on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement. All written comments on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement are due by August 19, 1996. Oral comments will be accepted at the public hearing to be held August 7, 1996 at Windsor Town Hall at the address listed below.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be sent to Mr. C. G. Overton, Chief, Windsor Field Office, Office of Naval Reactors, U.S. Department of Energy, P.O. Box 393, Windsor, CT 06095; telephone (860) 687-5610. Copies of the Draft **Environmental Impact Statement also** 

may be requested from Mr. Overton. The public hearing will be held at 7:00 pm on August 7, 1996 at the Windsor Town Hall, 275 Broad Street, Windsor, CT

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The S1C Prototype reactor plant is located on the 10.8 acre Windsor Site in Windsor, Connecticut, approximately 5 miles north of Hartford. The S1C Prototype reactor plant first started operation in 1959 and served for more than 30 years as both a facility for testing reactor plant components and equipment and for training Naval personnel. As a result of the end of the Cold War and the downsizing of the Navy, the S1C Prototype reactor plant was shut down in 1993. Since then, the S1C Prototype reactor plant has been defueled, drained, and placed in a stable protective storage condition.

## Alternatives Considered

### 1. Prompt Dismantlement

This alternative would involve the prompt dismantlement of the reactor plant. All structures would be removed from the Windsor Site, and the Windsor Site would be released for unrestricted use. To the extent practicable, the resulting low-level radioactive metals would be recycled at existing commercial facilities that recycle radioactive metals. The remaining lowlevel radioactive waste would be disposed of at the DOE Savannah River Site in South Carolina. The Savannah River Site currently receives low-level radioactive waste from Naval Reactors sites in the eastern United States, Both the volume and radioactive content of the S1C Prototype reactor plant waste would be within the range of impacts of low-level radioactive waste that is currently received at Savannah River from Naval Reactors sites. Transportation of low-level radioactive waste to the DOE Hanford Site in

Washington State also is evaluated.

### 2. Deferred Dismantlement

This alternative would involve keeping the defueled S1C Prototype reactor plant in protective storage for 30 years before dismantling it. Deferring dismantlement for 30 years would allow nearly all of the cobalt-60 radioactivity to decay away. Nearly all of the gamma radiation within the reactor plant comes from cobalt-60.

## 3. No Action

This alternative would involve keeping the defueled S1C Prototype reactor plant in protective storage