#### [Docket No. 96F-0205]

# Sumitomo Chemical America, Inc.; Filing of Food Additive Petition

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing that Sumitomo Chemical America, Inc., has filed a petition proposing that the food additive regulations be amended to provide for the additional safe use of 3,9-bis{2-[3-(3-tert-butyl-4-hydroxy-5methylphenyl)propionyloxy-1,1dimethylethyl}-2,4,8,10tetraoxaspiro[5.5]-undecane as an antioxidant and/or stabilizer in propylene homopolymer and highpropylene olefin copolymer articles intended for use in contact with food. **DATES:** Written comments on the petitioner's environmental assessment by August 2, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Submit written comments to the Dockets Management Branch (HFA–305), Food and Drug Administration, 12420 Parklawn Dr., rm. 1–23, Rockville, MD 20857.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Vir D. Anand, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (HFS-216), Food and Drug Administration, 200 C St. SW. Washington, DC 20204, 202-418-3081. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(b)(5) (21 U.S.C. 348(b)(5))), notice is given that a food additive petition (FAP 6B4510) has been filed by Sumitomo Chemical America, Inc., c/o Keller and Heckman, 1001 G St. NW., suite 500 West, Washington, DC 20001. The petition proposes to amend the food additive regulations in § 178.2010 Antioxidants and/or stabilizers for polymers (21 CFR 178.2010) to provide for the additional safe use of 3,9-bis{2-[3-(3-tert-butyl-4-hydroxy-5methylphenyl)propionyloxy]-1,1dimethylethyl}-2,4,8,10tetraoxaspiro[5.5]-undecane as an antioxidant and/or stabilizer in

The potential environmental impact of this action is being reviewed. To encourage public participation consistent with regulations promulgated under the National Environmental Policy Act (40 CFR 1501.4(b)), the agency is placing the environmental assessment submitted with the petition that is the subject of this notice on display at the Dockets Management Branch (address above) for public review and comment. Interested persons may, on or before August 2, 1996,

propylene homopolymer and high-

propylene olefin copolymer articles

intended for use in contact with food.

submit to the Dockets Management Branch (address above) written comments. Two copies of any comments are to be submitted, except that individuals may submit one copy. Comments are to be identified with the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document. Received comments may be seen in the office above between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday. FDA will also place on public display any amendments to, or comments on, the petitioner's environmental assessment without further announcement in the Federal Register. If, based on its review, the agency finds that an environmental impact statement is not required and this petition results in a regulation, the notice of availability of the agency's finding of no significant impact and the evidence supporting that finding will be published with the regulation in the Federal Register in accordance with 21 CFR 25.40(c).

Dated: June 17, 1996.

George H. Pauli,

Acting Director, Office of Premarket Approval, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition.

[FR Doc. 96–16976 Filed 7–2–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4160–01–F

# [Docket No. 95M-0195]

## Ciba Corning Diagnostics Corp.; Premarket Approval of ACS<sup>TM</sup> AFP

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

**ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing its approval of the application by Ciba Corning Diagnostics Corp., Medfield, MA, for premarket approval, under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the act), of ACS<sup>TM</sup> AFP. FDA's Center for Devices and Radiological Health (CDRH) notified the applicant by letter of September 29, 1995, of the approval of the application.

**DATES:** Petitions for administrative review by August 2, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Written requests for copies of the summary of safety and effectiveness data and petitions for administrative review to the Dockets Management Branch (HFA–305), Food and Drug Administration, 12420 Parklawn Dr., rm. 1–23, Rockville, MD 20857.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Peter E. Maxim, Center for Devices and Radiological Health (HFZ-440), Food and Drug Administration, 9200 Corporate Blvd., Rockville, MD 20850, 301–594–1293.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On October 18, 1993, Ciba Corning Diagnostics Corp., Medfield, MA 02052-1688, submitted to CDRH an application for premarket approval of ACSTM AFP. The device is a two-site chemiluminescence immunoassay and is indicated for the quantitative determination of alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) in human serum and in amniotic fluid from specimens obtained at 15 to 20 weeks gestation as an aid in detecting open neural tube defects (NTD's) when used in conjunction with ultrasonography and amniography; and in human serum, as an aid in managing nonseminomatous testicular cancer, when used in conjunction with physical examination, histology/pathology, and other clinical evaluation procedures, using the Ciba Corning ACS:180 automated chemiluminescence system.

In accordance with the provisions of section 515(c)(2) of the act (21 U.S.C. 360e(c)(2)) as amended by the Safe Medical Devices Act of 1990, this premarket approval application (PMA) was not referred to the Immunology Devices Panel of the Medical Devices Advisory Committee, an FDA advisory committee, for review and recommendation because the information in the PMA substantially duplicates information previously reviewed by this panel.

On September 29, 1995, CDRH approved the application by a letter to the applicant from the Director of the Office of Device Evaluation, CDRH.

A summary of the safety and effectiveness data on which CDRH based its approval is on file in the Dockets Management Branch (address above) and is available from that office upon written request. Requests should be identified with the name of the device and the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document.

Opportunity for Administrative Review

Section 515(d)(3) of the act authorizes any interested person to petition, under section 515(g) of the act, for administrative review of CDRH's decision to approve this application. A petitioner may request either a formal hearing under part 12 (21 CFR part 12) of FDA's administrative practices and procedures regulations or a review of the application and CDRH's action by an independent advisory committee of experts. A petition is to be in the form of a petition for reconsideration under § 10.33(b) (21 CFR 10.33(b)). A petitioner shall identify the form of review requested (hearing or

independent advisory committee) and shall submit with the petition supporting data and information showing that there is a genuine and substantial issue of material fact for resolution through administrative review. After reviewing the petition, FDA will decide whether to grant or deny the petition and will publish a notice of its decision in the Federal Register. If FDA grants the petition, the notice will state the issue to be reviewed, the form of the review to be used, the persons who may participate in the review, the time and place where the review will occur, and other details.

Petitioners may, at any time on or before August 2, 1996, file with the Dockets Management Branch (address above) two copies of each petition and supporting data and information, identified with the name of the device and the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document. Received petitions may be seen in the office above between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

This notice is issued under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (secs. 515(d), 520(h) (21 U.S.C. 360e(d), 360j(h))) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs (21 CFR 5.10) and redelegated to the Director, Center for Devices and Radiological Health (21 CFR 5.53).

Joseph A. Levitt, Deputy Director for Regulations Policy, Center for Devices and Radiological Health. [FR Doc. 96–16885 Filed 7–2–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4160–01–F

### [Docket No. 96M-0199]

Dated: June 13, 1996.

# Bayer Corp.; Premarket Approval of Technicon Immuno 1® CEA Assay

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing its approval of the application by Bayer Corp., 511 Benedict Ave., Tarrytown, NY, for premarket approval, under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the act), of Immuno 1 CEA Assay. FDA's Center for Devices and Radiological Health (CDRH) notified the applicant, by letter of January 30, 1996, of the approval of the application. DATES: Petitions for administrative review by August 2, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Written requests for copies of the summary of safety and effectiveness data and petitions for administrative review to the Dockets

Management Branch (HFA–305), Food and Drug Administration, 12420 Parklawn Dr., rm. 1–23, Rockville, MD 20857.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Peter E. Maxim, Center for Devices and Radiological Health (HFZ-440), Food

Radiological Health (HFZ–440), Food and Drug Administration, 9200 Corporate Blvd., Rockville, MD 20850, 301–594–1293.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On September 29, 1994, Miles, Inc., Tarrytown, NY 10591, submitted to CDRH an application for premarket approval of Immuno 1® CEA Assay. The device is an in vitro diagnostic device intended to quantitatively measure carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) in human serum on the Technicon Immuno 1® system. Measurements of CEA aid in the management of cancer patients by monitoring CEA concentrations. This diagnostic method is not intended for use on any other system.

In accordance with the provisions of section 515(c)(2) of the act (21 U.S.C. 360e(c)(2)) as amended by the Safe Medical Devices Act of 1990, this premarket approval application (PMA) was not referred to the Immunology Devices Panel of the Medical Devices Advisory Committee, an FDA advisory committee, for review and recommendation because the information in the PMA substantially duplicates information previously reviewed by this committee.

On January 30, 1996, CDRH approved the application by a letter to the applicant from the Director of the Office of Device Evaluation, CDRH.

A summary of the safety and effectiveness data on which CDRH based its approval is on file in the Dockets Management Branch (address above) and is available from that office upon written request. Requests should be identified with the name of the device and the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document.

Opportunity for Administrative Review

Section 515(d)(3) of the act authorizes any interested person to petition, under section 515(g) of the act, for administrative review of CDRH's decision to approve this application. A petitioner may request either a formal hearing under part 12 (21 CFR part 12) of FDA's administrative practices and procedures regulations or a review of the application and CDRH's action by an independent advisory committee of experts. A petition is to be in the form of a petition for reconsideration under § 10.33(b) (21 CFR 10.33(b)). A

petitioner shall identify the form of review requested (hearing or independent advisory committee) and shall submit with the petition supporting data and information showing there is a genuine and substantial issue of material fact for resolution through administrative review. After reviewing the petition, FDA will decide whether to grant or deny the petition and will publish a notice of its decision in the Federal Register. If FDA grants the petition, the notice will state the issue to be reviewed, the form of the review to be used, the persons who may participate in the review, the time and place where the review will occur, and other details.

Petitioners may, at any time on or before August 2, 1996, file with the Dockets Management Branch (address above) two copies of each petition and supporting data and information, identified with the name of the device and the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document. Received petitions may be seen in the office above between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

This notice is issued under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (secs. 515(d), 520(h) (21 U.S.C. 360e(d), 360j(h))) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs (21 CFR 5.10) and redelegated to the Director, Center for Devices and Radiological Health (21 CFR 5.53).

Dated: April 9, 1996.
Joseph A. Levitt,
Deputy Director for Regulations Policy, Center for Devices and Radiological Health.
[FR Doc. 96–16972 Filed 7–2–96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4160–01–F

## Product and Establishment License Applications, Refusal to File; Meeting of Oversight Committee

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

**ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing a meeting of its standing oversight committee in the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER) that conducts a periodic review of CBER's use of its refusal to file (RTF) practices on product license applications (PLA's) and establishment license applications (ELA's). CBER's RTF oversight committee examines all RTF decisions that occurred during the previous quarter to assess consistency across CBER offices and divisions in RTF decisions.