Respondents	Number of respondents	Number of responses/ respondents	Avg. burden/ response (in hrs.)
State registration officials: Monthly Vital Statistics Report	52	12	0.1
State registration officials: Monthly Report on Marriages, Divorces, and Annulments	52	12	0.1
County registration officials: New Mexico: Monthly Marriage and Divorce Statistical Report Forms	60	12	0.1

The total burden hours is 197.

Dated: July 29, 1996.

Wilma G. Johnson,

Acting Associate Director for Policy Planning and Evaluation, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

[FR Doc. 96–19790 Filed 8–2–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4163–18–P

Administration for Children and Families

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Title: Integrated Review Schedule.

OMB No.: 0970–0035.

Description: State agencies are required to perform quality control review for the AFDC, Food Stamp, and Adult Assistance Programs. The Integrated Review Schedule is jointly designed and used by ACF and FCS. The schedule serves as the comprehensive data entry form for all active quality control reviews in these programs.

Respondents: State governments.

ANNUAL BURDEN ESTIMATES

Instrument	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden hours per response	Total burden hours
ACF–4357	55,000	1	1	55,000

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 55,000.

Additional Information: Copies of the proposed collection may be obtained by writing to The Administration for Children and Families, Office of Information Services, Division of Information Resource Management Services, 370 L'Enfant Promenade, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20447, Attn: ACF Reports Clearance Officer.

OMB Comment: OMB is required to make a decision concerning the collection of information between 30 and 60 days after publication of this document in the Federal Register. Therefore, a comment is best assured of having its full effect if OMB receives it within 30 days of publication. Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent directly to the following: Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project, 725 17th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20503, Attn: Ms. Wendy Taylor.

Dated: July 30, 1996.

Bob Sargis,

Acting Reports Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 96–19808 Filed 8–2–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4184–01–M

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Title: Early Head Start Evaluation.

OMB No.: New Request.

Description: The Head Start Reauthorization Act of 1994 established a special initiative creating funding for services for families with infants and toddlers. In response the Administration on Children, Youth and Families (ACYF) designed the Early Head Start (EHS) program. In September, 1995, ACYF awarded grants to 68 local programs to serve families with infants and toddlers.

EHS programs are designed to produce outcomes in four domains: (1) Child development, (2) family development, (3) staff development and (4) community development. The Reauthorization required that this new initiative be evaluated. To study the effect of the initiative ACYF awarded a contract through a competitive procurement to Mathematica Policy Research, Inc. (MPR) with a subcontract to Columbia University Center for Young Children and Families. Evaluation will be carried out from October 1, 1995, through September 30, 2000. Data collection activities that are the subject of this Federal Register notice are intended for the first phase of the EHS evaluation.

The sample for the child and family assessments will be approximately 3,400 families who include a pregnant woman or a child under 12 months of age, in 17 EHS study sites. Each family will be randomly assigned to a treatment group or a control group. The sample for the child care assessments will include the primary child care provider for the focal child in each of the 3,400 study sample families. The sample for the staff assessments will be all EHS staff who have contact with the study children and families. The surveys and assessments will be conducted through computer assisted telephone interviewing, pencil and paper self-administered questionnaires, structured observations and videotaping. All data collection instruments have been designed to minimize the burden on respondents by minimizing interviewing and assessment time. Participation in the study is voluntary and confidential.

The information will be used by government managers, Congress and others to identify the features and evaluate the effectiveness of the EHS program.

Respondents: Applicants to the Early Head Start Program, child care providers for Early Head Start families and Early Head Start staff.

ANNUAL BURDEN ESTIMATES

Instruments	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden hours per response	Total burden hours
14 Month Parent Interview, Child Assessment Protocol and Video-taping Protocol	3,230	1	2.5	8,075
Parent Services Follow-Up Interview—HSFIS	3,400	1	1.2	4,080
Parent Services Follow-Up Interview—Primary Caregiver	3,298	1	.83	2,737
Child Care Provider Interview—Child Care Centers	478	1	.25	120
Child Care Provider Interview—Family Day Care & Relative Providers	1,022	1	.5	511
Child Care Observation Protocol	1,261	1	2	2,522
Staff Questionnaire	170	1	.5	85

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 18,130 hours.

Additional Information: Copies of the proposed collection may be obtained by writing to The Administration for Children and Families, Office of Information Services, Division of Information Resource Management Services, 370 L'Enfant Promenade, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20447, Attn: ACF Reports Clearance Officer.

OMB Comment: OMB is required to make a decision concerning the collection of information between 30 to 60 days after publication of this document in the Federal Register. Therefore, a comment is best assured of having its full effect if OMB receives it within 30 days of publication. Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent directly to the following: Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project, 725 17th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20503, Attn: Ms. Wendy Taylor.

Dated: July 30, 1996.

Bob Sargis,

Acting Reports Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 96–19809 Filed 8–2–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4184–01–M

Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. 84G–0257]

Enzyme Technical Association; Filing of Petition for Affirmation of GRAS Status; Amendment

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is amending the filing notice for a petition (GRASP 3G0016) filed by the Enzyme Technical Association (formerly the Ad Hoc Enzyme Technical Committee). The document proposed to affirm that certain enzyme preparations from animal, plant, and microbial sources are generally recognized as safe (GRAS) as direct human food ingredients. This amendment proposes to affirm that carbohydrase and protease enzyme preparations from *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* are GRAS as direct human food ingredients.

DATES: Comments by October 21, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Submit written comments to the Dockets Management Branch (HFA–305), Food and Drug Administration, 12420 Parklawn Dr., rm. 1–23, Rockville, MD 20857.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Linda S. Kahl, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (HFS–206), Food and Drug Administration, 200 C St. SW., Washington, DC 20204, 202–418–3101.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In accordance with the procedures described in § 170.35 (21 CFR 170.35), the Ad Hoc Enzyme Technical Committee (now the Enzyme Technical Association), c/o Miles Laboratories, Inc., 1127 Myrtle St., Elkhart, IN 46514, submitted a petition (GRASP 3G0016) requesting that the following enzyme preparations be affirmed as GRAS for use in food:

1. Animal-derived enzyme preparations: Catalase (bovine liver); lipase, animal; pepsin; rennet; rennet, bovine; and trypsin.

2. Plant-derived enzyme preparations: Bromelain; malt; and papain.

3. Microbially-derived enzyme preparations: *Aspergillus niger*, var. (lipase, catalase, glucose oxidase, and carbohydrase); *B. subtilis*, var. (carbohydrase and protease mixtures); *Rhizopus oryzae* (carbohydrase); and *Saccharomyces* species (carbohydrase).

In the Federal Register of April 12, 1973 (38 FR 9256), FDA published a notice of filing of this petition and gave interested persons an opportunity to submit comments to the Dockets Management Branch (address above). The petition was amended by notices published in the Federal Register of: (1) June 12, 1973 (38 FR 15471), proposing affirmation that microbially derived enzyme preparations (carbohydrase, lipase, and protease) from *A. oryzae* are GRAS for use in food; (2) August 29, 1984 (49 FR 34305), proposing affirmation that the enzyme preparations ficin, obtained from species of the genus *Ficus* (fig tree), and pancreatin, obtained from bovine and porcine pancreas, are GRAS for use in food; and (3) June 23, 1987 (52 FR 23607), proposing affirmation that the enzyme preparation protease from *A. niger* is GRAS for use in food. In the June 23, 1987, notice, FDA also noted the petitioner's assertion that pectinase enzyme preparation from *A. niger* and lactase enzyme preparation from *A. niger* are included under carbohydrase enzyme preparation from *A. niger*, and that invertase enzyme preparation from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and lactase enzyme preparation from *Kluyveromyces marxianus* are both included under carbohydrase enzyme preparation from species of the genus *Saccharomyces*. The agency further noted that, therefore, pectinase enzyme preparation from *A. niger*, lactase enzyme preparation from *A. niger*, invertase enzyme preparation from *S. cerevisiae*, and lactase enzyme preparation from *K. marxianus* were to be considered part of the petition. Interested persons were given an opportunity to submit comments to the Dockets Management Branch (address above) on each amendment.

Under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(b)(5) (21 U.S.C. 348(b)(5))), and the regulations for affirmation of GRAS status in § 170.35, notice is given that the Enzyme Technical Association has submitted a further amendment to its petition (GRASP 3G0016). The amendment proposes that carbohydrase and protease enzyme preparations from *B. amyloliquefaciens* be affirmed as GRAS for use as direct human food ingredients based on the taxonomic separation of *B. subtilis* and *B. amyloliquefaciens* in