

what was old at the time of the '039 invention, in order to distinguish what was new. Explain in detail how, if at all, the Mohan et al. reference should be used to aid in interpreting claim 131.

2. What are the differences, if any, between what the Mohan et al. reference discloses to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention and the invention of claim 131, as interpreted by the ALJ?

3. What are the differences, if any, between what the Mohan et al. reference discloses to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention and the invention of claim 131, as interpreted by respondents?

In connection with final disposition of this investigation, the Commission may issue (1) an order that could result in the exclusion of the subject articles from entry into the United States, and/or (2) cease and desist orders that could result in respondents being required to cease and desist from engaging in unfair acts in the importation and sale of such articles. Accordingly, the Commission is interested in receiving written submissions that address the form of remedy, if any, that should be ordered. If a party seeks exclusion of an article from entry into the United States for purposes other than entry for consumption, the party should so indicate and provide information establishing that activities involving other types of entry either are adversely affecting it or are likely to do so. For background, see the Commission Opinion, *In the Matter of Certain Devices for Connecting Computers via Telephone Lines*, Inv. No. 337-TA-360.

If the Commission contemplates some form of remedy, it must consider the effects of that remedy upon the public interest. The factors the Commission will consider include the effect that an exclusion order and/or cease and desist orders would have on (1) the public health and welfare, (2) competitive conditions in the U.S. economy, (3) U.S. production of articles that are like or directly competitive with those that are subject to investigation, and (4) U.S. consumers. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving written submissions that address the aforementioned public interest factors in the context of this investigation. The Commission notes the pending bankruptcy petition of complainant and asks the parties to address its relevance, if any, to the question of remedy.

If the Commission orders some form of remedy, the President has 60 days to approve or disapprove the Commission's action. During this period, the subject articles would be entitled to enter the United States under

a bond, in an amount determined by the Commission and prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving submissions concerning the amount of the bond that should be imposed.

Written Submissions: The parties to the investigation are requested to file written submissions on the issues under review. The submissions should be concise and thoroughly referenced to the record in this investigation, including references to specific exhibits and testimony. Additionally, the parties to the investigation, interested government agencies, and any other interested persons are encouraged to file written submissions on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding. Such submissions should address the June 12, 1996, recommended determination by the ALJ on remedy and bonding. Complainant and the Commission investigative attorney are also requested to submit proposed remedial orders for the Commission's consideration. The written submissions and proposed remedial orders must be filed no later than the close of business on July 31, 1996. Reply submissions must be filed no later than the close of business on August 7, 1996. No further submissions will be permitted unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

Persons filing written submissions must file with the Office of the Secretary the original document and 14 true copies thereof on or before the deadlines stated above. Any person desiring to submit a document (or portion thereof) to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment unless the information has already been granted such treatment during the proceedings. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary of the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment is granted by the Commission will be treated accordingly. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. § 1337) and sections 210.45-.51 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.45-.51).

Copies of the public version of the ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business

hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on the matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

Issued: July 17, 1996.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-18796 Filed 7-23-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

FEDERAL MINE SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Meeting

July 17, 1996.

TIME AND DATE: 10:00 a.m., Thursday, July 25, 1996.

PLACE: Room 6005, 6th Floor, 1730 K Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

STATUS: Open.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: The Commission will consider and act upon the following:

1. Jim Walter Resources, Inc., Docket Nos. SE 94-74, SE 94-84, and SE 94-115. (Issues include whether violations of 30 CFR §§ 75.400 & 75.1725(a) were the result of the operator's unwarrantable failure to comply with the standards.)

2. Consolidation Coal Co., Docket No. WEVA 94-19. (Issues include whether the operator qualified for the exemptions contained in 30 CFR § 75.340(b) (4) & (6) to the underground water pump standard set forth in 30 CFR § 75.340(a); whether the alleged violation resulted from the operator's unwarrantable failure; and whether the alleged violation was "serious".)

Any person attending this meeting who requires special accessibility features and/or auxiliary aids, such as sign language interpreters, must inform the Commission in advance of those needs. Subject to 29 CFR § 2706.150(a)(3) and § 2706.160(d).

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFO: Jean Ellen (202) 653-5629/(202) 708-9300 for TDD Relay/1-800-877-8339 for toll free.

Jean H. Ellen,

Chief Docket Clerk.

[FR Doc. 96-18886 Filed 7-22-96; 9:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6735-01-M

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION**[Notice (96-087)]****Notice of Prospective Patent License****AGENCY:** National Aeronautics and Space Administration.**ACTION:** Notice of prospective patent license.

SUMMARY: NASA hereby gives notice that Containerless Research, Inc., of Evanston, Illinois, has applied for an exclusive license to practice the invention described in U.S. Patent No. 4,521,854, entitled "Closed Loop Electrostatic Levitation System," which was issued on June 4, 1985, to the United States of America as represented by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. Written objections to the prospective grant of a license should be sent to Thomas H. Jones, Patent Counsel, NASA Management Office-JPL.

DATES: Responses to this notice must be received by September 23, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas H. Jones, Patent Counsel, NASA Management Office-JPL, Mail Station 180-801, Pasadena, CA 91109; telephone (818) 354-5179.

Dated: July 17, 1996.

Edward A. Frankle,
General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 96-18804 Filed 7-23-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7510-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards, Subcommittee Meeting on Planning and Procedures; Notice of Meeting**

The ACRS Subcommittee on Planning and Procedures will hold a meeting on August 6, 1996, Room T-2B1, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

The entire meeting will be open to public attendance, with the exception of a portion that may be closed pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552b(c) (2) and (6) to discuss organizational and personnel matters that relate solely to internal personnel rules and practices of ACRS, and matters the release of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

The agenda for the subject meeting shall be as follows:

Tuesday, August 6, 1996—1:00 p.m. until 3:00 p.m.

The Subcommittee will discuss proposed ACRS activities and related matters. It may also discuss the status of

appointment of members to the ACRS. The purpose of this meeting is to gather information, analyze relevant issues and facts, and to formulate proposed positions and actions, as appropriate, for deliberation by the full Committee.

Oral statements may be presented by members of the public with the concurrence of the Subcommittee Chairman; written statements will be accepted and made available to the Committee. Electronic recordings will be permitted only during those portions of the meeting that are open to the public, and questions may be asked only by members of the Subcommittee, its consultants, and staff. Persons desiring to make oral statements should notify the cognizant ACRS staff person named below five days prior to the meeting, if possible, so that appropriate arrangements can be made.

Further information regarding topics to be discussed, the scheduling of sessions open to the public, whether the meeting has been cancelled or rescheduled, the Chairman's ruling on requests for the opportunity to present oral statements, and the time allotted therefor can be obtained by contacting the cognizant ACRS staff person, Dr. John T. Larkins (telephone: 301/415-7360) between 7:30 a.m. and 4:15 p.m. (EDT). Persons planning to attend this meeting are urged to contact the above named individual one or two working days prior to the meeting to be advised of any changes in schedule, etc., that may have occurred.

Dated: July 18, 1996.

Sam Duraiswamy,
Chief, Nuclear Reactors Branch.

[FR Doc. 96-18779 Filed 7-23-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards, Subcommittee Meeting on Probabilistic Risk Assessment; Notice of Meeting

The ACRS Subcommittee on Probabilistic Risk Assessment will hold a meeting on August 7, 1996, Room T-2B3, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

The entire meeting will be open to public attendance.

The agenda for the subject meeting shall be as follows:

Wednesday, August 7, 1996—8:30 a.m. until the conclusion of business.

The Subcommittee will discuss risk-based inservice testing and inservice inspection requirements, pilot applications for risk-informed and performance-based regulations, and related matters. The Subcommittee will also continue its discussion of issues

identified in the Staff Requirements Memoranda dated May 15 and June 11, 1996, including: the role of performance-based regulation in the PRA Implementation Plan; plant-specific application of safety goals; and requirements for risk neutrality versus the allowance for acceptable increases in risk. The purpose of this meeting is to gather information, analyze relevant issues and facts, and to formulate proposed positions and actions, as appropriate, for deliberation by the full Committee.

Oral statements may be presented by members of the public with the concurrence of the Subcommittee Chairman; written statements will be accepted and made available to the Committee. Electronic recordings will be permitted only during those portions of the meeting that are open to the public, and questions may be asked only by members of the Subcommittee, its consultants, and staff. Persons desiring to make oral statements should notify the cognizant ACRS staff engineer named below five days prior to the meeting, if possible, so that appropriate arrangements can be made.

During the initial portion of the meeting, the Subcommittee, along with any of its consultants who may be present, may exchange preliminary views regarding matters to be considered during the balance of the meeting.

The Subcommittee will then hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of the NRC staff, its consultants, and other interested persons regarding this review.

Further information regarding topics to be discussed, whether the meeting has been cancelled or rescheduled, the Chairman's ruling on requests for the opportunity to present oral statements and the time allotted therefor can be obtained by contacting the cognizant ACRS staff engineer, Mr. Michael T. Markley (telephone 301/415-6885) between 7:30 a.m. and 4:15 p.m. (EDT). Persons planning to attend this meeting are urged to contact the above named individual one or two working days prior to the meeting to be advised of any potential changes to the agenda, etc., that may have occurred.

Dated: July 18, 1996.

Sam Duraiswamy,
Chief, Nuclear Reactors Branch.

[FR Doc. 96-18780 Filed 7-23-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P