

Paragraph 6005 Class E airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth.

* * * * *

AWP CA E5 Murrieta/Temecula, CA [New]

French Valley Airport, CA
(Lat. 33°34'34" N, long. 117°07'41" W)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 6.4-mile radius of the French Valley Airport.

* * * * *

Issued in Los Angeles, California, on September 3, 1996.

James H. Snow,

*Acting Manager, Air Traffic Division,
Western-Pacific Region.*

[FR Doc. 96-23811 Filed 9-16-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Minerals Management Service

30 CFR Part 206

RIN 1010-AC06

Amendments to Transportation Allowance Regulations for Federal and Indian Leases to Specify Allowable Costs and Related Amendments to Gas Valuation Regulations

AGENCY: Minerals Management Service, Interior.

ACTION: Proposed rule; notice of extension of public comment period.

SUMMARY: The Minerals Management Service (MMS) hereby gives notice that it is extending the public comment period on a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, which was published in the Federal Register on July 31, 1996 (61 FR 39931). The proposed rule would amend the regulations governing allowances for transportation of gas and clarify the methods by which gas royalties and deductions for gas transportation are calculated. In response to requests for additional time, MMS will extend the comment period from September 30, 1996, to October 30, 1996.

DATES: Comments must be received by 4 p.m. Mountain time on October 30, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be sent to the Minerals Management Service, P.O. Box 25165, Mail Stop 3101, Denver, Colorado 80225-0165; courier address: Building 85, Denver Federal Center, Denver, Colorado 80225-0165, Attention: David S. Guzy.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David S. Guzy, Chief, Rules and Procedures Staff, telephone (303) 231-3432, fax (303) 231-3194, or e-Mail David—Guzy@smtp.mms.gov.

Dated: September 11, 1996.

James W. Shaw,

Associate Director for Royalty Management.

[FR Doc. 96-23756 Filed 9-16-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MR-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

37 CFR Part 2

[Docket No. 960828232-6232-01]

RIN 0651-AA90

Recordal Fees Associated with the Fastener Quality Act

AGENCY: Patent and Trademark Office, Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Proposed Rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Patent and Trademark Office (PTO) is proposing to establish fees associated with recordation of insignias of manufacturers and private label distributors to ensure the traceability of a fastener to its manufacturer or private label distributor. This proposal is in accordance with provisions of the Fastener Quality Act.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before October 17, 1996. No meeting will be held.

ADDRESSES: Address written comments to the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231, Attention: Lizbeth Kulick, Office of the Assistant Commissioner for Trademarks, 2900 Crystal Drive, Arlington, Va. 22202-3513 or by fax to (703) 308-7220.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lizbeth Kulick by telephone at (703) 308-8900, or by fax at (703) 308-7220, or by mail marked to her attention and addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Trademarks, 2900 Crystal Drive, Arlington, Va. 22202-3513.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department of Commerce issued a notice of proposed rulemaking to implement the Fastener Quality Act. 57 FR 37032, Aug. 17, 1992. Under that notice, the task of recording fastener insignia was assigned to the PTO. 57 FR 37033-35, Aug. 17, 1992. That notice provided for recovery of insignia costs through user fees. 57 FR 37035-36, Aug. 17, 1992. The PTO proposes three twenty-dollar fees to recover its costs associated with the insignia recordation program.

Cost Calculations

The cost of processing an application for recordal of an insignia is as follows:
Compensation and Benefits.....1,000

Hardware and Software Costs	4,500
Subtotal	5,500
General and Administrative Overhead	
@ 17%	950
Total Costs.....	6,450
Estimated Workload	300
Fee Amount.....	21.50
Rounded Fee	\$20

Two rules, 37 CFR §§ 2.53 and 2.189, are being removed because they are not necessary. Section 2.53 specifies the manner in which drawings must be transmitted. Section 2.189 simply states the Office's policy on publishing amendments to the rules. The policy is not changing, but does not have to be stated as a rule.

Other Considerations

It has determined that this rule is not significant for the purposes of Executive Order 12866. The information collections required by this proposed rule are pending approval before the Office of Management and Budget (OMB number 0651-0028). The affected public would be manufacturers and private label distributors of certain types of industrial fasteners. The estimated average number of responses is six hundred. The estimated time per response is ten minutes, so the estimated total annual burden is one hundred hours. The collected information is needed to ensure that a fastener can be traced to its manufacturer or private label distributor.

This proposed fee does not require notice and comment under 5 U.S.C. 553 or any other statute, so no analysis or certification is required under 5 U.S.C. 603(a).

Lists of Subjects in 37 CFR Part 2

Administrative practice and procedure, Courts, Lawyers, Trademarks.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, the PTO proposes to amend 37 CFR part 2 as set forth below.

PART 2—RULES APPLICABLE TO TRADEMARK CASES

1. The authority citation for part 2 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 15 U.S.C. 1123; 35 U.S.C. 6, unless otherwise noted.

2. Section 2.7 is added to read as follows:

§ 2.7 Fastener Recordal Fees.

- (a) Application fee for recordal of insignia.....\$20.00
- (b) Renewal of insignia recordal.....\$20.00

(c) Surcharge for late renewal of
insignia recordal.....\$20.00

§ 2.53 [Removed]

3. Section 2.53 is removed.

§ 2.189 [Removed]

4. Section 2.189 is and the
undesignated center heading
"Amendment of Rules" are removed.

Dated: September 10, 1996.

Bruce A. Lehman,

Assistant Secretary of Commerce and

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks.

[FR Doc. 96-23666 Filed 9-16-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-16-P

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
AGENCY**

40 CFR Part 52

[IA-005-1005; FRL-5611-3]

**Approval and Promulgation of
Implementation Plans and Approval
Under Section 112(1); State of Iowa**

AGENCY: Environmental Protection
Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of reopening public
comment period.

SUMMARY: The EPA is providing notice
that the public comment period for a
notice of proposed rulemaking
published July 29, 1996 (61 FR 39375),
has been reopened until October 17,
1996. The July 29, 1996, action proposes
to adopt certain revisions submitted by
the state of Iowa to meet requirements
of the Clean Air Act, and improve the
state's permitting program and air
quality. Comments on the proposal were
due by August 28, 1996.

A number of persons have indicated
that they desire more time to adequately
address the issues contained in the
proposed rule. The EPA has determined
that additional time for comment is
appropriate and is therefore reopening
the comment period.

DATES: Comments are now due on or
before October 17, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to
Christopher D. Hess, Environmental
Protection Agency, Air Planning and
Development Branch, 726 Minnesota
Avenue, Kansas City, Kansas 66101.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Christopher D. Hess at (913) 551-7213.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: September 9, 1996.

William Rice,

Acting Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 96-23790 Filed 9-16-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Office of the Secretary

43 CFR Part 36

RIN 1093-AA07

**Transportation and Utility Systems In
and Across, and Access Into,
Conservation System Units in Alaska**

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, Interior.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This proposed rule would
revise and simplify the regulatory
definition of the term "economically
feasible and prudent alternative route"
as used in the review of proposed
transportation and utility systems in
Alaska under Title XI of the Alaska
National Interest Lands Conservation
Act (ANILCA).

DATES: Comments are requested by
November 18, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Comments on the proposed
regulations should be addressed to:
Field Director, Alaska Field Office,
National Park Service, 2525 Gambell
Street, Room 107, Anchorage, AK
99503-2892.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
David A. Funk, Alaska Field Office,
National Park Service, 2525 Gambell
Street, Room 107, Anchorage, AK
99503-2892. Phone: (907) 257-2589.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 2, 1980, the Alaska
National Interest Lands Conservation
Act (ANILCA) was signed into law as
Public Law 96-487 (94 Stat. 2371, 16
U.S.C. 3101, et seq.). Title XI of
ANILCA, which is entitled
"Transportation and Utility Systems in
and across, and Access into,
Conservation System Units,"
established guidelines and procedures
for submitting and processing
applications for transportation and
utility systems (TUS) in Alaska when
any portion of the route of the system
will be within any conservation system
unit, national recreation area, or
national conservation area. In addition,
Title XI authorizes special access,
temporary access, and access to
inholdings.

On July 15, 1983, the Department of
the Interior (Department) proposed
comprehensive regulations to
implement ANILCA Title XI on lands in
Alaska under the jurisdiction of the
National Park Service (NPS), U.S. Fish
and Wildlife Service (FWS), and the
Bureau of Land Management (BLM) (48
FR 32506). On September 4, 1986, the

Department published final Title XI
regulations (51 FR 31619).

In early 1987, the Trustees for Alaska
and other groups (Trustees) sued the
Department to challenge the Title XI
regulations as exceeding the authority
granted to the Department by ANILCA.
Parties intervening in the case included
Arctic Slope Regional Council, the
Alaska Miners Association, the Alaska
Forest Association, and the Resource
Development Council for Alaska, Inc.
(The State of Alaska's Motion to
Intervene on appeal is pending.) In
Orders dated April 29, 1991, and March
16, 1993, the U.S. District Court for the
District of Alaska granted summary
judgment to the Department. The
Trustees appealed the lower court's
decision to the U.S. Court of Appeals for
the Ninth Circuit, which assigned the
case to the Chief Circuit Mediator to
explore whether possible revision of the
Title XI regulations, then under
consideration by the Department of the
Interior, might provide a basis for
settlement. Based on all the parties' oral
stipulation of agreement, and with the
State of Alaska's concurrence, the Chief
Circuit Mediator entered a court order
on August 30, 1996, dismissing the
litigation on the basis of the
Department's proposal of a single
regulatory revision to the existing Title
XI regulations. If, after consideration of
comments received in response to
today's proposed rulemaking, the
Department decides to promulgate a
final rule based on the language of the
proposed rule, the Ninth Circuit Court
will dismiss the Title XI appeal with
prejudice.

The Department is today proposing
one revision to the 1986 regulations in
order to improve the regulations'
workability and reduce the
opportunities for delays in decision-
making. The decision to propose this
one revision follows substantial review
and discussion with interested parties
both within and outside the
Department. Based on these discussions
and the August 30, 1996 Court Order
entered by the Ninth Circuit's Chief
Mediator, the Department is hopeful
that this rulemaking process will result
in settlement of the longstanding
litigation.

The Department is not proposing any
other revisions of the Title XI
regulations. Thus, for example, the 1986
regulations implementing the Title XI
provisions concerning access to
inholdings, special access, and
temporary access remain intact. Also,
the Department is not proposing any
changes to the regulatory provisions
governing access to subsistence
resources under Title VIII of ANILCA