

(2) The title of the form/collection. Organizational Study, Evaluation of the "Comprehensive Community-Wide Approach to Gang Prevention, Intervention and Suppression Program."

(3) The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection. Form: None. Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, Office of Justice Programs, United States Department of Justice.

(4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract. Primary: Not-for-Profit Institutions. Other: State, Local or Tribal Governments. The study focuses on information about program policies and mechanisms used to analyze and address the gang problem, including interorganizational relationships, and to test the effectiveness of the OJJDP approach over time. Respondents will be mainly administrative personnel in organizations participating in the program and a comparable group not participating in the comprehensive approach.

(5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond. 250 responses per year at 2.0 hours per response.

(6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection. 500 annual burden hours.

Public comment on this proposed information collection is strongly encouraged.

Dated: February 1, 1996.

Robert B. Briggs,  
Department Clearance Office, United States  
Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 96-2704 Filed 2-7-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-18-M

#### **Notice of Consent Decree in Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Action; Ralph Riehl, et al.**

In accordance with the Departmental Policy, 28 CFR § 50.7, notice is hereby given that a consent decree in *United States v. Ralph Riehl, et al.*, Civil Action No. 89-226E, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania on December 28, 1995.

On October 16, 1989, the United States filed a complaint against the owners and operator of, and certain transporters to, the Millcreek Dump Superfund Site (the "Site"), pursuant to Section 107(a) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA), 42 U.S.C. 9607(a). This proposed Consent Decree

resolves the liability of Sitter Trucking Company, James Sitter, Gilbert Sitter, and Ronald Sitter ("the Sitters") for response costs incurred and to be incurred by the United States at the Site. The proposed Consent Decree requires the Sitters to pay \$40,000.00 in reimbursement of response costs.

The Department of Justice will accept written comments relating to this Consent Decree for thirty (30) days from the date of publication of this notice. Please address comments to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, P.O. Box 7611, Ben Franklin Station, Washington, D.C. 20044 and refer to *United States v. Ralph Riehl, et al.*, DOJ No. 90-11-3-519.

Copies of the proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, Western District of Pennsylvania, Federal Building and Courthouse, Room 137, 6th and State Streets, Erie, Pennsylvania, 15219; Region III Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 841 Chestnut Building, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107; and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street NW., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 624-0892. A copy of the proposed Decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street NW., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005. When requesting a copy of the proposed Consent Decree, please enclose a check in the amount of \$6.75 (twenty-five cents per page reproduction costs) payable to the "Consent Decree Library."

Joel M. Gross,  
Environmental Enforcement Section,  
Environment and Natural Resources Division,  
U.S. Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 96-2659 Filed 2-7-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

#### **Notice of Lodging of Consent Decrees; Selma Pressure**

In accordance with the policy of the Department of Justice, 28 CFR 50.7, and pursuant to Section 122(d)(2) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9622(d)(2), notice is hereby given that two proposed Consent Decrees in *United States v. Selma Pressure Treating Co., et al.*, were lodged with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California, Fresno Division, on January 2, 1996. This action was brought

pursuant to Section 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9607.

Under one proposed Consent Decree, Gerald Petery and Selma Leasing Company agree to pay a total of \$720,000 to the United States and \$80,000 to the State of California. Under the other proposed Consent Decree, Mary Ann Schuessler and Selma Pressure Treating Company agree to pay a total of \$675,000 to the United States and \$75,000 to the State of California. These funds are being paid to reimburse the United States for environmental response actions taken at the Selma Pressure Treating facility in Selma, California. Response activities are continuing at this site.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of 30 days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed Consent Decrees. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530 and should refer to *United States v. Selma Pressure Treating Co., et al.*, D.J. Ref. 90-11-2-383.

The proposed Consent Decrees may be examined at the office of the United States Attorney, 3654 Federal Building, 1130 O Street, Fresno, California; the Region IX Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105; and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street NW., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005, (202) 624-0892. Copies the proposed Consent Decrees may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street NW., 4th Floor, Washington, DC. 20005. In requesting a copy, please refer to the referenced case and enclosed a check in the amount of \$8.50 for the decree with Gerald Petery and Selma Leasing Company and \$6.00 for the decree with Mary Ann Schuessler and Selma Pressure Treating. (\$0.25 per page reproduction costs), payable to "Consent Decree Library."

Joel Gross,

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section,  
Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 96-2660 Filed 2-7-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

#### **Notice of Lodging of a Consent Decree Pursuant to the Clean Air Act, the Clean Water Act, and the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act**

In accordance with Departmental policy, 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that a proposed Consent Decree in *United States v. Shell Oil Company,*

Civil Action No. 96-0328, Sec. A, Mag 2, was lodged on January 26, 1996, with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

The Consent Decree between the United States and Shell Oil Company resolves violations of the Clean Air Act ("CAA"), New Source Performance Standards ("NSPS") and National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants ("NESHAP"); the Safe Drinking Water Act ("SDWA"); the Emergency Planning and Community Right to Know Act ("EPCRA"); the Clean Water Act ("CWA") and the company's National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") Permits; and the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA") and the state and federal hazardous waste regulations. These violations occurred at the company's refinery and chemical facilities in Norco, Louisiana. The Consent Decree includes a requirement that Shell Oil Company pay a civil penalty of \$1,000,000.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530, and should refer to *United States v. Shell Oil Company*, DOJ Ref. No. 90-7-1-629A.

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the office of the United States Attorney, Hale Boggs Building, Room 201, 501 Magazine Street, New Orleans, Louisiana 70130; the Region VI Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202; and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street NW., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005, (202) 624-0892. A copy of the proposed Consent Decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street NW., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005. In requesting a copy please refer to the referenced case and enclose a check in the amount of \$4.75 (25 cents per page reproduction costs), payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Joel M. Gross,

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section,  
Environment and Natural Resources Division.  
[FR Doc. 96-2661 Filed 2-7-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

## Antitrust Division

### United States of America vs. Pacific Scientific Company; Proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. § 16(b)-(h), that a proposed Final Judgment, Stipulation, and Competitive Impact Statement have been filed with the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in *United States vs. Pacific Scientific Company*, Civ. No. 96-0165. The proposed Final Judgment is subject to approval by the Court after the expiration of the statutory 60-day public comment period and compliance with the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. § 16(b)-(h).

On January 30, 1996, the United States filed a Complaint seeking to enjoin a transaction by which Pacific Scientific agreed to acquire Met One, Inc. Pacific Scientific and Met One are major manufacturers of drinking water particle counters. The Complaint alleged that the proposed acquisition would substantially lessen competition in the manufacture and sale of drinking water particle counters in the United States in violation of Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. § 18, and Section 1 of the Sherman Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1.

The proposed Final Judgment orders defendant to sell all of Pacific Scientific's U.S. assets and rights relating to the research and development, manufacture and sale of Pacific Scientific's Drinking Water Quality Monitoring Systems, other than real property, and Met One's software relating to Drinking Water Quality Monitoring Systems, and other assets if necessary to make an economically viable competitor in the manufacture and sale of drinking water particle counters. The Stipulation effects a hold separate agreement that, in essence, requires Pacific Scientific to ensure that, until the divestiture mandated by the Final Judgment has been accomplished, Met One's operation will be held separate and apart from, and operated independently of, Pacific Scientific's assets and businesses. A Competitive Impact Statement filed by the United States describes the Complaint, the proposed Final Judgment, and remedies available to private litigants.

Public comment is invited within the statutory 60-day comment period. Such comments, and the responses thereto, will be published in the Federal Register and filed with the Court. Written comments should be directed to

Craig W. Conrath, Chief, Merger Task Force, Antitrust Division, Room 3700, 1401 H Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20530 (202-307-5779). Copies of the Complaint, proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement are available for inspection in Room 207 of the U.S. Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, 325 7th Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20530 (telephone: (202) 514-2481), and at the office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, Third Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20001.

Copies of any of these materials may be obtained upon request and payment of a copying fee.

Constance K. Robinson,  
Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

United States District Court for the District of Columbia

In the matter of: United States of America, Plaintiff vs. Pacific Scientific Company, a corporation; Defendant Docket No.: 96-0165.

### Stipulation

It is stipulated by and between the undersigned parties, by their respective attorneys, as follows:

(1) The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and over each of the parties hereto, and venue of this action is proper in the District for the District of Columbia.

(2) The parties stipulate that a Final Judgment in the form hereto attached may be filed and entered by the Court, upon the motion of any party or upon the Court's own motion, at any time after compliance with the requirements of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act (15 U.S.C. § 16), and without further notice to any party or other proceedings, provided that plaintiff has not withdrawn its consent, which it may do at any time before the entry of the proposed Final Judgment by serving notice thereof on defendant and by filing that notice with the Court.

(3) Pacific Scientific shall abide by and comply with the provisions of the proposed Final Judgment pending entry of the Final Judgment, and shall, from the date of the signing of this Stipulation, comply with all the terms and provisions of the proposed Final Judgment as though the same were in full force and effect as an order of the Court.

(4) Pacific Scientific shall prepare and deliver reports in the form required by the provisions of paragraph B of Section VII of the proposed Final Judgment commencing no later than February 29, 1996, and every thirty days thereafter pending entry of the Final Judgment.