the risks associated with putable advance funding.

(2) If a Bank terminates a putable advance prior to the stated maturity date of such advance, the Bank shall offer to provide market rate replacement funding to the member for the remaining term to maturity of the putable advance.

(3) For purposes of this paragraph (d), the term *putable advance* means an advance that a Bank may, at its discretion, terminate and require the member to repay prior to the stated maturity date of the advance.

Dated: July 3, 1996.

By the Board of Directors of the Federal Housing Finance Board.

Bruce A. Morrison,

Chairperson.

[FR Doc. 96-19526 Filed 8-01-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6725-01-U

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

## 14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 96-ACE-9]

# Proposed Establishment of Class E Airspace; Mosby, MO

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** Construction is near completion of the new Clay County Regional Airport at Mosby, MO, with a projected opening in late 1996. The FAA has developed Standard Instrument Approach Procedures (SIAP) to the Clay County Regional Airport based on the Global Positioning System (GPS) and the Non-directional Radio Beacon (NDB) which have made this action necessary. The effect of this rule is to provide additional controlled airspace for aircraft executing the SIAPs at the Clay County Regional Airport. DATES: Comments must be received on or before September 6, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on the proposal in triplicate to: Manager, Operations Branch, ACE–530, Federal Aviation Administration, Docket No. 96–ACE–9, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, MO 64106.

The official docket may be examined in the Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel for the Central Region at the same address between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

An informal docket may also be examined during normal business hours

in the office of the Manager, Operations Branch, Air Traffic Division, at the address listed above.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kathy Randolph, Air Traffic Division, Operations Branch, ACE–530C, Federal Aviation Administration, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, MO 64106; telephone (816) 426–3408.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

## Comments Invited

Interested parties are invited to participate in this proposed rulemaking by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Comments that provide the factual basis supporting the views and suggestions presented are particularly helpful in developing reasoned regulatory decisions on the proposal. Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy-related aspects of the proposal. Communications should identify the airspace docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address listed above. Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments on this notice must submit with those comments a self-address, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Airspace Docket No. 96-ACE-9." The postcard will be date/time stamped and returned to the commenter. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in light of comments received. All comments submitted will be available for examination in the Rules Docket both before and after the closing date for comments. A report summarizing each substantive public contact with FAA personnel concerned with this rulemaking will be filed in the docket.

# Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) by submitting a request to the Federal Aviation Administration, Office of Public Affairs, Attention: Public Inquiry Center, APA–230, 800 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20591, or by calling (202) 267–3484. Communications must identify the notice number of this NPRM. Persons interested in being placed on a mailing list for future NPRMs should also request a copy of Advisory Circular No. 11–2A, which described the procedures.

The Proposal

The FAA is considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 71) to provide additional controlled airspace for the new SIAPs at the Clay County Regional Airport. The additional airspace would segregate aircraft operating under Visual Flight Rules (VFR) conditions from aircraft operating under Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) procedures. The area would be depicted on appropriate aeronautical charts thereby enabling pilots to circumnavigate the area, continue to operate under VFR to and from the airport, or otherwise comply with IFR procedures. Upon publication of the procedures, the airport status will change from VFR to IFR. Class E airspace designations for airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth are published in paragraph 6005 of FAA Order 7400.9C, dated August 17, 1995, and effective September 16, 1995, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Class E airspace designation listed in this document would be published subsequently in the order.

The FAA has determined that this proposed regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. Therefore, this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a Regulatory Evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this proposed rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 71) as follows:

## PART 71—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 71 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g); 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959-1963 Comp., p. 389; 14 CFR 11.69.

#### §71.1 [Amended]

2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of Federal Aviation Administration Order 7400.9C, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 17, 1995, and effective September 16, 1995, is amended as follows:

Paragraph 6005 Class E airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth.

ACE MO E5 Mosby, MO [New] Clay County Regional Airport

(Lat 39°19'50" N., long. 94°18'36" W.) Mosby NDB

(Lat. 39°20'46" N., long. 94°18'27" W.)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 6.4-mile radius of Clay County Regional Airport and within 2.5 miles each side of the 007° bearing from the Mosby NDB extending from the 6.4mile radius to 7.9 miles north of the airport. \* \*

Issued in Kansas City, MO, on July 17, 1996.

Herman J. Lyons, Jr.,

Manager, Air Traffic Division Central Region. [FR Doc. 96-19676 Filed 8-1-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

## **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

**Employment Standards** Administration; Wage and Hour Division

Office of the Secretary

## 29 CFR Parts 1 and 5

**Procedures for Predetermination of** Wage Rates (29 CFR Part 1); Labor Standards Provisions Applicable to **Contracts Covering Federally Financed** and Assisted Construction and to Certain Nonconstruction Contracts (29 CFR Part 5)

AGENCY: Wage and Hour Division, **Employment Standards Administration**, Labor.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** This document seeks comment on the Department's proposal to continue the suspension of the implementation of regulations previously issued under the Davis-Bacon and Related Acts while the Department conducts additional rulemaking proceedings to determine whether further amendments should be made to those regulations. These regulations govern the employment of "semi-skilled helpers" on federallyfinanced and federally-assisted construction contracts subject to the prevailing wage standards of the Davis-Bacon and Related Acts (DBRA). **DATES:** Comments are due September 3,

1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit written comments to Maria Echaveste, Administrator, Wage and Hour Division, Employment Standards Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room S-3502, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20210. Any

commenters desiring notification of receipt of comments should include a self-addressed, stamped post card.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: William W. Gross, Director, Office of Wage Determinations, Wage and Hour Division, Employment Standards Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room S-3028, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210. Telephone (202) 219-8353. (This is not a toll free number.)

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

## I. Paperwork Reduction Act

This rule does not contain any new information collection requirements and does not modify any existing requirements.

Thus, the rule contains no reporting or recordkeeping requirements subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

## II. Background

On May 28, 1982, the Department published revised final Regulations, 29 CFR Part 1, Procedures for Predetermination of Wage Rates, and 29 CFR Part 5, Subpart A—Davis-Bacon and Related Acts Provisions and Procedures (47 FR 23644 and 23658, respectively), which, among other things, would have allowed contractors to use semi-skilled helpers on Davis-Bacon projects at wages lower than those paid to skilled journeymen, wherever the helper classification, as defined in the regulations, was "identifiable" in the area. These rules represented a reversal of a longstanding Department of Labor practice by allowing some overlap between the duties of helpers, and journeymen and laborers. To protect against possible abuse, a provision was included limiting the number of helpers which could be used on a covered project to a maximum of two helpers for every three journeymen. See 29 CFR 1.7(d), 29 CFR 5.2(n)(4), 29 CFR 5.5(a)(1)(ii)(A), and 29 CFR 5.5(a)(4)(iv).

As a result of a lawsuit brought by the **Building and Construction Trades** Department, AFL-CIO, and a number of individual unions, implementation of

the regulations was enjoined. Building and Construction Trades Department, AFL-CIO, et al. v. Donovan, et al., 553 F. Supp. 352 (D.D.C. 1982). The U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia issued a decision upholding the Department's authority to allow increased use of helpers and approving the regulatory definition of a helper's duties, but struck down the provision for issuing a helper wage rate where helpers were "identifiable," thereby requiring a modification to the regulations to provide that the helper classification be "prevailing" in the area before it may be used. Building and Construction Trades Department, AFL-CIO, et al., v. Donovan, et al., 712 F.2d 611 (D.C. Cir. 1983), cert. denied, 464 U.S. 1069 (1984).

On January 27, 1989, DOL published a final rule in the Federal Register (54 FR 4234) to add the requirement that the use of a particular helper classification must prevail in an area in order to be recognized, and to define the circumstances in which the use of helpers would be deemed to prevail. (54 FR 4234). Following the Court's lifting of the injunction by Order dated September 24, 1990, the Department published a Federal Register notice on December 4, 1990, implementing the helper regulations effective February 4, 1991 (55 FR 50148).

In April 1991, Congress passed the Dire Emergency Supplemental Appropriations Act of 1991, Public Law 102-27 (105 Stat. 130), which was signed into law on April 10, 1991. Section 303 of Public Law 102-27 (105 Stat. 152) prohibited the Department of Labor from spending any funds to implement or administer the helper regulations. In support of the prohibition, Chairman Ford of the House Education and Labor Committee stated that "Congress should insist that the administration recognize that authorizing legislation is the only appropriate vehicle for dealing with fundamental changes in the operation of the Davis-Bacon Act." In compliance with the Congressional directive, the Department did not implement or administer the helper regulations for the remainder of fiscal year 1991

After fiscal year 1991 concluded and subsequent continuing resolutions expired, a new appropriations act was passed which did not include a ban restricting the implementation of the helper regulations. The Department issued All Agency Memorandum No. 161 on January 29, 1992, instructing the contracting agencies to include the helper contract in contracts for which bids were solicited or negotiations were

concluded after that date.