# LIST OF CASES RECEIVED BY THE OFFICE OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS-Continued

[Week of April 29 through May 3, 1996]

Date	Name and Location of Applicant	Case No.	Type of Submission
4/30/96	Ball, Janik, & Novack Portland, Or- egon.	VFA-0159	Appeal of an Information Request Denial. IF GRANTED: The April 17, 1996 Freedom of Information Request Denial issued by the Bonne- ville Power Administration would be rescinded, and Ball, Janik, & Novack would receive access to certain Department of Energy infor- mation.
4/30/96	John J. Mudge Chehalis, Washing- ton.	VFA-0158	Appeal of an Information Request Denial. IF GRANTED: The March 11, 1996 Freedom of Information Request Denial issued by the Bonne- ville Power Administration would be rescinded, and John J. Mudge would receive access to material concerning extension of the Option Development Agreement, Contract Number DE–MS79–93BP94163, from its original expiration date of December 31, 1995 and the ex- tended date of March 31, 1996.
4/30/96	Stand of Amarillo, Inc. Amarillo, Texas.	VFA-0157	Appeal of an Information Request Denial. IF GRANTED: The March 22, 1996 Freedom of Information Request Denial issued by the DOE Pantex Plant would be rescinded, and Stand of Amarillo, Inc. would receive access to certain DOE information.
5/2/96	Oak Ridge Operations Office Oak Ridge, Tennessee.	VSA-0074	Request for Review of Opinion under 10 C.F.R. Part 710. IF GRANTED: The Opinion of the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Case No. VSO- 0074, would be reviewed at the request of an individual employed at Oak Ridge Operations Office.
5/3/96	Oakland Operations Office San Francisco, California.	VSA-0078	Request for Review of Opinion under 10 C.F.R. Part 710. IF GRANTED: The April 25, 1996 Opinion of the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Case Number VSA–0078, would be reviewed at the request of an in- dividual employed at Oakland Operations Office.

[FR Doc. 96–20572 Filed 8–12–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL 5551-8]

# Agency Information Collection Activities

#### Under OMB Review

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

# ACTION: Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this notice announces the Office of Management and Budget's (OMB) responses to Agency PRA clearance requests. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR Part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sandy Farmer (202) 260–2740. Please refer to the appropriate EPA ICR Number.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Responses to Agency PRA Clearance Requests

### **OMB** Approvals

EPA ICR No. 0877.05; Environmental Radiation Ambient Monitoring System (ERAMS); was approved 07/30/96; OMB No. 2060–0015; expires 07/31/99.

EPA ICR No. 1289.04; Wood Preservatives, Regarding Exposure Levels in Wood Treatment Plants; was approved 07/30/96; OMB No. 2070– 0081; expires 07/31/99.

EPA IĈR No. 1768.01; Collection of Impact Data on Technical Information: Request for Generic Clearance, Design for the Environment (DFE); was approved 07/31/96; OMB No. 2070– 0152; expires 07/31/99.

EPA ICR No. 1713.02; Federal Operating Permits Program of the Clean Air Act—Part 71; was approved 07/12/ 96; OMB No. 2060–0336; expires 07/31/ 99.

EPA ICR No. 1080.09; National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants Benzene Emissions from Benzene Storage Vessels, and Coke By-Product Recovery Plant; was approved 07/12/96; OMB No. 2060–0185; expires 07/31/99.

EPA ICR No. 1737.01; Recordkeeping and Reporting for the Thermoplastics Production NESAP (63, V); was approved 07/17/96; OMB No. 2060– 0351; expires 07/31/99.

EPA ICR No. 1779.01; National Primary Drinking Water Regulations:

Monitoring Requirements for the Public Drinking Water Supplies; Cryptosporidium, Giardia; Viruses, Disinfection Byproducts, Water Treatment; was approved 07/16/96; OMB No. 2040–0183; expires 07/31/99.

EPA ICR No. 1782.01; Ecosystem Monitoring Survey; was approved 07/ 29/96; OMB No. 2010–0027; expires 07/ 31/99.

EPA ICR No. 1442.13; Land Disposal Restriction—Phase III: Decharacterized Wastewaters, Carbamate Wastes, and Spend Aluminum Pottiners Final Rule; was approved 08/04/96; OMB No. 2050– 0085; expires 09/30/98.

# EPA Withdrawal

EPA ICR No. 1086.04; Standards of Performance for Onshore Natural Gas Processing Plants; was withdrawn by EPA on 07/30/96.

# OMB's Extension of Expiration Date

EPA ICR No. 1086.03; NSPS for Onshore Natural Gas Processing Plants/ Equipment Leaks of VOC and Emissions of SO<sub>2</sub>—Reporting and Recordkeeping for Subparts KKK/LLL; expiration date was extended from 07/31/96 to 10/31/ 96.

Dated: August 7, 1996.

### Joseph Retzer,

Director, Regulatory Information Division. [FR Doc. 96–20588 Filed 8–12–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–M

## [FRL 5551-7]

# Proposed Settlement Agreement, Clean Air Act Citizen Suit

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed settlement; request for public comments.

SUMMARY: In accordance with section 113(g) of the Clean Air Act, as amended, ("Act"), notice is hereby given of a proposed partial consent decree, which was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of Columbia by the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") on July 16, 1996, in a lawsuit filed by the Delaware Valley Citizens' Council for Clean Air ("Delaware Valley''). This lawsuit, which was filed pursuant to section 304(a) of the Act, 42 U.S.C. 7604(a), concerns, among other things, EPA's alleged failure to meet a mandatory duty to (1) act on certain State implementation plan ("SIP") submittals pursuant to section 110(k)(3) of the Clean Air Act; (2) to impose sanctions under section 179(a) of the Act; and (3) to promulgate a federal implementation plan pursuant to section 110(c) of the Act. The proposed consent decree provides that EPA shall take action by specific dates with respect to Pennsylvania's 15% and reasonable further progress submissions for Southeastern Pennsylvania and Pennsylvania's inspection and maintenance plan submission.

For a period of thirty (30) days following the date of publication of this notice, the Agency will receive written comments relating to the proposed consent decree from persons who were not named as parties to the litigation in question. EPA or the Department of Justice may withhold or withdraw consent to the proposed consent decree if the comments disclose facts or circumstances that indicate that such consent is inappropriate, improper, inadequate, or inconsistent with the requirements of the Act. Unless EPA or the Department of Justice determines, following the comment period, that consent is inappropriate, the final consent decree will establish deadlines for EPA action on certain SIP submittals from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

A copy of the proposed consent decree was lodged with the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on July 16, 1996. Copies are also available from Jacquie Jordan, Cross-Cutting Issues Division (2322), Office of General Counsel, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460, (202) 260– 7622. Written comments should be sent to Jan M. Tierney at the address above and must be submitted on or before September 12, 1996.

Dated: July 31, 1996. Marcia E. Mulkey, *Acting General Counsel.* [FR Doc. 96–20610 Filed 8–12–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–M

# FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

## Notice of Public Information Collections Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission

# August 6, 1996.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRÅ) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. DATES: Persons wishing to comment on this information collection should

submit comments by October 15, 1996. **ADDRESSES:** Direct all comments to Dorothy Conway, Federal Communications Commission, Room 234, 1919 M St., N.W., Washington, DC 20554 or via internet to dconway@fcc.gov.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For additional information or copies of the information collections contact Dorothy Conway at 202–418–0217 or via internet at dconway@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*OMB Approval Number:* 3060–0004. *Title:* Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of Radiofrequency Radiation (ET Docket No. 93–62).

Form No.: N/A.

*Type of Review:* Revision of existing collection.

*Respondents:* Not-for-profit institutions/Business or other for-profit/ Small businesses and organizations.

Number of Respondents: 124,441. Estimated time per response: 1 hour. Total Annual Burden: 40,301.

Needs and Uses: The National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) requires agencies of the Federal Government to evaluate the effects of their actions on the quality of the human environment. To meet its responsibilities under NEPA, the Commission has adopted revised RF exposure guidelines for evaluating potential environmental effects of RF radiation from FCC-regulated facilities. The new guidelines reflect more recent scientific studies of the biological effects of RF radiation. The use of these guidelines would help ensure that FCCregulated facilities comply with the latest standards for RF exposure. The adoption of more restrictive RF radiation limits requires the Commission to collect additional environmental information to ensure public and occupational safety. The collections of environmental information required by Section 1.1307 of the rules will be used by the Commission staff to determine whether the environmental evaluation is sufficiently complete and in compliance with the Commission's Rules to be acceptable for filing. The collection of this information is necessary to ensure compliance with NEPA, specifically, to minimize the potential for significant environmental impact from radiofrequency (RF) radiation from FCCregulated transmitters and facilities.

Federal Communications Commission William F. Caton,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–20532 Filed 8–12–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

# [Report No. 2146]

# Petitions for Reconsideration of Action in Rulemaking Proceedings

August 7, 1996.

Petitions for reconsideration have been filed in the Commission's rulemaking proceedings listed in this Public Notice and published pursuant to 47 CFR Section 1.429(e). The full text of these document are available for