

the Commission's Regulations. All protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken in this proceeding, but will not serve to make Protestant a party to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-22460 Filed 9-3-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP96-733-000]

Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation; Notice of Application

August 28, 1996.

Take notice that on August 21, 1996, Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation ("Texas Eastern"), 5400 Westheimer Court, Houston, Texas 77056-5310, filed in the above docket an application with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("Commission") pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for authorization permitting the abandonment of Texas Eastern's Rate Schedule X-8, an emergency exchange of natural gas between Texas Eastern and Arkla (formerly Arkansas Louisiana Gas Company) ("Arkla"), and for authorization to abandon certain pipeline interconnect facilities between Texas Eastern and Arkla ("Interconnection Facilities").

Texas Eastern requests expedited consideration and approval of the authorizations requested herein in order to remove the Interconnection Facilities on or before October 1, 1996, in connection with a runway expansion project in Little Rock, Arkansas which is currently being undertaken by the Little Rock National Airport (formerly Adams Field Municipal Airport).

The FPC issued an order in Docket No. G-1500 on November 29, 1950, authorizing Texas Eastern to operate and maintain the Interconnection Facilities and to exchange gas on an emergency basis with Arkla pursuant to an emergency exchange agreement dated November 20, 1950 ("Exchange Agreement"). The Exchange Agreement is included as Rate Schedule X-8 in Texas Eastern's Ferc Gas Tariff Original Volume No. 2. Pursuant to the Exchange Agreement, both parties agreed to the exchange of gas and use of the Interconnection Facilities by either party without charge during temporary periods of emergency.

Texas Eastern and Arkla have agreed to abandon the Exchange Agreement as evidenced by the termination agreement dated August 16, 1996, ("Termination Agreement") attached to the application, and provides that the Exchange Agreement will terminate effective as of August 31, 1996.

More specifically, Texas Eastern proposes to abandon by removal the following Interconnection Facilities:

Facilities South of Arkansas River:

(1) Approximately 501 feet of 12-inch diameter pipeline.

(2) Miscellaneous valves, fittings, and appurtenant facilities.

Facilities North of Arkansas River:

(3) Approximately 1,013 feet of 12-inch diameter pipeline.

(4) Approximately 807 feet of 24-inch diameter pipeline.

(5) Miscellaneous valves, fittings, and appurtenant facilities.

Physical abandonment of the Interconnection Facilities will be performed on Texas Eastern's existing right of way. Those facilities located South of the Arkansas River which are proposed to be abandoned are within the work area included in the environmental scope of the airport's expansion.

On August 27, 1996, Texas Eastern filed a supplement to its application withdrawing its request to abandon those Interconnect Facilities located north of the Arkansas River and a revised Exhibit Y to facilitate expeditious consideration of the remaining authorizations requested on or before October 1, 1996.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before September 6, 1996, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and Commission's Rules of Practice

and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Texas Eastern to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-22457 Filed 9-3-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. OR96-17-000]

Ultramar Inc., Complainant v. SFPP, L.P., Respondent; Notice of Complaint

August 28, 1996.

Take notice that on August 21, 1996, pursuant to sections 9, 13(1), and 15(1) of the Interstate Commerce Act of 1887 (49 U.S.C. §§ 9, 13(1), 15(1)), Rule 206 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.206), and the Commission's Procedural Rules Applicable to Oil Pipeline Procedures (18 CFR 343.1(c)), Ultramar Inc. (Ultramar) tendered for filing a complaint against charges collected by SFPP, L.P. (SFPP) for the pipeline transportation of petroleum products. Ultramar complains against the charge collected for transportation of refined products over SFPP's pipeline in California from Sepulveda Junction to Watson Station (Sepulveda Line).

Ultramar complains that the foregoing charges (1) are not covered by tariffs filed with the Commission, (2) are not justified by the cost of service, (3) discriminate against shippers which use the Sepulveda Line, and (4) result in overcharges in excess of filed tariff rates.

Ultramar respectfully requests that the Commission action upon this Complaint, by (1) examine the charges collected by SFPP for transportation through the Sepulveda Line, (2) order refunds to Ultramar to the extent that the Commission finds that the rates were unlawful, (3) determine and prescribe just, reasonable, and non-discriminatory rates for the Sepulveda Line, and (4) award Ultramar reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said complaint should file a

motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 214 and 211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure 18 CFR 385.214, 385.211. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before September 27, 1996. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. Answers to this complaint shall be due on or before September 27, 1996.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-22458 Filed 9-3-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

Notice of Issuance of Decisions and Orders by the Office of Hearings and Appeals; Week of July 17 through July 21, 1995

During the week of July 17 through July 21, 1995, the decisions and orders summarized below were issued with respect to appeals and applications for exception or other relief filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals of the Department of Energy. The following summary also contains a list of submissions that were dismissed by the Office of Hearings and Appeals.

Copies of the full text of these decisions and orders are available in the Public Reference Room of the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Room 1E-234, Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20585,

Monday through Friday, between the hours of 1:00 p.m. and 5:00 p.m., except federal holidays. They are also available in *Energy Management: Federal Energy Guidelines*, a commercially published loose leaf reporter system.

Dated: August 19, 1996.

George B. Breznay,

Director, Office of Hearings and Appeals.

Request for Exception

Big Little Stores, Inc., 7/19/95, VEF-0005

Big Little Stores, Inc., filed an Application for Exception from the Energy Information Administration requirement that it file Form EIA-782B, the "Resellers'/Retailers' Monthly Petroleum Product Sales Report." In considering this request, the DOE found that the firm was not suffering gross inequity or serious hardship. Therefore, the DOE denied the Big Little Stores' Application for Exception.

Implementation for Special Refund Procedures

Western Asphalt Service, Inc., et al., 7/17/95 LEF-0047 et al.

The DOE issued a Decision and Order implementing procedures for the distribution of \$29,376,255.50 (plus accrued interest) obtained from Western Asphalt Service, Inc., Gray Trucking Company, William Valentine & Sons, Inc., Dorchester Master Limited Partnership, Howell Corporation, Placid Oil Company, Eton Trading Corporation. These funds were remitted by each firm to the DOE to settle possible pricing violations with respect to sales of crude oil. The DOE determined that these monies will be distributed in accordance with the DOE's Modified Statement of

Restitutionary Policy Concerning Crude Oil Overcharges. Under that policy, 20% will be reserved for injured purchasers of refined products, 40% will be distributed to the federal government, and 40% to the states.

Personnel Security Hearing

Albuquerque Operations Office, 7/21/95, VSO-0023, VSZ-0003, VSZ-0004

A Hearing Officer from the Office of Hearings and Appeals issued an Opinion regarding the eligibility of an individual for access authorization under the provisions of 10 C.F.R. Part 710. As preliminary matters, a motion to dismiss the proceeding for lack of authority and a motion to strike certain documentary evidence were denied. The Hearing found that although the individual has used marijuana a limited number of times over a 20-year period, his subsequent rehabilitation from that behavior mitigated the DOE's security concerns. The Hearing Officer also found, however, that the individual had misrepresented his marijuana use to the DOE by omitting significant information from forms and at interviews, and that the DOE's security concerns regarding this behavior were not overcome by any mitigating factors. Accordingly, the Hearing Officer found that the individual's access authorization should not be restored.

Refund Applications

The Office of Hearings and Appeals issued the following Decisions and Orders concerning refund applications, which are not summarized. Copies of the full texts of the Decisions and Orders are available in the Public Reference Room of the Office of Hearings and Appeals.

CITY OF CANTON	RF272-97125	07/17/95
CRUDE OIL SUPPLEMENTAL REFUND DISTRIBUTION	RB272-12	07/17/95
CRUDE OIL SUPPLEMENTAL REFUND DISTRIBUTION	RB272-21	07/17/95
CRUDE OIL SUPPLEMENTAL REFUND DISTRIBUTION	RB272-19	07/17/95
CRUDE OIL SUPPLEMENTAL REFUND DISTRIBUTION	RB272-23	07/19/95
CRUDE OIL SUPPLEMENTAL REFUND	RB272-28	07/19/95
CRUDE OIL SUPPLEMENTAL REFUND DISTRIBUTION	RB272-26	07/19/95
CRUDE OIL SUPPLEMENTAL REFUND DISTRIBUTION	RB272-16	07/20/95
DALCO PETROLEUM, INC./GREAT PLAINS GAS	RF248-13	07/17/95
DALE TRACY ET AL	RK272-81	07/19/95
M.S.A.D. #29 ET AL	RF272-86541	07/19/95
MARION COUNTY, KY ET AL	RF272-95475	07/19/95
McLOUD SCHOOL DISTRICT, OKLAHOMA ET AL	RF272-95451	07/19/95
METROPOLITAN PETROLEUM & FUEL/ZINN COMPANIES, INC	RF349-21	07/19/95
MOHASCO CARPET CORPORATION	RC272-308	07/17/95
MOHAWK COMMERCIAL CARPET	RC272-309	
MOHASCO CARPET CORPORATION	RC272-310	
SUPERIOR KNITS ET AL	RF272-77524	07/20/95
TEXACO INC./ROOSEVELT TEXACO ET AL	RF321-12899	07/19/95
TOMS RIVER SCHOOLS ET AL	RF272-86349	07/19/95

Dismissals

The following submissions were dismissed: