### Department of the Army

Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact for the Relocation of the Communications-Electronics Management Missions and Functions of the Aviation and Troop Command (ATCOM) and the Relocation of the U.S. Army Audit Agency (AAA) Functions From St. Louis, MO, to Fort Monmouth, NJ

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, DOD. **ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with Public Law 101-510 (as amended), the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission recommended the relocation of the communicationselectronics materiel management missions and functions from the Aviation and Troop Command (ATCOM), St. Louis, Missouri, to the Communications-Electronics Command (CECOM), Fort Monmouth, New Jersey. The Army also proposes to take a discretionary action: the relocation of U.S. Army Audit Agency (AAA) functions from St. Louis, Missouri, to Fort Monmouth, New Jersey, to support the realigning ATCOM missions.

The Environmental Assessment (EA) evaluates the environmental impacts associated with the transfer of approximately 178 military and civilian positions from St. Louis, Missouri, to Fort Monmouth, New Jersey, The relocation of the communicationselectronics materiel management function from ATCOM to CECOM involves the transfer of 8 military positions and 167 civilian positions. The relocation of the ATCOM function involves the renovation of building 206 on the Main Post. The renovation project will principally involve gutting interior walls; replacing heating, ventilation, and air-conditioning systems; and increasing electrical system capacity. The relocation of approximately 3 AAA civilian positions to Fort Monmouth is required to maintain adequate audit capabilities associated with the communicationselectronics materiel management function. The AAA personnel will relocate into AAA's existing CECOM field office, located in Building 418, at Fort Monmouth, New Jersey.

The EA examines potential impacts of the proposed action and alternatives on 13 resource areas and areas of environmental concern: land use, air quality, noise, water resources, geology, infrastructure, training areas, hazardous and toxic materials, biological resources and ecosystems, cultural resources, the sociological environment, economic development and quality of life.

Based on the analysis found in the EA, which is hereby incorporated in this Finding of No Significant Impact, it has been determined that the implementation of these relocations to Fort Monmouth would have no significant or cumulatively significant impacts on the quality of the natural or human environment. Because no significant environmental impacts would result from implementation of the proposed action, an Environmental Impact Statement is not required and will not be prepared.

**DATES:** Inquiries and comments will be accepted for 15 days after publication of this Notice of Availability in the Federal Register.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact can be obtained by contacting Dr. Neil Robinson at the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Mobile District, ATTN: CESAM-PD-E, P.O. Box 2288, Mobile, Alabama 36628–0001 or by telephone at (334) 690–3018.

Richard E. Newsome,

Assistant for Environmental Restoration. [FR Doc. 96–21563 Filed 8–22–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3710–08–M

## **Defense Logistics Agency**

# Privacy Act of 1974; Notice to Add a System of Records

**AGENCY:** Defense Logistics Agency, DOD.

**ACTION:** Notice to add a system of records.

**SUMMARY:** The Defense Logistics Agency proposes to add a system of records to its inventory of record systems subject to the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a), as amended.

**DATES:** This action will be effective without further notice on September 23, 1996, unless comments are received that would result in a contrary determination.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to the Privacy Act Officer, Defense Logistics Agency, DASC-RP, Alexandria, VA 22304–6100.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Susan Salus at (703) 767–6183.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Defense Logistics Agency notices for systems of records subject to the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a), as amended, have been published in the Federal Register and are available from the address above.

The proposed system report, as required by 5 U.S.C. 522a(r) of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, was submitted on August 8, 1996, to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight of the House of Representatives, the Committee on Governmental Affairs of the Senate, and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) pursuant to paragraph 4c of Appendix I to OMB Circular No. A–130, 'Federal Agency Responsibilities for Maintaining Records About Individuals,' dated February 8, 1996 (February 20, 1996, 61 FR 6427). Dated: August 19, 1996.

Patricia L. Toppings,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

#### S200.60 DD

#### SYSTEM NAME:

Chaplain Care and Counseling Records.

#### SYSTEM LOCATION:

Office of the Command Chaplain, Defense Logistics Agency, ATTN: DDAC, 8725 John J. Kingman Road, Suite 2533, Fort Belvoir, VA 22060– 6221.

## CATEGORIES OF INDIVIDUALS COVERED BY THE SYSTEM:

Individuals who have received spiritual counseling, guidance, or ministration from the DLA Command Chaplain; individuals who have participated in Chaplain sponsored activities.

## CATEGORIES OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:

The records contain the individual's name, home address and telephone number, Social Security Number, religion, and details for which the individual sought counseling or assistance.

## **AUTHORITY FOR MAINTENANCE OF THE SYSTEM:**

5 U.S.C. 302(b)(1) (Delegation of authority); 10 U.S.C. 136, Assistant Secretaries of Defense; 10 U.S.C. 3547, Duties: Chaplains, assistance required of commanding officers; 10 U.S.C. 5142 Chaplain Corps and Chief of Chaplains; 10 U.S.C. 8067(h), Designation: officers to perform certain professional functions (chaplains); and E.O. 9397 (SSN).

#### PURPOSE(S):

To document spiritual counseling or assistance provided to individuals. The records will be used in the course of scheduling counseling sessions, conducting and evaluating training, and

recording participation in spiritual activities.

ROUTINE USES OF RECORDS MAINTAINED IN THE SYSTEM, INCLUDING CATEGORIES OF USERS AND THE PURPOSES OF SUCH USES:

In addition to those disclosures generally permitted under 5 U.S.C. 553a(b) of the Privacy Act, these records and information contained therein may specifically be disclosed outside DoD as a routine use pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 55a(b)(3) as follows:

The 'Blanket Routine Uses' set forth at the beginning DLA's compilation of systems of records notices *do not* apply to this system.

POLICIES AND PRACTICES FOR STORING, RETRIEVING, ACCESSING, RETAINING, AND DISPOSING OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:

#### STORAGE:

Records are stored in paper and computerized form.

#### RETRIEVABILITY:

Records are retrieved by name or Social Security Number.

#### SAFEGUARDS:

Records are stored in locked cabinets or rooms and are controlled by personnel screening and computer software.

## RETENTION AND DISPOSAL:

Information is retained in the system until superseded or no longer needed.

## SYSTEM MANAGER(S) AND ADDRESS:

Office of the Command Chaplain, Defense Logistics Agency, ATTN: DDAC, 8725 John J. Kingman Road, Suite 2533, Fort Belvoir, VA 22060– 6221.

#### NOTIFICATION PROCEDURES:

Individuals seeking to determine whether this system of records contains information about themselves should address written inquiries to the Privacy Act Officer, HQ DLA-CAAV, 8725 John J. Kingman Road, Suite 2533, Fort Belvoir, VA 22060–6221.

## RECORD ACCESS PROCEDURES:

Individuals seeking access to records about themselves contained in this system of records should address written inquiries to the Privacy Act Officer, HQ DLA-CAAV, 8725 John J. Kingman Road, Suite 2533, Fort Belvoir, VA 22060–6221.

#### CONTESTING RECORD PROCEDURES:

The DLA rules for accessing records, and for contesting contents and appealing initial agency determinations are contained in DLA Regulation 5400.21; 32 CFR part 323; or may be obtained from the system manager.

#### **RECORD SOURCE CATEGORIES:**

Information is provided by the record subject or subject's family members.

#### **EXEMPTIONS CLAIMED FOR THE SYSTEM:**

None.

[FR Doc. 96–21550 Filed 8–22–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5000–04–F

## Department of the Navy

Amended Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement and Public Scoping Meeting Notice for Realignment of F/A–18 Aircraft and Operational Functions From Naval Air Station, Cecil Field, FL

SUMMARY: Pursuant to Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, as implemented by the Council on Environmental Quality regulations (40 CFR Parts 1500–1508), the Department of the Navy announced its intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to evaluate the potential environmental consequences of the realignment of F/A–18 aircraft and their associated personnel to Naval Air Station (NAS) Oceana, Virginia Beach, Virginia on November 16, 1995.

In accordance with the 1993 mandates of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission (BRAC 93), the Navy will close NAS Cecil Field, Florida, and realign its F/A-18 and S-3 aircraft, personnel, and other ancillary activities. The 1995 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission (BRAC 95) changed the receiving sites for NAS Cecil Field assets to "other naval air stations, primarily NAS Oceana, Virginia; MCAS Beaufort, South Carolina; NAS Jacksonville, Florida; and NAS Atlanta, Georgia; or other Navy or Marine Corps Air Stations with the necessary capacity and support infrastructure." This change was made to support the Navy's operational mission by maximizing the use of existing infrastructure and capacity, eliminating the need for substantial new construction to support the realignment, and maintaining operational flexibility for deployment.

The Navy's November 16, 1995 notice of intent indicated that for BRAC 95, two F/A–18 reserve squadrons are proposed to be transferred to NAS Atlanta for integration with Naval Reserve Forces and would be the subject of separate NEPA documentation. This action has not been revised by this amended notice of intent. The Navy's previous notice of intent also stated that two F/A–18 operational squadrons would be transferred to MCAS Beaufort and be addressed in a separate NEPA

environmental assessment. The remainder of the F/A–18 assets (9 operational squadrons and the Fleet Replacement Squadron [FRS]), were to be transferred to NAS Oceana and be the subject of an EIS.

In recognition of non-specific language contained within the mandates of BRAC 95, the Navy has conducted preliminary planning analysis to determine a range of reasonable alternatives for the basing of F/A–18 operational aircraft. This included identifying east coast air stations with necessary capacity, compatible missions and appropriate facilities to support F/A–18 operations.

The Navy's preliminary analysis indicated that the following stations have compatible missions, necessary capacity, and could support F/A–18 aircraft: NAS Oceana, Virginia Beach, VA; MCAS Cherry Point, Havelock, NC; and MCAS Beaufort, SC. Based on this preliminary analysis, the Navy is in the process of developing F/A–18 alternative realignment scenarios for inclusion in the EIS.

No preferred alternative for the realignment has been identified by the Navy. Because several reasonable alternatives may be identified for the realignment of F/A–18 operational aircraft, the Navy now plans to prepare one EIS addressing the transfer of all 11 operational squadrons and the FRS from NAS Cecil Field.

This move includes approximately 200 aircraft, 5000 military personnel, and 200 civilians. In order to accommodate this realignment, depending on the alternative, new/existing facilities will be constructed or modified at NAS Oceana, MCAS Cherry Point, and/or MCAS Beaufort. In addition, this realignment will result in a greater level of aircraft operations at each of the respective stations and their associated training ranges, depending on the alternative selected.

The Navy intends to analyze the potential impacts of each alternative on the natural environment, including but not limited to air quality, plant and animal habitats, and water resources, such as streams and wetlands. It will also evaluate potential effects to the built environment, including land use patterns, cultural resources, transportation, housing, community services, and the regional economy. Further, the Navy will be preparing analyses of the projected operations of the incoming F/A-18 aircraft on the existing airspace range structure in Virginia, North Carolina, and South Carolina and on aircraft noise exposure levels in and around NAS Oceana, MCAS Cherry Point and MCAS