information (includes sponsor (the DOE component)), current OMB document number (if applicable), type of request (new, revision, extension, or reinstatement); response obligation (mandatory, voluntary, or required to obtain or retain benefits); (3) a description of the need and proposed use of the information; (4) description of the likely respondents; and (5) estimate of total annual reporting burden (average hours per response x proposed frequency of response per year x estimated number of likely respondents.)

DATES: Comments must be filed by October 4, 1996. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments but find it difficult to do so within the time allowed by this notice, you should advise the OMB DOE Desk Officer listed below of your intention to do so as soon as possible. The Desk Officer may be telephoned at (202) 395–3084. (Also, please notify the EIA contact listed below.)

ADDRESSES: Address comments to the Department of Energy Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 726 Jackson Place N.W., Washington, D.C. 20503. (Comments should also be addressed to the Office of Statistical Standards at the address below.)

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: Requests for additional information should be directed to Herbert Miller, Office of Statistical Standards, (EI–73), Forrestal Building, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C. 20585. Mr. Miller may be telephoned at (202) 426–1103, FAX (202) 426–1081, or e-mail at hmiller@eia.doe.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The energy information collection submitted to OMB for review was:

- 1. ERA–766R, "Recordkeeping Requirements of DOE's Allocation and Price Rules;
- 2. Economic Regulatory Administration, OMB No. 1903–0073, Extension, Mandatory;
- 3. ERA-766R requires firms in all segments of the oil industry to maintain only those records essential to the orderly and timely completion of the oil pricing enforcement program. Firms not having such records would be exempt from the recordkeeping requirements of 10 CFR 210.1;
 - 4. Firms in the oil industry
- 5. 912 hours (40 hrs. \times 1 response per year \times 228 respondents)

Statutory Authority: 44 U.S.C. 3506(a)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. No. 104–13).

Issued in Washington, D.C., August 28, 1996.

Yvonne M. Bishop,

Director, Office of Statistical Standards Energy Information Administration.

[FR Doc. 96–22492 Filed 9–3–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. TM97-1-24-000]

Equitrans, L.P.; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

August 28, 1996.

Take notice that on August 23, 1996, Equitrans, L.P. (Equitrans), tendered for filing to become part of its FERC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheets, to be effective October 1, 1996.

Seventh Revised Sheet No. 5 Seventh Revised Sheet No. 6 Sixth Revised Sheet No. 8

Pursuant to Order No. 472, the Commission authorized pipeline companies to track and pass through to their customers their annual charges under an Annual Charge Adjustment (ACA) clause. The 1996 ACA unit surcharge approved by the Commission is \$.0020 per Mcf. Equitrans has converted this Mcf rate to a dekatherm (Dth) rate of \$.0019 per Dth.

Equitrans states that a copy of its filing has been served upon its customers and interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Section 385.211 and 385.214 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–22461 Filed 9–3–96; 8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP96-16-000]

Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America; Notice of Technical Conference

August 28, 1996.

In the Commission's order issued on November 22, 1995, in the abovecaptioned proceeding, the Commission held that the filing raises issues for which a technical conference is to be convened.

Take notice that the technical conference to address the issues will be held on Thursday, September 5, 1996, at 10:00 a.m. in a room to be designated at the offices of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426.

All interested persons and staff are permitted to attend.

Lois D. Cashell.

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–22459 Filed 9–3–96; 8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP96-347-000]

Northern Natural Gas Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

August 28, 1996.

Take notice that on August 23, 1996, Northern Natural Gas Company (Northern), tendered for filing to become part of Northern's FERC Gas Tariff, Fifth Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheets proposed to be effective November 1, 1996:

Third Revised Sheet No. 263 Second Revised Sheet No. 263A

Northern states that its filing contains Northern's proposal for a permanent Carlton Resolution in response to the Commission's August 6 Order Establishing Guidelines in Docket No. RP93–206–000. Northern further states that the proposal is consistent with the Commission Guidelines and believes its proposal is the most efficient method of resolving the Carlton sourcing issue on its system.

Northern states that copies of the filing were served upon the company's customers and interested State Commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC, 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such petitions or protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of

the Commission's Regulations. All protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken in this proceeding, but will not serve to make Protestant a party to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for inspection.

Lois D. Cashell, Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–22460 Filed 9–3–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP96-733-000]

Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation; Notice of Application

August 28, 1996.

Take notice that on August 21, 1996, Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation ("Texas Eastern"), 5400 Westheimer Court, Houston, Texas 77056-5310, filed in the above docket an application with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("Commission") pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for authorization permitting the abandonment of Texas Eastern's Rate Schedule X-8, an emergency exchange of natural gas between Texas Eastern and Arkla (formerly Arkansas Louisiana Gas Company) ("Arkla"), and for authorization to abandon certain pipeline interconnect facilities between Texas Eastern and Arkla ("Interconnection Facilities").

Texas Eastern requests expedited consideration and approval of the authorizations requested herein in order to remove the Interconnection Facilities on or before October 1, 1996, in connection with a runway expansion project in Little Rock, Arkansas which is currently being undertaken by the Little Rock National Airport (formerly Adams Field Municipal Airport).

The FPC issued an order in Docket No. G-1500 on November 29, 1950, authorizing Texas Eastern to operate and maintain the Interconnection Facilities and to exchange gas on an emergency basis with Arkla pursuant to an emergency exchange agreement dated November 20, 1950 ("Exchange Agreement"). The Exchange Agreement is included as Rate Schedule X-8 in Texas Eastern's Ferc Gas Tariff Original Volume No. 2. Pursuant to the Exchange Agreement, both parties agreed to the exchange of gas and use of the Interconnection Facilities by either party without charge during temporary periods of emergency.

Texas Eastern and Arkla have agreed to abandon the Exchange Agreement as evidenced by the termination agreement dated August 16, 1996, ("Termination Agreement") attached to the application, and provides that the Exchange Agreement will terminate effective as of August 31, 1996.

More specifically, Texas Eastern proposes to abandon by removal the following Interconnection Facilities:

Facilities South of Arkansas River:

(1) Approximately 501 feet of 12-inch diameter pipeline.

(2) Miscellaneous valves, fittings, and appurtenant facilities.

Facilities North of Arkansas River: (3) Approximately 1,013 feet of 12-

inch diameter pipeline.

(4) Approximately 807 feet of 24-inch diameter pipeline.

(5) Miscellaneous valves, fittings, and

appurtenant facilities.

Physical abandonment of the Interconnection Facilities will be performed on Texas Eastern's existing right of way. Those facilities located South of the Arkansas River which are proposed to be abandoned are within the work area included in the environmental scope of the airport's expansion.

On August 27, 1996, Texas Eastern filed a supplement to its application withdrawing its request to abandon those Interconnect Facilities located north of the Arkansas River and a revised Exhibit Y to facilitate expeditious consideration of the remaining authorizations requested on or before October 1, 1996.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before September 6, 1996, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Texas Eastern to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–22457 Filed 9–3–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. OR96-17-000]

Ultramar Inc., Complainant v. SFPP, L.P., Respondent; Notice of Complaint

August 28, 1996.

Take notice that on August 21, 1996, pursuant to sections 9, 13(1), and 15(1) of the Interstate Commerce Act of 1887 (49 U.S.C. §§ 9, 13(1), 15(1)), Rule 206 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.206), and the Commission's Procedural Rules Applicable to Oil Pipeline Procedures (18 CFR 343.1(c)), Ultramar Inc. (Ultramar) tendered for filing a complaint against charges collected by SFPP, L.P. (SFPP) for the pipeline transportation of petroleum products. Ultramar complains against the charge collected for transportation of refined products over SFPP's pipeline in California from Sepulveda Junction to Watson Station (Sepulveda Line).

Ultramar complains that the foregoing charges (1) are not covered by tariffs filed with the Commission, (2) are not justified by the cost of service, (3) discriminate against shippers which use the Sepulveda Line, and (4) result in overcharges in excess of filed tariff rates.

Ultramar respectfully requests that the Commission action upon this Complaint, by (1) examine the charges collected by SFPP for transportation through the Sepulveda Line, (2) order refunds to Ultramar to the extent that the Commission finds that the rates were unlawful, (3) determine and prescribe just, reasonable, and non-discriminatory rates for the Sepulveda Line, and (4) award Ultramar reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said complaint should file a