

Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482-5253.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### THE APPLICABLE STATUTE AND REGULATIONS

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act), by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the current regulations, as amended by the interim regulations published in the Federal Register on May 11, 1995 (60 FR 25130).

##### Background

On April 9, 1996, Surecrete requested that the Department conduct a changed circumstances administrative review to determine whether to partially revoke the order with regard to New Super Fine Cement. In addition, the petitioner informed the Department that it does not object to the changed circumstances review and has no interest in the importation or sale of New Super Fine Cement as described by Nittetsu. The order with regard to imports of other cements is not affected by this request.

##### Scope of Review

The merchandise covered by this changed circumstances review is New Super Fine Cement from Japan. This changed circumstance administrative review covers all manufacturers/exporters of cement meeting the following specifications of New Super Fine Cement: (1) a median grain size of less than three microns; and (2) a maximum grain size of approximately ten microns. This cement is not feasible for use in concrete production.

##### Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, and Intent To Revoke Order in Part

Pursuant to section 751(d) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the Department may partially revoke an antidumping duty order based on a review under section 751(b) of the Act (i.e., a changed circumstances review). Section 751(b)(1) of the Act requires a changed circumstances administrative review to be conducted upon receipt of a request containing sufficient information concerning changed circumstances.

The Department's regulations at 19 CFR 353.25(d)(2) permit the Department to conduct a changed circumstances administrative review under section

353.22(f) based upon an affirmative statement of no interest from the petitioner in the proceeding. Section 353.25(d)(1)(i) further provides that the Department may revoke an order or revoke an order in part if it determines that the order under review is no longer of interest to interested parties. In addition, in the event that the Department concludes that expedited action is warranted, section 353.22(f)(4) of the regulations permits the Department to combine the notices of initiation and preliminary results.

Therefore, in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and 751(d) of the Act, 19 CFR 353.25(d), and 353.22(f), we are initiating this changed circumstances administrative review and have determined that expedited action is warranted. Based on an affirmative statement of no interest in the proceeding by petitioner, we have preliminarily determined that the order in so far as it applies to New Super Fine Cement, as described in Surecrete's request for a changed circumstances review, no longer is of interest to domestic interested parties. Because we have concluded that expedited action is warranted, we are combining these notices of initiation and preliminary results. Therefore, we are hereby notifying the public of our intent to revoke in part the antidumping duty order as to imports of this type of New Super Fine Cement from Japan.

If final revocation in part occurs, we intend to instruct the U.S. Customs Service (Customs) to liquidate without regard to antidumping duties and to refund any estimated antidumping duties collected for all unliquidated entries of the subject merchandise made on or after August 18, 1995. The current requirement for a cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties will continue until publication of the final results of this changed circumstances review.

##### Public Comment

Parties to the proceeding may request disclosure within 5 days of the date of publication of this notice and any interested party may request a hearing within 10 days of publication. Any hearing, if requested, will be held no later than 28 days after the date of publication of this notice, or the first working day thereafter. Case briefs and/or written comments from interested parties may be submitted no later than 14 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs and rebuttals to written comments, limited to the issues raised in those comments, may be filed no later than 21 days after the date of publication of this notice. All written

comments shall be submitted in accordance with 19 CFR 353.31(e) and shall be served on all interested parties on the Department's service list in accordance with 19 CFR 353.31(g). Persons interested in attending the hearing should contact the Department for the date and time of the hearing. The Department will publish the final results of this changed circumstances review, including the results of its analysis of issues raised in any written comments.

This notice is in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and (d) of the Act and sections 353.22(f) and 353.25(d) of the Department's regulations.

Dated: July 29, 1996.

Robert S. LaRussa,  
*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 96-19859 Filed 8-2-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### [A-570-822]

##### Helical Spring Lock Washers From the People's Republic of China; Extension of Time Limit of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Extension of Time Limit of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is extending the time limits for the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on helical spring lock washers (lock washers) from the People's Republic of China (PRC), covering the period October 1, 1994, through September 30, 1995, because it is not practicable to complete the review within the time limits mandated by the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). **EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 5, 1996.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Donald Little or Maureen Flannery, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4733.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On November 16, 1995, in response to requests from interested parties, the Department initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on lock washers from the PRC (60 FR 57573), covering the period October 1, 1994 through September 31, 1995.

It is not practicable to complete this review within the time limits mandated by section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act (see Memorandum for Robert LaRussa from Roland L. MacDonald, Extension of Time Limits for 1994-95 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Helical Spring Lock Washers from the People's Republic of China, July 29, 1996). Therefore, in accordance with that section, the Department is extending the time limits for the preliminary results to August 6, 1996. The Department adjusted the time limits by 28 days due to the government shutdowns, which lasted from November 14, 1995, to November 20, 1995, and from December 15, 1995, to January 6, 1996. See Memorandum to the file from Susan G. Esserman, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, January 11, 1996. This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: July 30, 1996.  
Roland L. MacDonald,  
*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary,  
Enforcement Group III.*  
[FR Doc. 96-19856 Filed 8-2-96; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[A-427-078]

**Sugar From France: Final Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, and Revocation in Part of Antidumping Duty Finding**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of final results of changed circumstances antidumping duty administrative review, and revocation in part of antidumping duty finding.

**SUMMARY:** In response to a request made on March 12, 1996, by Boiron-Borneman, Inc. (Boiron), the Department of Commerce (the Department) simultaneously initiated a changed circumstances antidumping duty administrative review and issued the preliminary results of this review expressing an intent to revoke in part the finding on sugar from France. The scope of the finding currently includes sugar, both raw and refined, with the exception of specialty sugars. See *Sugar From Belgium, France, and the Federal Republic of Germany*; Finding of Dumping, 44 FR 33878 (June 13, 1979), and Memorandum For Dick Moreland from Frank R. Brennan (June 1, 1982). In accordance with sections 751(b) and (d) and 782(h) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act), and 19 CFR 353.25(d)(1)(i), we

are now revoking in part this finding, with regard to homeopathic sugar pellets, based on the fact that domestic parties have expressed no interest in maintaining the finding on homeopathic sugar pellets produced in France.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 5, 1996.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Matthew Blaskovich or Zev Primor, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482-5831/4114.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On March 12, 1996, Boiron-Borneman, Inc. (Boiron), requested that the Department conduct a changed circumstances administrative review to determine whether to revoke the finding with regard to sugar pellets. The finding with regard to imports of other sugar products is not affected by this request. In addition, on February 26, 1996, the Florida Sugar Marketing and Terminal Association, Inc. (the petitioner) informed the Department in writing that it did not object to the changed circumstances review and had no interest in maintaining the finding on homeopathic sugar pellets produced in France.

We preliminarily determined that petitioner's affirmative statement of no interest constituted good cause for conducting a changed circumstances review. Consequently, on May 6, 1996, the Department published a notice of initiation and preliminary results of changed circumstances antidumping duty administrative review to determine whether to revoke this finding in part (61 FR 20236). We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results of this changed circumstances review.

**The Applicable Statute**

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Act, as amended, are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the current regulations, as amended by the interim regulations published in the Federal Register on May 11, 1995 (60 FR 25130).

**Scope of Review**

The final antidumping finding on sugar from France covers raw and

refined sugar (44 FR 8949 (February 12, 1979)). The petition, filed by the Florida Sugar Marketing & Terminal Assn., Inc., on July 3, 1978, states that "[t]he product being imported and which is the subject of this petition, is raw and refined, semi-refined or 'off-white' sugar produced from sugar beets. Raw beet sugar and raw cane sugar are very similar chemically and nutritionally, with the result that they are interchangeable in terms of meeting refiners' needs for raw sugar." See Petition of Florida Sugar Marketing & Terminal Assn., Inc., July 3, 1978, at 7. Excluded from the finding are specialty sugars. Imports of the merchandise subject to the finding are currently classifiable under various subprovisions of item number 1701.91 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTS). HTS item numbers are provided for convenience and for Customs purposes. This written description remains dispositive.

The merchandise covered by this changed circumstances review includes homeopathic sugar pellets meeting the following criteria: (1) Composed of 85 percent sucrose and 15 percent lactose; (2) have a polished, matte appearance, and more uniformly porous than domestic sugar cubes; (3) produced in two sizes of 2 mm and 3.8 mm in diameter. According to a May 1990 letter ruling from Customs and a September 1990 Presidential Decree, imports of homeopathic sugar pellets enter under HTS item number 1701.99.02.

**Final Results of Review; Partial Revocation of Antidumping Duty Finding**

On May 20, 1996, the United States Cane Sugar Refiners' Association (USCSRA), an interested party in this review objected to the relief requested by Boiron in its request for a changed circumstances administrative review. The USCSRA claimed that there was a strong likelihood that Boiron would circumvent the antidumping finding and, thereby, U.S. refiners of cane sugar would face injury in the U.S. market. See the USCSRA's letter of May 20, 1996, at 2. Upon further consideration, the USCSRA withdrew its opposition to revocation of the antidumping finding with respect to homeopathic sugar pellets. We received no other comments objecting to revocation of the finding with regard to Boiron's sugar pellets.

The affirmative statement of no interest by petitioners in this case constitutes changed circumstances sufficient to warrant partial revocation of this finding. Therefore, the Department is partially revoking this