periods for telecommunications engineering records.

13. General Services Administration (N1–269–96–1). Financial management projects case files and clearance records relating to proposed legislation.

14. National Archives and Records Administration (N2–326–96–1). Routine engineering construction project files in the custody of the National Archives relating to the construction and maintenance of supporting facilities at DOE and DOD test sites in the Pacific Ocean Area and Western United States.

15. United States Postal Service (N1–028–96–1). San Francisco Regional Records, 1942–63, including discontinued APO files, routine Congressional correspondence and transportation contracts.

Dated: July 3, 1996.
James W. Moore,
Assistant Archivist for Records
Administration.
[FR Doc. 96–17766 Filed 7–11–96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7515–01–M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-416]

Entergy Operations, Inc., System
Energy Resources, Inc., South
Mississippi Electric Power
Association, Mississippi Power & Light
Company; Grand Gulf Nuclear Station,
Unit 1, Environmental Assessment and
Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF– 29, issued to Entergy Operations, Inc. (the licensee), for operation of the Grand Gulf Nuclear Station, Unit 1, (GGNS), located in Claiborne County, Mississippi.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would revise the operating license and the antitrust conditions of the license to account for the change in name of Mississippi Power & Light Company (MP&L) to Entergy Mississippi, Inc. The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application for amendment dated May 6, 1996.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action is needed because the name of Mississippi Power & Light (MP&L) will be changed to Entergy Mississippi, Inc. The licensee has stated that this is only a name

change, and the corporate existence continues uninterrupted and all legal characteristics remain the same. There is no change in the state of incorporation, registered agent, registered office, directors, officers, rights or liabilities of the company. Nor is there a change in the function of the Company or the way in which it does business. MP&L's financial responsibility for GGNS and its sources of funds to support the facility will remain the same. Further, this name change does not impact the existing ownership of GGNS or the existing entitlement to power and will not alter the existing antitrust license conditions applicable to MP&L or MP&L's ability to comply with these conditions or with any of its other obligations or responsibilities under the operating license for GGNS.

The licensee also stated that the company, Entergy Mississippi, Inc., will still own all of the same assets as did MP&L, serve the same customers, and will continue all the existing obligations and commitments. There is also no change in the management or the procedures that operate GGNS. The financial responsibility for GGNS and the funds to support the facility will remain the same.

The licensee further stated that the name change is being made to improve customer identification by establishing a consistent, well recognized name, "Entergy", for the region that the licensee serves.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that the change in company name will have no effect on the radiological and nonradiological operation of the plant.

The change will not increase the probability or consequences of any accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite from the plant, and there is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure at the plant. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does not involve features located entirely within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant

nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action. Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for GGNS.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on June 10, 1996, the staff consulted with the Mississippi State official, Robert Goff of the Division of Radiological Health, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated May 6, 1996, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Judge George W. Armstrong Library, 220 S. Commerce Street, Natchez, Mississippi 39120.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 2nd day of July, 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Jack N. Donohew,

Senior Project Manager, Project Directorate IV-1, Division of Reactor Projects III/IV, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 96–17795 Filed 7–11–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

Regulatory Guide; Issuance, Availability

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has issued a revision to a guide in its Regulatory Guide Series. This series has been developed to describe and make available to the public such information as methods acceptable to the NRC staff for implementing specific parts of the Commission's regulations, techniques used by the staff in evaluating specific problems or postulated accidents, and data needed by the staff in its review of applications for permits and licenses.

Revision 1 of Regulatory Guide 1.153, "Criteria for Safety Systems," describes a method acceptable to the NRC staff for complying with the Commission's regulations with respect to the design, reliability, qualification, and testability of the power, instrumentation, and control portions of safety systems of nuclear power plants. This guide endorses the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Standard Std 603–1991, "Criteria for Safety Systems of Nuclear Power Generating Stations" (including the correction sheet dated January 30, 1995).

Comments and suggestions in connection with items for inclusion in guides currently being developed or improvements in all published guides are encouraged at any time. Written comments may be submitted to the Rules Review and Directives Branch, Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555.

Regulatory guides are available for inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 2120 L Street NW., Washington, DC. Single copies of regulatory guides may be obtained free of charge by writing the Office of Administration, Attention: Distribution and Services Section, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by fax at (301)415-2260. Issued guides may also be purchased from the National Technical Information Service on a standing order basis. Details on this service may be obtained by writing NTIS, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161. Regulatory guides are not copyrighted, and Commission approval is not required to reproduce them.

(5 U.S.C. 552(a))

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 17th day of June 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Themis P. Speis,

Deputy Director, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research.

[FR Doc. 96–17796 Filed 7–11–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

PENSION BENEFIT GUARANTY CORPORATION

Survey of Nonparticipating Single Premium Group Annuity Rates

AGENCY: Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

ACTION: Notice of submission for OMB review; comment request.

SUMMARY: The American Council of Life Insurance conducts a quarterly survey of insurance company prices for annuity contracts for the PBGC. Responses to the survey are voluntary. The Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation has requested that the Office of Management and Budget extend a previously approved collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act for this voluntary collection of information, which is not contained in a regulation. OMB's current approval of this collection of information (OMB control number 1212-0030) expires on August 31, 1996.

ADDRESSES: All written comments should be addressed to: Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs of OMB, Attention: Desk Officer for the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, 725 17th Street, NW., Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503. The request for approval will be available for public inspection at the PBGC Communications and Public Affairs Department, suite 240, 1200 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005, between the hours of 9 a.m. and 4 p.m.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Marc L. Jordan, Attorney, Office of the General Counsel, Suite 340, 1200 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005, 202–326–4026 (202–326–4179 for TTY and TDD). (These are not toll-free numbers.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) establishes policies and procedures for controlling the paperwork burdens imposed by Federal agencies on the public. The Act vests the Office of Management and Budget with regulatory responsibility over these burdens, and OMB has promulgated rules on the clearance of collections of information by Federal agencies.

Each month the PBGC publishes the interest rates to be used under its

regulations for plans terminating or undergoing mass withdrawal during the next month. (See 29 CFR Parts 4044 and 4281). The interest rates are intended to reflect current conditions in the investment and annuity markets.

To determine these interest rates, the PBGC gathers pricing data from the insurance companies through a quarterly "Survey of Nonparticipating Single Premium Group Annuity Rates." The survey is sent out by the American Council of Life Insurance, which tabulates it to assure that the PBGC receives blind data. Beginning in 1996, the September survey will request that respondents specify the mortality assumptions used in developing the pricing quotes.

The survey is directed at insurance companies that have volunteered to participate, most or all of which are members of the American Council of Life Insurance. The survey will be conducted quarterly and will be sent to approximately 14 insurance companies. Based on experience under the current approval, the PBGC estimates that 10 insurance companies will complete and return the survey each quarter and that each respondent will take 45 minutes to complete it. The annual burden of responding to the survey is therefore estimated to be 30 hours.

On April 9, 1996, the PBGC published in the Federal Register a notice of intention to request OMB approval of this collection. No comments were received in response to the notice.

Issued at Washington, D.C., this 5th day of July, 1996.

Martin Slate,

Executive Director, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

[FR Doc. 96–17801 Filed 7–11–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7708–01–P

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

Proposed Collection; Comment Request for Review of a Revised Information Collection: RI 92–22

AGENCY: Office of Personnel

Management. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13, May 22, 1995), this notice announces that the Office of Personnel Management intends to submit to the Office of Management and Budget a request for clearance of a revised information collection. RI 92–22, Annuity Supplement Earnings Report, is used annually to obtain the amount of