proposed rules and whether it is preferable to another presentation, such as the format for the presentation of the NAFTA origin or marking rules.

Forthcoming Commission notices will advise the public on the progress of the TCRO's work and will contain any harmonized definitions or rules that have been provisionally or finally adopted.

Written Submissions

Interested persons are invited to submit written statements concerning this phase of the Commission's investigation. Written statements should be submitted as quickly as possible, and follow-up statements are permitted; but all statements must be received at the Commission by the close of business on February 15, 1996, in order to be considered in the drafting of the final U.S. proposal to the TCRO. Information supplied to the Customs Service in statements filed pursuant to notices of that agency has been given to us and need not be separately provided to the Commission. Again, the Commission notes that it is particularly interested in receiving input from the private sector on the effects of the various proposed rules and definitions on U.S. exports. Commercial or financial information which a submitter desires the Commission to treat as confidential must be submitted on separate sheets of paper, each marked "Confidential Business Information" at the top. All submissions requesting confidential treatment must conform with the requirements of section 201.6 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 201.6). All written submissions, except for confidential business information, will be available for inspection by interested persons. All submissions should be addressed to the Office of the Secretary, United States International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436.

Issued: February 1, 1996.
By order of the Commission.
Donna Koehnke,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–2536 Filed 2–5–96; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and NAFTA Transitional Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers (TA–W) issued during the period of January, 1996.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance to be issued, each of the group eligibility requirements of section 222 of the Act must be met.

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated,

(2) That sales or production, or both, of the firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely, and

(3) That increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by the firm or appropriate subdivision have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the absolute decline in sales or production.

Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criterion (3) has not been met. A survey of customers indicated that increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-31,624; Leroy Industries, Inc., Leroy, NY

TA-W-31,652; Bob-Kat Tanning Co., Inc., Peabody, MA

TA-W-31,677; HBC Barge, Inc., Trinity Industries, Brownsville, PA

TA-W-31,537; The Sero Co., Inc., Cordele, GA

TA-W-31,487; Rex-Rosenlow, Inc., Teterboro, NJ

TA-W-31,622 & TA-W-31,623; Hill Co., Inc., Fort Smith, AR and Charleston, AR

TA-W-31,533; EIS Brake Parts Div., Berlin. CT

TA-W-31,467; Hercules, Inc., Radford, VA

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

TA-W-31,590; Greif Brothers Corp., Niagara Falls, New York Plant, Niagara Falls, NY

TA-W-31,579; Indian Refining, Lawrenceville, IL

TA-W-31,645; Details By Patricia Green, Portland, OR

TA-W-31,655; Fruit of The Loom, Albemarle Spinning Mills, Albemarle, NC

Increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-31,577; Cummins Southern plains, Inc., Duncan, OK

TA-W-31,654; ABU-Garcia, Inc., Fairfield, NJ

TA-W-31,679; Hydra-Co., Enterprises, Inc., Syracuse, NY

The workers firm does not produce an article as required for certification under section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

TA-W-31,644; Texaco Trading & Transportation, Inc., Central Region Marketing, Tulsa, OK

The investigations revealed that criterion (2) has not been met. Sales or production did not decline during the relevant period as required for certification.

Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

The following certifications have been issued; the date following the company name & location for each determination references the impact date for all workers for such determination.

TA-W-31,603; Diesel Recon Co., Santa Fe Springs, CA; October 25, 1994

TA-W-31,444; CNG Producing Co., New Orleans, LA; September 2, 1995 & Operating at The Following Locations: A; Houma, LA, B; Ardmore, OK, C; Roosevelt, UT, D; Indiana, PA, E; Bridgeport, WV: September 1, 1994

TA-W-31,627; Willits Footwear Worldwide, Newvill Div., Newville, PA: November 1, 1994

TA-W-31,684; Lamsteel Corp of America, Two Plants & Warehouse, Hartsville, TN: November 13, 1994

TA-W-31,438; Angelica Uniform Group, Ackerman, MS: August 31, 1994

TA-W-31,743; R.D. Simpson, Inc., (including D&E Laundry), Cartersville, GA: December 4, 1994

TA-W-31,700, A & B; Wrangler, Inc., Newbern Div., Lonoke, AR Newbern, TN & Troy, TN: November 17, 1994

TA-W-31,629, TA-W-31,630 & A, B; Vanity Fair Mills, Inc., Robertsdale, Al, Butler, AL, Monroeville, AL & Jackson, AL: November 1, 1994

- TA-W-31,712; Southwestern Cutting Service, El Paso, TX: November 29, 1994
- TA-W-31,778; F.G. Montabert, Midland Park, NJ: December 7, 1994
- TA-W-31,633; Columbia Footwear Corp., Hazleton, PA: July 13, 1995
- TA-W-31,646; DMI Furniture, Inc., Gettysburg, PA: November 15, 1994
- TA-W-31,707; Americana Art China Co., Sebring, OH: November 21, 1994
- TA-W-31,626; North By Northeast, Pawtucket, RI: November 1, 1994
- TA-W-31,637; Guin Manufacturing Col, Guin, AL: November 7, 1994
- TA-W-31,656; American Trouser, Inc., Columbus, MS: November 15, 1994
- TA-W-31,751; Becton Dickinson & Co., El Paso, TX: December 18, 1994
- TA-W-31,666; Allied Signal Aerospace, Aerospace Equipment Systems, Eatontown, NJ: October 20, 1994
- TA-W-31,631; Thomas Industries, Inc., Hopkinsville, KY: November 2, 1994
- TA-W-31,691; RAD Woodwook Co., Inc., Nescopeck, PA: November 13, 1994
- TA-W-31,768; Newell Window Furnishings, Div. of Newell Co., Ogdensburg, NY: December 4, 1994
- TA-W-31,682, TA-W-31,682; Ithaca Industries, Inc., Plant #1, #2, Chadbourn, NC, Robersonville, NC: October 30, 1994
- TA-W-31,683; Ithaca Industries, Inc., Lakeland, GA: November 16, 1994

Also, pursuant to Title V of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103–182) concerning transitional adjustment assistance hereinafter called (NAFTA–TAA) and in accordance with section 250(a) Subchapter D, Chapter 2, title II, of the Trade Act as amended, the Department of Labor presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for NAFTA–TAA issued during the month of January, 1996

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for NAFTA-TAA the following group eligibility requirements of Section 250 of the Trade Act must be met:

- (1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, (including workers in any agricultural firm or appropriate subdivision thereof) have become totally or partially separated from employment and either—
- (2) That sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely,

- (3) That imports from Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have increased, and that the increases in imports contributed importantly to such workers' separations or threat of separation and to the decline in sales or production of such firm or subdivision; or
- (4) That there has been a shift in production by such workers' firm or subdivision to Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by the firm or subdivision.

Negative Determinations NAFTA-TAA

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criteria (3) and (4) were not met. Imports from Canada or Mexico did not contribute importantly to workers' separations. There was no shift in production from the subject firm to Canada or Mexico during the relevant period.

NAFTA-TAA-00690; Carpenter Manufacturing, Inc., Mitchell, IN NAFTA-TAA-00681; Fruit of The Loom, Albemarle Sprinning Mills, Albemarle, NC

NAFTA-TAA-00682; Details By Patricia Green, Portland, OR

NAFTA-TAA-00691; New York Newsday, Melville, NY

NAFTA-TAA-00698; Johnson Controls, Inc., Lexington, KY

NAFTA-TAA-00684; Mead, School and Office Products Div. Salem, OR

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

NAFTA-TAA-00741; W.B. Thompson Co., Inc., Iron Mountain, MI NAFTA-TAA-00701; Matsushita

NAFTA-TAA-00701; Matsushita
Electric Corporation of America,
Matsushita Logistics Co., Fort
Worth, TX

NAFTA-TAA-00717; Port Gamble Country Store, Port Gamble, WA NAFTA-TAA-00728; Karl J. Marx Co., Inc., New York, NY

NAFTA-TAA-00744; Capin Mercantile Corp., Nogales, AZ

The investigation revealed that the workers of the subject firm do not produce an article within the meaning of section 250(a) of the Trade Act, as amended.

Affirmative Determinations NAFTA-TAA

The following certifications have been issued; the date following the company name & location for each determination references the impact date for all workers for such determination.

- NAFTA-TAA-00722; Wheelabrator Air Pollution Control, Pittsburgh, PA: October 24, 1994
- NAFTA-TAA-00749; G.N. Great Nordic, G.N. Nettest Laser Precision, Utica, NY: January 12, 1994
- NAFTA-TAA-00761; Adrian Manufacturing, Inc., El Paso, TX: January 5, 1995
- NAFTA-TĂA-00685; RAD Woodwork Co., Inc., Nescopeck, PA: November 13, 1994
- NAFTA-TAA-00708; Tri-Con Industries, Limited, A Subsidiary of Tokyo Seat Co., Cape Girardeau, MO: November 22, 1994
- NAFTA-TAA-00696; Intercontinental Branded Apparel, Hialeah, FL: November 15, 1994
- NAFTA-TAA-00714; Allied Signal Aerospace, Aerospace Equipment Systems, Eatontown, NJ: September 26, 1994
- NAFTA-TAA-00688; Becton Dickinson and Co., El Paso, TX: November 20, 1994
- NAFTA-TAA-00686; Colgate-Palmolive Co., Jeffersonville Plant, Jeffersonville, IN: November 2, 1994

All workers of Colgate-Palmolive Co., Jeffersonville Plant, Jeffersonville, IN engaged in employment related to the production of powered laundry detergent are eligible to apply for NAFTA-TAA under Section 250 of the Trade Act of 1974.

All workers of Colgate-Palmolive Co., Jeffersonville Plant, Jeffersonville, IN engaged in employment related to the production of *liquid dishwashing detergent are denied* eligibility to apply for NAFTA-TAA under Section 250 of the Trade Act of 1974.

- NAFTA-TAA-00720; Newell Window Furnishings, Div. of Newell Co., Ogdensburg, NY: December 11, 1994
- NAFTA-TAA-00672; Western Reserve Products, Visador Div., Jasper, TX: October 30, 1994
- NAFTA-TAA-00666; Scentique Boudoir Accessories, Inc., Carbondale, PA: October 26, 1994
- NAFTA-TAA; Turner & Seymour Manufacturing Co., Bonners Ferry, ID: December 6, 1994
- NAFTA-TAA-00693, A&B; Wrangler, Inc., Newbern Div., Newbern, TN, Troy, TN & Lonoke, AR: November 17, 1994
- NAFTA-TAA-00753; Rhone-Poulenc, Inc., Newark, NJ: December 14, 1994
- NAFTA-TAA-00743; Major League, Inc., Jasper, GA: December 27, 1994
- NAFTA-TAA-00725; H.H. Cutler Co. (A Div. of VF Corp), Cutler Sports Apparel, Grand Rapids, MI: December 18, 1994

- NAFTA-TAA-00740; Tailor Tech, Catawissa, PA: December 14, 1994
- NAFTA-TAA-00721; R.D. Simpson, Inc (Including D&E Laundry), Cartersville, GA: December 4, 1994
- NAFTA-TAA-00713; Southwestern Cutting Service, El Paso, TX: December 5, 1994
- NAFTA-TAA-00736; Siemens Energy and Automation, Inc., Residential Products Div., El Paso, TX: December 12, 1994

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the month of January, 1996. Copies of these determinations are available for inspection in Room C–4318, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC. 20210 during normal business hours or will be mailed to persons who write to the above address.

Dated: January 26, 1996.

Russell Kile,

Acting Program Manager, Policy & Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 96–2482 Filed 2–5–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and NAFTA Transitional Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers (TA–W) issued during the period of December, 1995.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance to be issued, each of the group eligibility requirements of section 222 of the Act must be met.

- (1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated,
- (2) That sales or production, or both, of the firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely, and
- (3) That increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by the firm or appropriate subdivision have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the absolute decline in sales or production.

Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criterion (3) has not been met. A survey of customers indicated that increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-31,595; Thompson River Lumber Co., Thompson Falls, MT

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

- TA-W-31,535; American Electric Power (Ohio Power Co), Cardinal Plant, Brilliant, OH
- TA-W-31,659 & A; Custom Packaging Systems, Inc., Manistee, MI and Rapid City, SD
- TA-W-31,605; General Dynamics Corp., General Dynamics Land Div., Scranton Plant, Eynon, PA

Increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-31,556; Milady Brassiere & Corset Co., New York, NY

The workers firm does not produce an article as required for certification under section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

The following certifications have been issued; the date following the company name and location for each determination references the impact date for all workers for such determination.

- TA-W-31,729; RDL Acoustics, Inc., Bellingham, MA: November 14, 1995.
- TA-W-31,530; Anitec Image Corp., Binghamton, NY: October 6, 1994.
- TA-W-31,550; Lawler Hosiery, A Division of Kayby Mills of North Carolina, Carrollton, GA: October 5, 1994.
- TA-W-31,732; Oxford Shirtings Process 2000 Laundry & Finishing Div., Vidalia, GA: November 21, 1994.
- TA-W-31,539; B & C Well Service, Borger, TX: October 2, 1994.
- TA-W-31,583; Ethicon, Inc., Chicago, IL: October 18, 1994.
- TA-W-31,647 & TA-W-31,648; Country Maid Sportswear, Inc., Danville, PA & Shamokin Dam, PA: November 13, 1994.
- TA-W-31,560 & TA-W-31,561; Unocal Corp., Energy Resource Div., Bakerfield, CA and Ventura, CA: May 18, 1994.
- TA-W-31,562 & TA-W-31,563 & A; Unocal Corp., Energy Resource Div., Orcutt, CA & Santa Fe, CA &

Throughout the State of CA: May 18, 1994.

Also, pursuant to Title V of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103–182) concerning transitional adjustment assistance hereinafter called (NAFTA–TAA) and in accordance with section 250(a) Subchapter D, Chapter 2, Title II, of the Trade Act as amended, the Department of labor presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for NAFTA–TAA issued during the month of December, 1995.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for NAFTA-TAA the following group eligibility requirements of Section 250 of the Trade Act must be met:

- (1) That a significant number of proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, (including workers in any agricultural firm or appropriate subdivision thereof) have become totally or partially separated from employment and either—
- (2) That sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely,
- (3) That imports form Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have increased, and that the increases in imports contributed importantly to such workers' separations or threat of separation and to the decline in sales or production of such firm or subdivision; or
- (4) That there has been a shift in production by such workers' firm or subdivision to Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by the firm or subdivision.

Negative Determinations NAFTA-TAA

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criteria (3) and (4) were not met. Imports from Canada or Mexico did not contribute importantly to workers' operations. There was no shift in production from the subject firm to Canada or Mexico during the relevant period.

Noñe.

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

NAFTA-TAA-00673; Hydra-Co Enterprises, Inc., Syracuse, NY

The investigation revealed that the workers of the subject firm do not produce an article with in the meaning of section 250(a) of the Trade Act, as amended.