lumber market as well as the index used in the Pacific Northwest.

Until April 2, when the Under Secretary of Agriculture extended their contracts for 120 days, timber sale purchasers outside of the Pacific Northwest had not received any additional time to complete their contracts. Some of these timber sale purchasers are still facing contract default, mill closure, and bankruptcy. A contract extension would assist these purchasers by giving additional time in which the market may improve or in which they could mix their high-priced sales with lower-priced sales.

The Government benefits if defaulted timber sale contracts, mill closures, and bankruptcies can be avoided by granting contract extensions, because having numerous, economically viable timber sale purchasers both maintains market opportunities and increases competition for National Forest System timber sales. These factors result in higher prices paid for such timber. In addition, the Government would avoid the difficult and expensive process of collection contract default damages.

Therefore, pursuant to 16 U.S.C. 472a, 36 CFR 223.115, and the authority delegated to the Chief at 7 CFR 2.60, I have determined that it is in the substantial overriding public interest to extend for 1 year National Forest System timber sale contracts that were awarded prior to January 1, 1995. This finding does not apply to contracts in western Washington and western Oregon that have been previously extended under market-related contract term addition contract provisions or to salvage sale contracts that were sold with the objective of harvesting deteriorating timber. For those contracts extended pursuant to this finding, periodic payments also will be deferred for 1 year. To receive the extension and periodic payment deferral, purchasers must make written request and agree to release the Forest Service from damages for the replacement cost of timber if the contract is canceled in the future.

Dated: July 31, 1996. Gray F. Reynolds, Acting Chief. [FR Doc. 96–19915 Filed 8–1–96; 2:36 pm] BILLING CODE 3410–11–M

ARCHITECTURAL AND TRANSPORTATION BARRIERS COMPLIANCE BOARD

ADAAG Review Advisory Committee; Meeting

AGENCY: Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance

Board.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board (Access Board) gives notice of the dates and location of the meetings of the ADAAG Review Advisory Committee.

DATES: The ADAAG Review Advisory Committee will meet on August 26, 27, and 28, 1996. The August 26 meeting will begin at 9:00 a.m. and end no later than 5:00 p.m. The August 27 and 28 meetings will begin at 8:30 a.m. and end no later than 5:00 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meetings will be held in the lower level board room at the National Association of Home Builders, 1201 15th Street, NW., Washington, DC. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For further information regarding the meetings, please contact Marsha Mazz. Office of Technical and Information Services, Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board, 1331 F Street, NW., suite 1000, Washington, DC 20004-1111. Telephone (202) 272-5434 ext. 21 (voice); (202) 272-5449 (TTY). This document is available in alternate formats (cassette tape, braille, large print, or computer disk) upon request. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In September 1994, the Access Board established an advisory committee to review the Americans with Disabilities Act Accessibility Guidelines (ADAAG) for buildings and facilities. 36 CFR part 1191, appendix A. The advisory committee will make recommendations to the Access Board for updating ADAAG to ensure that the guidelines remain a state-of-the-art document which is generally consistent with technological developments and changes in national standards and model codes, and continue to meet the needs of individuals with disabilities. The advisory committee is scheduled to complete its work in September 1996.

The advisory committee will meet on the dates and at the location announced in this notice to review a draft of its final report and to discuss recommendations regarding future harmonization or coordination of ADAAG with other codes and standards.

The meetings are open to the public. The meeting site is accessible to

individuals with disabilities. Individuals with hearing impairments who require sign language interpreters should contact Marsha Mazz by August 19, 1996, by calling (202) 272–5434 ext. 21 (voice) or (202) 272–5449 (TTY). Lawrence W. Roffee.

Executive Director.

[FR Doc. 96-19789 Filed 8-2-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8150-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-201-805]

Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe From Mexico

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 5, 1996.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is extending the time limit for the preliminary and final results of the antidumping duty administrative review for the antidumping order on circular welded non-alloy steel pipe from Mexico, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (hereinafter, "the Act").

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Linda Ludwig or John Drury, Office of Agreements Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone (202) 482–3833 or 482–0414, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the Act, the Department may extend the deadline for completion of an administrative review if it determines that it is not practicable to complete the review within the statutory time limit of 365 days. In the instant case, the Department has determined that it is not practicable to complete the review within the statutory time limit. See Memorandum from Joseph A. Spetrini to Paul L. Joffe (May 17, 1996).

Since it is not practicable to complete this review within the time limits mandated by the Act (245 days from the last day of the anniversary month for preliminary results, 120 additional days for final results), in accordance with Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Trade and Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, the Department is extending the time limit as follows:

Product	Country	Review period	Initiation date	Prelim due date	Final due date*
Circular Welded Non-Alloy Pipe (A-201-805)	Mexico	11/01/94 10/31/95	12/15/95	12/23/96	06/30/97

^{*}The Department shall issue the final determination 180 days after the publication of the preliminary determination. This final due date is estimated based on publication of the preliminary notice five business days after signature.

The extension includes an additional 22 days attributable to the Federal Government furlough which began in January, 1996.

Dated: July 19, 1996. Joseph A. Spetrini, Deputy Assistant Secretary. [FR Doc. 96–19858 Filed 8–2–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

[A-122-047]

Elemental Sulphur From Canada; Extension of Time Limits of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Extension of Time Limits of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is extending the time limits for the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping finding on elemental sulphur from Canada, covering the period December 1, 1994 through November 30, 1995, because it is not practicable to complete the review within the time limits mandated by the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). EFFECTIVE DATE: August 5, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Karin Price or Maureen Flannery,
Import Administration, International
Trade Administration, U.S. Department
of Commerce, 14th Street and
Constitution Avenue, N.W.,
Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone:
(202) 482–4733.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 1, 1996, the Department published in the Federal Register (61 FR 3670) a notice of initiation of administrative review of the antidumping finding on elemental sulphur from Canada. The review covers the period December 1, 1994 through November 30, 1995.

It is not practicable to complete this review within the time limits mandated by section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act (see Decision Memorandum to Robert S.

LaRussa, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated July 26, 1996, "Extension of Time Limits for 1994–95 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Elemental Sulphur from Canada"). Therefore, in accordance with that section, the Department is extending the time limits for the preliminary results to December 30, 1996. We will issue our final results by April 29, 1997.

Dated: July 30, 1996.
Roland L. MacDonald,
Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary
Enforcement Group III.
[FR Doc. 96–19860 Filed 8–2–96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

[A-201-601]

Fresh Cut Flowers From Mexico; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On September 26, 1995, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain fresh cut flowers from Mexico. The period of review is April 1, 1993 through March 31, 1994.

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on our preliminary results. Based on our analysis of the comments received, and due to the correction of a clerical error, we have made certain changes for the final results.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 5, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Matthew Blaskovich or Zev Primor, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5253.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On September 26, 1995, the Department published in the Federal Register (60 FR 49567) the preliminary results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain fresh cut flowers from Mexico (52 FR 13491 (April 23, 1987)). The preliminary results indicated that no dumping margins existed for three of the respondents in this review: Rancho Guacatay (Guacatay), Rancho el Toro (Toro), and Rancho del Pacifico (Pacifico). Rancho el Aguaje (Aguaje) received a margin of 1.54 percent. Moreover, we applied dumping margins based on the best information available (BIA) to Mexipel, S.A. de CV, Tzitzic Tareta, Rancho Mision el Descanso, Rancho Alisitos, and Las Flores de Mexico, because they failed to answer the antidumping questionnaire. Two producers, Visaflor F. de P.R. (Visaflor) and Rancho Daisy (Daisy), made no shipments to the United States during the period of review.

Applicable Statutes and Regulations

The Department has conducted this review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Unless otherwise stated, all citations to the statutes and to the Department's regulations are references to the provisions as they existed on December 31, 1994.

Scope of the Review

The products covered by this review are certain fresh cut flowers, defined as standard carnations, standard chrysanthemums, and pompon chrysanthemums. During the period of review (POR), such merchandise was classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) items 0603.10.7010 (pompon chrysanthemums), 0603.10.7020 (standard chrysanthemums), and 0603.10.7030 (standard carnations). The HTSUS item numbers are provided for convenience and U.S. Customs Service (Customs) purposes only. The written description remains dispositive as to the scope of the order.

This review covers sales of the subject merchandise entered into the United